



# Audit Strategy Memorandum

## Rushcliffe Borough Council – Year ending 31 March 2026

March 2026

Governance Scrutiny Group  
Rushcliffe Borough Council  
Rushcliffe Arena  
Rugby Road  
Nottinghamshire  
NG2 7YG

24<sup>th</sup> March 2026

Forvis Mazars  
3 Chamberlain Square  
Birmingham  
B3 3AX

Dear Members of the Governance Scrutiny Group,

## **Audit Strategy Memorandum – Year ending 31 March 2026**

We are pleased to present our Audit Strategy Memorandum for Rushcliffe Borough Council for the year ending 31 March 2026.

This report summarises our audit approach, including the significant audit risks and areas of key judgement we have identified, and provides details of our audit team. In addition, as it is a fundamental requirement that an auditor is, and is seen to be, independent of an audited entity, the section of the report titled '*Confirmation of our independence*' summarises our considerations and conclusions on our independence as auditors.

Two-way communication with you is key to a successful audit and is important in:

- Reaching a mutual understanding of the scope of the audit and our respective responsibilities;
- Sharing information to assist each of us to fulfil our respective responsibilities;
- Providing you with constructive observations arising during the audit process; and
- Ensuring that we, as external auditors, gain an understanding of your attitude and views in respect of the internal and external operational, financial, compliance, and other risks facing Rushcliffe Borough Council which may affect the audit, including the likelihood of those risks materialising and how they are monitored and managed.

With that in mind, this report, which has been prepared following our initial planning discussions with management, facilitates a discussion with you on our audit approach. We welcome any questions, concerns, or input you may have on our approach or role as auditor.

This report also contains appendices that outline our key communications with you during the audit, and forthcoming accounting issues and other issues that may be of interest to you.

Providing a high-quality service is extremely important to us and we strive to provide technical excellence with the highest level of service quality, together with continuous improvement to exceed your expectations. If you have any concerns or comments about this report or our audit approach, please contact us on 07875 974 291.

This report was prepared solely for the use and benefit of Governance Scrutiny Group and to the fullest extent permitted by law Forvis Mazars LLP accepts no responsibility and disclaims all liability to any third party who purports to use or rely for any reason whatsoever on the report, its contents, conclusions, any extract, reinterpretation, amendment and/or modification. Accordingly, any reliance placed on the report, its contents, conclusions, any extract, reinterpretation, amendment and/or modification by any third party is entirely at their own risk.

Yours faithfully,

Mark Surridge, Key Audit Partner

**Forvis Mazars**

# Contents

- 01** Engagement and responsibilities summary
- 02** Your audit team
- 03** Audit scope, approach, and timeline
- 04** Materiality and misstatements
- 05** Significant risks and other key judgement areas
- 06** Value for Money
- 07** Audit fees and other services
- 08** Confirmation of our independence

Appendix A – Key communication points

Appendix B – National publications and technical updates

This document is to be regarded as confidential to Rushcliffe Borough Council. It has been prepared for the sole use of Governance Scrutiny Group as the appropriate sub-committee charged with governance. No responsibility is accepted to any other person in respect of the whole or part of its contents.

## Engagement and responsibilities summary

# Engagement and responsibilities summary

We are appointed to perform the external audit of Rushcliffe Borough Council (the “Council”) for the year to 31 March 2026. The scope of our engagement is set out in the Statement of Responsibilities of Auditors and Audited Bodies, issued by Public Sector Audit Appointments Ltd (PSAA) available from the PSAA website: [Statement of responsibilities of auditors and audited bodies from 2023/24](#). Our responsibilities are principally derived from the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014 (the 2014 Act) and the Code of Audit Practice issued by the National Audit Office (NAO), as outlined below.

## Audit opinion

We are responsible for forming and expressing an opinion on whether the financial statements are prepared, in all material respects, in accordance with the Code of Practice on Local Authority Accounting.

Our audit does not relieve management or Governance Scrutiny Group, as those charged with governance, of their responsibilities.

The Director Finance & Corporate Services and Deputy Chief Executive (s151 Officer) is responsible for the assessment of Rushcliffe Borough Council’s ability to continue as a going concern. As auditors, we are required to obtain sufficient, appropriate audit evidence regarding, and conclude on:

- a) whether a material uncertainty related to going concern exists, and
- b) the appropriateness of the s151 Officer’s use of the going concern basis of accounting in the preparation of the financial statements.


