



RUSHCLIFFE BOROUGH COUNCIL RISK MANAGEMENT STRATEGY 2020 - 2023

Version 5: September 2020

Next Review due April 2023

CONTENTS

1. <u>Introduction</u>	1
1.1 Overview	1
1.2 Funding	1
1.3 Sources of assurance	1
1.4 Statement of Commitment	2
2. <u>Risk Management Process</u>	2
2.1 Overview	2
2.2 Identification	3
2.3 Analysis	3
2.4 Control	3
2.5 Monitoring and Review	4
2.6 Risk Appetite	4
2.7 Opportunity Risk	4
2.8 Project Risk	5
3. <u>Roles and Responsibilities</u>	5
3.1 Overview	5
4. <u>Terms of Reference</u>	7
4.1 Overview	7
4.2 Membership	7
4.3 Objectives	7
5. <u>Appendices</u>	8
5.1 Appendix A – Assurance Framework	9
5.2 Appendix B – Risk Tolerance Thresholds	9

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. Overview

This strategy outlines Rushcliffe Borough Council's approach to risk management. It has been developed to ensure that areas of risk are identified and appropriate remedial action is considered.

Failure to pay attention to the likelihood and impact of risks can have significant consequences. These can include endangering public health, reputational damage, financial costs, compensation claims and disruption to critical services. The effective management of risk is therefore a critical part of Rushcliffe Borough Council's approach to delivering services and maintaining high levels of performance.

The Council has embedded risk management into its cultures, processes and structures to ensure that opportunities are maximised and risk minimised. This Strategy will enable the Council to develop risk management further through its effective use in management and decision making processes.

The Council recognises that there are risks involved in everything it does and that it has a duty to manage these risks efficiently and effectively. This duty is to staff, residents, service users, partners, contractors and funding agencies.

1.2. Funding

The risk and insurance reserve provides senior managers with the encouragement to increase levels of risk awareness within their areas of responsibility by formally identifying risks and proposals for action.

The reserve provides the opportunity to apply for financial support and creates an incentive for loss control, without adversely affecting service area budgets. This investment in risk management measures should lead to a reduction of insured and uninsured losses and eventually to lower costs, including premiums.

Other reserves exist such as Planning Appeals and Investment Properties to help mitigate against other specific risks. A Climate Change Reserve has been created to help the Council address environmental risks.

The S151 officer will ensure that appropriate insurance cover is in place for all identified risks. Managers, where necessary, will utilise budgets to help mitigate risk.

1.3. Sources of assurance

Sources of assurance are sought to provide evidence that the management of risk is carried out effectively. These exist at different levels to ensure that risks are identified and controlled appropriately. An assurance framework is included in appendix A.

Risks are discussed at strategic and operational levels and recorded by service areas via Pentana (the corporate risk management system). Corporate risks are considered on a quarterly basis by the Risk Management Group (RMG) formed as part of the Executive Management Group. The risk management strategy and corporate risk register are also reviewed annually by the RMG.

Operational risks are reviewed every 2 months alongside financial information as part of individual service performance clinics at Executive Management Team (EMT) meetings. They are also reviewed during the development of annual service plans.

Updates are provided to Elected Members via meetings of the Governance Scrutiny Group (GSG).

1.4. Statement of Commitment

The Leader and Cabinet are committed to:

- Adopting best practice in the identification, evaluation and cost-effective control of risks.
- Ensuring wherever possible that risks are either, reduced to a level within the Council's risk tolerance or eliminated.
- Maximising opportunities to achieve the Council's corporate objectives and deliver core service provisions.


2. RISK MANAGEMENT PROCESS

2.1. Overview

Risk management entails identifying risks, evaluating their potential consequences and determining the most effective methods of controlling them. It is a means of minimising costs and disruption caused by undesirable events.

The aim of this process is to reduce the frequency of incidents and minimise the severity of their effects. Even when the likelihood of an event occurring cannot be controlled, steps can be taken to limit its consequences (for example, by developing effective emergency and business continuity plans).