## Internal control

Management is responsible for such internal control as they determine necessary to enable the preparation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

We are responsible for obtaining an understanding of internal control relevant to our audit and the preparation of the financial statements to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of Rushcliffe Borough Council’s internal control.

## Whole of Government Accounts

We report to the NAO on the consistency of the Council’s financial statements with its Whole of Government Accounts (WGA) submission.



## Fraud

The responsibility for safeguarding assets and for the prevention and detection of fraud, error, and non-compliance with law or regulations rests with both you and management. This includes establishing and maintaining internal controls over asset protection, compliance with relevant laws and regulations, and the reliability of financial reporting.

As part of our audit procedures in relation to fraud, we are required to inquire of you and key management personnel, on their knowledge of instances of fraud, and their views on the risks of fraud and on internal controls that mitigate those risks. In accordance with International Standards on Auditing (UK), we plan and perform our audit to obtain reasonable assurance that the financial statements taken as a whole are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error. However, our audit should not be relied upon to identify all such misstatements.

## Value for money

We are also responsible for forming a view on the arrangements that the Council has in place to secure economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources. We discuss our approach to Value for Money work further in the ‘Value for Money’ section of this report.

## Wider reporting and electors’ rights

The 2014 Act requires us to give an elector, or any representative of the elector, the opportunity to question us about the accounts of the Council and consider objections made to the accounts. We also have a broad range of reporting responsibilities and powers that are unique to the audit of local authorities in the United Kingdom.

# 02

Your audit team

# Your audit team



**Mark Surridge**

**Role: Engagement Partner**

Email: [Mark.Surridge@mazars.co.uk](mailto:Mark.Surridge@mazars.co.uk)



**Jennie Norman**

**Role: Engagement Manager**

Email: [Jennifer.Norman@mazars.co.uk](mailto:Jennifer.Norman@mazars.co.uk)

# 03

Audit scope, approach, and timeline

# Audit scope, approach, and timeline

## Risk-based Approach



# Audit scope, approach, and timeline

## Audit scope

Our audit approach is designed to provide an audit that complies with all professional requirements.

Our audit of the financial statements will be conducted in accordance with International Standards on Auditing (UK), relevant ethical and professional standards, our own audit methodology, and in accordance with Code of Audit Practice. Our work is focused on those aspects of your business which we consider to have a higher risk of material misstatement, such as those impacted by management judgement and estimation, application of new accounting standards, changes of accounting policy, changes to operations, or areas found to contain material errors in the past.

## Audit approach

Our audit approach is risk-based, and the nature, extent, and timing of our audit procedures are primarily driven by the areas of the financial statements we consider to be more susceptible to material misstatement. Following our risk assessment where we assess inherent risk factors (subjectivity, complexity, uncertainty, change and susceptibility to misstatement due to management bias or fraud), we develop our audit strategy and design audit procedures to respond to the risks we have identified.

If we conclude that appropriately-designed controls are in place, we may plan to test and rely on those controls. If we decide controls are not appropriately designed, or we decide that it would be more efficient to do so, we may take a wholly substantive approach to our audit testing where, in our professional judgement, substantive procedures alone will provide sufficient appropriate audit evidence. Substantive procedures are audit procedures designed to detect material misstatements at the assertion level and comprise tests of detail (of classes of transaction, account balances, and disclosures), and substantive analytical procedures. Irrespective of our assessed risks of material misstatement, which takes account of our evaluation of the operating effectiveness of controls, we are required to design and perform substantive procedures for each material class of transaction, account balance, and disclosure.

Our audit has been planned and will be performed to provide reasonable assurance that the financial statements are free from material misstatement and give a true and fair view. The concept of materiality and how we define a misstatement is explained in the *'Materiality and misstatements'* section of this report.

The diagram on the next page outlines the procedures we perform at the different stages of our audit.

# Audit scope, approach, and timeline



## Materiality and misstatements

# Materiality and misstatements

## Definitions

Materiality is an expression of the relative significance or importance of a particular matter in the context of the financial statements as a whole.

Misstatements in the financial statements are considered to be material if they could, individually or in aggregate, reasonably be expected to influence the economic decisions of users based on the financial statements.

## Materiality

We determine materiality for the financial statements as a whole (overall materiality) using a benchmark that, in our professional judgement, is most appropriate to entity. We also determine an amount less than materiality (performance materiality), which is applied when we carry out our audit procedures and is designed to reduce to an appropriately low level the probability that the aggregate of uncorrected and undetected misstatements exceeds overall materiality. Further, we set a threshold above which all misstatements we identify during our audit (adjusted and unadjusted) will be reported to Governance Scrutiny Group.

Judgements on materiality are made in light of surrounding circumstances and are affected by the size and nature of a misstatement, or a combination of both. Judgements about materiality are based on a consideration of the common financial information needs of users as a group and not on specific individual users.

An assessment of what is material is a matter of professional judgement and is affected by our perception of the financial information needs of the users of the financial statements. In making our assessment we assume that users:

- Have a reasonable knowledge of business, economic activities, and accounts;
- Have a willingness to study the information in the financial statements with reasonable diligence;
- Understand that financial statements are prepared, presented, and audited to levels of materiality;
- Recognise the uncertainties inherent in the measurement of amounts based on the use of estimates, judgement, and consideration of future events; and
- Will make reasonable economic decisions based on the information in the financial statements.

We consider overall materiality and performance materiality while planning and performing our audit based on quantitative and qualitative factors.

When planning our audit, we make judgements about the size of misstatements we consider to be material. This provides a basis for our risk assessment procedures, including identifying and assessing the risks of material misstatement, and determining the nature, timing and extent of our responses to those risks.

The overall materiality and performance materiality that we determine does not necessarily mean that uncorrected misstatements that are below materiality, individually or in aggregate, will be considered immaterial.

We revise materiality as our audit progresses should we become aware of information that would have caused us to determine a different amount had we been aware of that information at the planning stage.

# Materiality and misstatements

## Materiality (continued)

We consider that gross expenditure at surplus/deficit level is the key focus of users of the financial statements and, as such, we base our materiality levels around this benchmark.

We expect to set a materiality threshold of 2% of gross revenue expenditure at surplus/deficit level.

As set out in the table below, based on currently available information (prior year audited statement of accounts) we anticipate overall materiality for the year ended 31 March 2026 to be in the region of £0.93m and performance materiality to be in the region of £0.744m.

We will continue to monitor materiality throughout our audit to ensure it is set at an appropriate level.

	2025-26 £'000s	2024-25 £'000s
Overall materiality	930	894
Performance materiality	744	715
Clearly trivial	27	26
Specific materiality – Senior Officers' Remuneration	10	10

## Misstatements

We will accumulate misstatements identified during our audit that are above our determined clearly trivial threshold.

We have set a clearly trivial threshold for individual misstatements we identify (a reporting threshold) for reporting to you and management that is consistent with a threshold where misstatements below that amount would not need to be accumulated because we expect that the accumulation of such amounts would not have a material effect on the financial statements.

Based on our preliminary assessment of overall materiality, our proposed clearly trivial threshold is £0.027m, based on 3% of overall materiality. If you have any queries about this, please raise these with us.

Each misstatement above the reporting threshold that we identify will be classified as:

- **Adjusted:** Those misstatements that we identify and are corrected by management.
- **Unadjusted:** Those misstatements that we identify that are not corrected by management.

We will report all misstatements above the reporting threshold to management and request that they are corrected. If they are not corrected, we will report each misstatement to you as unadjusted misstatements and, if they remain uncorrected, we will communicate the effect that they may have individually, or in aggregate, on our audit opinion.

Misstatements also cover qualitative misstatements and include quantitative and qualitative misstatements and omissions relating to the notes of the financial statements.

## Reporting

In summary, we will categorise and report misstatements above the reporting threshold to you as follows:

- Adjusted misstatements;
- Unadjusted misstatements; and
- Disclosure misstatements (adjusted and unadjusted).

## Significant risks and other key judgement areas

# Significant risks and other key judgement areas

Following the risk assessment approach set out in the *'Audit scope, approach, and timeline'* section, we have identified the risks of material misstatement in the financial statements. These risks are categorised as significant, enhanced, or standard. The definitions of these risk ratings are set out below.

## Significant risk

A risk that is assessed as being at or close to the upper end of the spectrum of inherent risk, based on a combination of the likelihood of a misstatement occurring and the magnitude of any potential misstatement. As required by auditing standards, a fraud risk is always assessed as a significant risk.