Risk management involves the following processes (the risk management cycle):



2.2. Identification

A systematic assessment of risk needs to be undertaken when judging all policy and service delivery options available to the authority. By identifying areas of risk before an event occurs, steps can be taken to prevent an incident from arising.

2.3. Analysis

Having identified areas of potential concern, risks need to be systematically and accurately assessed. This process requires managers to evaluate:

- The probability of a particular incident occurring
- The potential consequences should such an incident occur
- The anticipated cost of future incidents.

2.4. Control

A variety of options exist for controlling risk. These include:

- Terminate
- Treat
- Transfer
- Tolerate.

Terminating risk involves the authority opting not to undertake a current or proposed activity because the risk is deemed too significant.

Treating risk involves taking action (such as implementing projects or developing procedures) to reduce the likelihood of an incident occurring and limit the severity of its effects. If the current risk score is higher than the target risk score, actions should be identified to mitigate the risk and reduce its potential likelihood and / or impact to the target level. These actions are recorded within Pentana and monitored by risk managers to ensure that measures taken are effective.

Financial provision to implement risk reduction measures will be made available where appropriate, with funding for initiatives provided from the risk management reserve, specific earmarked reserves, the revenue budget or the capital programme. Where these additional mitigating actions cannot be justified or implemented, the review process will result in the target risk score being raised.

Transferring risk refers to allocating liability for the consequences of an event to another body. Legal liability may be transferred to an alternative provider under contractual arrangements for service delivery. Transferring some or all of the financial risk to external insurance companies may also reduce the costs associated with a damaging event.

There may be occasions when the cost of implementing risk reduction measures will outweigh the anticipated benefits. This is often because the likelihood of a risk occurring is deemed to be very low or its impact negligible. In such instances, a decision may be taken to tolerate the risk and no additional control measures will be undertaken.

2.5. Monitoring and Review

The risk management process does not end once control measures are identified. Regular monitoring and reviews should take place of:

- The implementation of agreed control actions
- The effectiveness of these actions in controlling the risk
- How the likelihood and impact of the risk has changed over time
- Ongoing review of risks in totality along with the Risk Management Strategy.

Corporate and operational risks are reviewed on a regular basis. Risks that are tolerated still need to be reviewed as their likelihood and / or impact may increase over time.

2.6. Risk Appetite

Our 'risk appetite' guides how much risk the Council is willing to seek or accept to achieve its objectives. Taking risks, both operationally and to achieve the priorities set out in our Corporate Strategy 2019-2023, is a necessary part of business. Good risk management ensures the Council makes well informed decisions where the associated risks are understood and managed. By ensuring that risks are properly managed, the Council is more likely to achieve its priorities. Effective risk management also provides a high level of due diligence consistent with the Council's responsibility to manage public money prudently.

As a Council, we recognise effective risk management considers not just threats but also opportunities; namely, what is to be gained by taking a risk. Our approach to risk takes account of both opportunities and threats. By encouraging managed risk taking, and considering all of the available options, we seek a balance between caution and innovation. our risk appetite reflects our current position. We encourage managed risk taking for minor to moderate level risks, and control, more closely, those risks which register at a higher point on our risk matrix where the benefits to our residents or to the organisation are greatest. We accept that our appetite for risk will vary over time depending on our ambitions and corporate priorities as well as the external environment the Council is operating in. This position will be reviewed on a regular basis as part of the Council's Risk Management Strategy.

Risk appetite goes 'hand-in hand' with how much the Council will tolerate risk, what is its risk threshold? Appendix B details the Council's risk tolerance level for both risk threats and opportunities (see para 2.7 below) and what constitutes, low, medium or high risks.

2.7 Opportunity Risk

The Council has an entrepreneurial approach to seizing opportunities and has been able to successfully manage its finances throughout a challenging period of austerity. Successful organisations need a balance between risk taking and caution and this approach has ensured the delivery of major projects with lasting benefit to residents in the borough.