## Enhanced risk

An area with an elevated risk of material misstatement at the assertion level, other than a significant risk, based on factors/ information inherent to that area. Enhanced risks require additional consideration but do not rise to the level of a significant risk. These include but are not limited to:

- Key areas of management judgement and estimation uncertainty, including accounting estimates related to material classes of transaction, account balances, and disclosures but which are not considered to give rise to a significant risk of material misstatement; and
- Risks relating to other assertions and arising from significant events or transactions that occurred during the period.

## Standard risk

A risk related to assertions over classes of transaction, account balances, and disclosures that are relatively routine, non-complex, tend to be subject to systematic processing, and require little or no management judgement/ estimation. Although it is considered that there is a risk of material misstatement, there are no elevated or special factors related to the nature of the financial statement area, the likely magnitude of potential misstatements, or the likelihood of a risk occurring.

# Significant risks and other key judgement areas

## Audit risks and planned responses

In this section, we have set out the risks that we deem to be significant and enhanced, and our planned response. An audit is a dynamic process, and should we change our view of risk and/ or our approach to address those risks during our audit, we will report this to Governance Scrutiny Group.

### Significant risks

	Risk name	Fraud	Error	Judgement	Planned response
1	<p><b>Management Override of Controls</b> (a mandatory significant risk for all entities).</p> <p>Management at various levels within an organisation are in a unique position to perpetrate fraud because of their ability to manipulate accounting records and prepare fraudulent financial statements by overriding controls that otherwise appear to be operating effectively. Due to the unpredictable way in which such override could occur there is a risk of material misstatement due to fraud on all audits.</p>	●	○	●	<p>In line with our methodology, we plan to address the management override of controls risk through performing audit work over:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• accounting estimates;</li> <li>• journal entries; and</li> <li>• significant transactions outside the normal course of business or otherwise unusual.</li> </ul>
2	<p><b>Valuation of Investment Properties</b></p> <p>Investment Properties are a significant balance on the Council's balance sheet. The valuation of these properties is complex and is subject to a number of management assumptions and judgements. Due to the high degree of estimation uncertainty associated, we have determined there is a significant risk in this area.</p>	○	●	●	<p>We plan to address this risk by:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• critically assessing the scope of work, qualifications, objectivity and independence of the Council's valuer to carry out the required programme of revaluations;</li> <li>• considering whether the overall revaluation methodologies used by the Council's valuers are in line with industry practice, the CIPFA code of practice and the Council's accounting policies;</li> <li>• testing a sample of valuations carried out in the year to confirm they have been carried out on the correct basis and that the underlying judgements are based on relevant inputs and are reasonable;</li> <li>• assessing whether valuation movements are in line with market expectations by considering valuation trends; and</li> </ul>

# Significant risks and other key judgement areas

## Significant risks

	Risk name	Fraud	Error	Judgement	Planned response
3	<p><b>Valuation of the Net Defined Benefit Pension Asset/Obligation</b></p> <p>The defined benefit asset/liability relating to the Local Government pension scheme represents a significant balance on the Council's balance sheet.</p> <p>The Council uses an actuary to provide an annual valuation of these liabilities in line with the requirements of IAS 19 Employee Benefits. Due to the high degree of estimation uncertainty associated with this valuation, we have determined there is a significant risk in this area.</p>	○	●	●	<p>We plan to address the risk by:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Obtaining and understanding of the skills, experience, objectivity and independence of the Pension Fund's actuary;</li> <li>• Obtaining confirmation from the auditors of Nottinghamshire Pension Fund that the Pension Fund have designed and implemented controls to prevent and detect material misstatement. This will include the processes and controls in place to ensure data provided to the Actuary by the Pension Fund for the purposes of the IAS 19 valuation is complete and accurate;</li> <li>• Evaluating and challenging the work performed by the Nottinghamshire Pension Fund auditor on the Pension Fund investment assets, and considering whether the outcomes would materially impact our consideration of the Council's share of Pension Fund assets;</li> <li>• Reviewing the actuarial allocation of Pension Fund assets to the Council including comparing the Council's share of the assets to other corroborative information;</li> <li>• Reviewing the appropriateness of the Pension Asset and Liability valuation methodologies applied by the Pension Fund Actuary, and the key assumptions included within the valuation. This will include comparing them to expected ranges, utilising information by the consulting actuary engaged by the National Audit Office;</li> <li>• Agreeing the data in the IAS 19 valuation report provided by the Fund Actuary for accounting purposes to the pension accounting entries and disclosures in the Council's financial statements;</li> <li>• Reviewing and challenging the Council's assessment, under the requirements of IFRIC14, of its Pension surplus (if applicable) and confirming that the accounting treatment is appropriate and reasonable</li> <li>• Obtaining assurances from the auditor of the Nottinghamshire Pension Fund in relation to the underlying data supporting the Triennial Valuation of Nottinghamshire Pension Fund</li> </ul>