An opportunity risk matrix (Appendix B) has been developed to provide guidance and a scoring mechanism when making decisions about potential opportunities. By using the matrix to establish the greatest potential benefits, the Council is ensuring that its finances

are used in the best possible way.

2.8 Project Risks

The Council has a formalised project management framework that provides the basis for officers managing projects within their team and jointly with other members of staff. The framework provides guidance on what risk assessments are required for projects based on a scale of 1-4 determined by the complexity and project costs. Projects that fall within levels 3 and 4 require a full risk register and with controls in place to mitigate against the risks. These projects also require a greater degree of monitoring to ensure the project remains on track and aligned with the budget.

3. ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES

3.1. Overview

The following representatives have responsibilities for risk management. Elected Members:

- To oversee and scrutinise the effective management of risk by officers through the Governance Scrutiny Group.

Chief Executive:

- To ensure the risk management strategy is implemented effectively.

Executive Manager (Finance and Corporate Services):

- To ensure the corporate risk register is reviewed regularly
- To maintain an overview of the risk management strategy and its implementation
- To review the risk management strategy
- To provide updates on risk management to Elected Members at Governance Scrutiny Group (GSG) meetings.

Executive Manager (Neighbourhoods)

- To ensure that an effective strategy is in place for development of business continuity and emergency planning arrangements.

S151 officer:

- To ensure a proper system of internal audit is carried out within the authority
- To ensure reserves are sufficient to manage and mitigate both upside and downside risks (in consultation with EMT and Cabinet)
- To ensure that appropriate insurance cover is in place and that a register of claims is maintained.

Executive Managers:

- To identify risks of loss, damage, injury or performance facing service areas
- To implement appropriate risk control measures (i.e. terminate, treat, transfer, tolerate)
- To seek assurance that risk management arrangements for service areas are implemented effectively and reviewed on a regular basis
- To ensure service areas have arrangements in place for updating the corporate risk management system
- To provide updates on risk management during performance clinics
- To oversee the implementation of agreed recommendations from internal audits
- To promote good risk management practice throughout the authority by co-operation and

liaison with employees and relevant external agencies.

Monitoring Officer

- To report on matters he/she believes are, or are likely to be, illegal or amount to maladministration
- To be responsible for Matters relating to the conduct of Councillors and Officers
- To be responsible for the operation of the Council's Constitution.

Performance Officer:

- To support and assist technical use of the corporate risk management system
- To prepare risk management reports for the Risk Management Group and Corporate Governance Group
- To liaise with Internal Audit providing all information requested
- To arrange risk management training for officers and Councillors.

Emergency Planning Officer:

- To advise the Risk Management Group (RMG) on emergency planning and business continuity arrangements
- To update the corporate emergency plan and corporate business continuity plan
- To ensure that business continuity plans for service areas are reviewed on a regular basis
- To co-ordinate training and exercising for staff, including participating in relevant activities undertaken by the Local Resilience Forum (LRF).

4. TERMS OF REFERENCE: RISK MANAGEMENT GROUP (RMG)

4.1. Overview

The corporate Risk Management Group (RMG) oversees the management of risk across the organisation and has responsibility for ensuring that adequate sources of assurance are in place. The RMG will meet on a quarterly basis and instigate actions, allocate resources and communicate important messages to service areas as necessary.

4.2. Membership

The RMG is made up of the following officers:

- Chief Executive
- Executive Manager (Transformation)
- Executive Manager (Neighbourhoods)
- Executive Manager (Communities)
- Executive Manager (Finance & Corporate Services).
- Chief Information Officer CIO/CIRO
- Monitoring officer


Other representatives (such as the Performance Officer and / or Emergency Planning Officer) will be invited to attend as required.