# Significant risks and other key judgement areas

## Other key areas of management judgement that we have determined as enhanced risks

	Risk name	Error	Judgement	Planned response
1	<p><b>Valuation of Property, Plant and Equipment (Land and Buildings)</b></p> <p>Property, Plant and Equipment (Land and Buildings) represents a significant balance on the Council's balance sheet. In previous years, it had been identified as a significant risk of material misstatement for the purposes of our audit.</p> <p>However, the 2025/26 CIPFA code introduces a requirement for property, plant, and equipment valuations to be conducted once every five years or on a five-year rolling basis, supported by indexation in intervening years. From our initial planning and risk assessment we have established that, in line with this updated guidance, the Council will be applying indexation in 2025/26.</p> <p>The Council will engage a valuation expert to support this work, which will require the use of judgement to identify an appropriate index and combined with the first-time application of this approach by the Council, the inherent risk of material misstatement is judged to be 'enhanced', but not 'significant' for 2025/26.</p>	●	●	<p>We plan to address this risk by:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>critically assessing the scope of work, qualifications, objectivity and independence of the Council's valuer to carry out the required programme of revaluations;</li> <li>considering whether the choice of indices selected by the Council's valuation expert is in line with industry practice, the CIPFA code of practice and the Council's accounting policies;</li> <li>critically assessing the indices applied to the Land and Buildings for 2025/26 by asset type</li> <li>reperforming uplift calculations to confirm mathematical accuracy.</li> </ul>

# Significant risks and other key judgement areas

## Other considerations

In consideration of ISA (UK) 260 *Communication with Those Charged with Governance*, we would like to seek your views/ knowledge of the following matters:

- Did you identify any other risks (business, laws & regulation, fraud, going concern etc.) that may result in material misstatements?
- Are you aware of any significant communications between Rushcliffe Borough Council and regulators?
- Are there any matters that you consider warrant particular attention during the course of our audit, and any areas where you would like additional procedures to be undertaken?

We plan to do this by formal letter to Governance Scrutiny Group which we will obtain prior to completing our audit.

## Significant difficulties encountered during the course of audit

In accordance with ISA (UK) 260 *Communication with Those Charged with Governance*, we are required to communicate certain matters to you which include, but are not limited to, significant difficulties, if any, that are encountered during our audit. Such difficulties may include matters such as:

- Significant delays in management providing information that we require to perform our audit.
- An unnecessarily brief time within which to complete our audit.
- Extensive and unexpected effort to obtain sufficient appropriate audit evidence.
- Unavailability of expected information.
- Restrictions imposed on us by management.
- Unwillingness by management to make or extend their assessment of an entity's ability to continue as a going concern when requested.

We will highlight to you on a timely basis should we encounter any such difficulties (if our audit process is unduly impeded, this could require us to issue a modified auditor's report).

# 06

Value for Money

# Value for money

## The framework for value for money work

We are required to form a view as to whether the Council has made proper arrangements for securing economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources. The NAO issues guidance to auditors that underpins the work we are required to carry out in order to form our view and sets out the overall criterion and sub-criteria that we are required to consider.

This will be the second audit year where we are undertaking our value for money (VFM) work under the full 2024 Code of Audit Practice (the Code). Our responsibility remains to be satisfied that the Council has proper arrangements in place, and to report in the auditor's report where we are not satisfied that arrangements are in place. Where we have issued a recommendation in relation to a significant weaknesses this indicates we are not satisfied that arrangements are in place. Separately we provide a commentary on the Council's arrangements in the Auditor's Annual Report.

A key change in the 2024 Code of Audit Practice is the requirement for us to issue our Auditor's Annual Report for the year ending 31st March 2026 to you in draft by the 30th November 2026. This is required whether our audit is complete or not. Should our work not be complete, we will report the status of our work and any findings to up to that point (and since the issue of our previous Auditor's Annual Report). Further information will be provided in Appendix A.