4.3. Objectives

Objectives of the RMG include:

1. Coordinating risk management throughout the authority
2. Keeping the corporate risk register and risk management strategy under review
3. Identifying strategic and operational practices that present significant risk to the authority
4. Identifying emerging risks by drawing on information from other organisations and external sources of information
5. Making proposals for reducing the likelihood and / or impact of risks
6. Coordinating and prioritising risk control measures
7. Advising on the use of the risk management reserve to support funding necessary for initiatives that will reduce risk (e.g. vandalism, arson, theft, damage to property, personal injury to employees, visitors and persons under the care of the authority)
8. Monitoring the number and type of insurance claims being received by the authority
9. Coordinating the management of information security
10. Evaluating new approaches on risk management and the extent to which they could assist the authority and its services
11. Promoting good risk management practice by liaising with employees and identifying training needs
12. Ensuring effective business continuity arrangements are in place, including those of critical suppliers
13. Ensuring effective emergency planning arrangements are in place
14. Participating in the work of the Local Resilience Forum (LRF) and working closely with other organisations as appropriate.

ASSURANCE FRAMEWORK



RISK TOLERANCE THRESHOLDS

The Council has set its risk tolerance level for risk threats at the threshold between medium and high rated risks. A matching but reverse tolerance level has been set for positive risk but the ambition is to move all opportunity risks to their highest impact and likelihood but as with risk threats, only above tolerance risks will be reported by exception.

		Risk – Threats				Risk - Opportunities					
Likelihood	Likely 4	4	8	12	16	16	12	8	4	Likely 4	Likelihood
	Possible 3	3	6	9	12	12	9	6	3	Possible 3	
	Unlikley 2	2	4	6	8	8	6	4	2	Unlikley 2	
	Rare 1	1	2	3	4	4	3	2	1	Rare 1	
		Insignificant 1	Minor 2	Moderate 3	Major 4	Significant 4	Moderate 3	Minor 2	Insignificant 1		
		Impact				Impact					

Key to risk threats: **Green** – Low, **Amber** – Medium, **Red** – High

Table 1 Consequence / Impact

This is a measure of the consequences of the identified risk

Risk - Threats		Risk - Opportunities	
Impact	Thresholds and Description	Impact	Thresholds and Description
1 – Insignificant	Financial Impact = <£10k No adverse impact on reputation No impact on partners	1 – Insignificant	Little or no improvement to service Little or no improvement to welfare of staff / public Little or no financial income / efficiency savings (less than £10k) Little or no improvement to environment or assets Little or no feedback from service users

Risk - Threats		Risk - Opportunities	
Impact	Thresholds and Description	Impact	Thresholds and Description
2 – Minor	Financial Impact = £10k - £50k Negative internal/ within sector impact on reputation Negative partner impact	2- Minor	Minor improvement to service Minor improvement to welfare of staff / public Improvement that produces £10k - £50K of income / efficiency savings Minor improvement to environment or assets Positive user feedback
3 – Moderate	Financial Impact = >£100k Negative Regional/Local impact on reputation Negative impact on key partnerships	3 – Moderate	Moderate improvement to service Moderate improvement to welfare of staff / public Improvement that produces £50k+ - £100k of income / efficiency savings Moderate improvement to environment or assets Positive local media contact
4 – Major	Financial Impact = >£250k Negative National reputation Key partners withdraw	4 – Significant	Significant improvement to service Significant improvement to welfare of staff / public Improvement that produces £100k+ of income / efficiency savings Significant improvement to environment or assets Positive local media coverage

Table 2 Likelihood / Probability of Occurrence

This measures the chance of the risk or opportunity occurring

Risk - Threats		Risk - Opportunities	
Impact	Thresholds and Description	Impact	Thresholds and Description
1 – Rare	Unlikely	1 – Rare	Opportunity has not been fully investigated but considered extremely unlikely to materialise

Risk - Threats		Risk - Opportunities	
Impact	Thresholds and Description	Impact	Thresholds and Description
2 – Unlikely	Possible	2 – Unlikely	Opportunity has not been fully investigated; achievability is unproven / in doubt
3 – Possible	Probable within 2 years	3 – Possible	Opportunity may be achievable, but requires significant management, planning and resources
4 – Likely	Probable within 12 months	4 – Likely	Opportunity is achievable with careful management