## Specified reporting criteria

The Code requires us to structure our commentary to report under three specified criteria:

1. **Financial sustainability** – how the Council plans and manages its resources to ensure it can continue to deliver its services;
2. **Governance** – how the Council ensures that it makes informed decisions and properly manages its risks; and
3. **Improving economy, efficiency and effectiveness** – how the Council uses information about its costs and performance to improve the way it manages and delivers its services.

## Our approach

Our work falls into three primary phases as outlined opposite. We gather sufficient evidence to support our commentary on the Council's arrangements and to identify and report on any significant weaknesses in arrangements. Where significant weaknesses are identified, we are required to report these to the Council and make recommendations for improvement. Such recommendations can be made at any point during the audit cycle, and we are not expected to wait until issuing our overall commentary to do so.

Planning	<p>Obtaining an understanding of the Council's arrangements for each specified reporting criteria. Relevant information sources will include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• NAO guidance and supporting information</li><li>• Information from internal and external sources including regulators</li><li>• Knowledge from previous audits and other audit work undertaken in the year</li><li>• Interviews and discussions with staff and members</li></ul>
Additional risk based procedures and evaluation	<p>Where our planning work identifies risks of significant weaknesses, we will undertake additional procedures to determine whether there is a significant weakness.</p>
Reporting	<p>We will provide a summary of the work we have undertaken and our judgements against each of the specified reporting criteria as part of our commentary on arrangements which forms part of the Auditor's Annual Report.</p> <p>Our commentary will also highlight:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Significant weaknesses identified and our recommendations for improvement; and</li><li>• Emerging issues or other matters that do not represent significant weaknesses but still require attention from the Council.</li></ul>

07

Audit fees and other services

# Audit fees and other services

## Fees for work as the Council's appointed auditor

Our fees (exclusive of VAT) as the Council's appointed for the year ended 31 March 2026 are outlined below.

Our fees are designed to reflect the time, professional experience, and expertise required to perform our audit.

Area of work	2025-26 Proposed Fee	2024-25 Actual Fee
Code Audit Work (Scale Fee)	£146,459	£142,471
Additional fees in respect of additional work from the introduction of new accounting standards (IFRS 16 Leases) *		£12,006
Additional fees in respect of additional work on journal testing for the control finding and testing of assets fully depreciated and not disposed.*		£2,527
	<b>£146,459</b>	<b>£157,004</b>

\*As previously reported, these variations to the 2024/25 scale fee are currently with PSAA for approval

08

Confirmation of our independence

# Confirmation of our independence

## Requirements

We comply with the International Code of Ethics for Professional Accountants, including International Independence Standards issued by the International Ethics Standards Board for Accountants together with the ethical requirements that are relevant to our audit of the financial statements in the UK reflected in the ICAEW Code of Ethics and the FRC Revised Ethical Standard.

## Compliance

We are not aware of any relationship between Forvis Mazars and Rushcliffe Borough Council that, in our professional judgement, may reasonably be thought to impair our independence.

We are independent of Rushcliffe Borough Council and have fulfilled our independence and ethical responsibilities in accordance with the requirements applicable to our audit.

## Non-audit and Audit fees

We have set out a summary of the non-audit services provided by Forvis Mazars (with related fees) to Rushcliffe Borough Council, together with our audit fees and independence assessment.

We are committed to independence and confirm that we comply with the FRC's Revised Ethical Standard. In addition, we have set out in this section any matters or relationships we believe may have a bearing on our independence or the objectivity of our audit team.

Based on the information provided by you and our own internal procedures to safeguard our independence as auditors, we confirm that in our professional judgement there are no relationships between us and any of our related or subsidiary entities, and you and your related entities, that create any unacceptable threats to our independence within the regulatory or professional requirements governing us as your auditors.

We have policies and procedures in place that are designed to ensure that we carry out our work with integrity, objectivity, and independence. These policies include:

- All partners and staff are required to complete an annual independence declaration.
- All new partners and staff are required to complete an independence confirmation and complete annual ethical training.
- Rotation policies covering audit engagement partners and other key members of the audit team.
- Use by managers and partners of our client and engagement acceptance system, which requires all non-audit services to be approved in advance by the audit engagement partner.

We confirm, as at the date of this report, that the engagement team and others in the firm as appropriate, Forvis Mazars LLP are independent and comply with relevant ethical requirements. However, if at any time you have concerns or questions about our integrity, objectivity or independence, please discuss these with us in the first instance.

Prior to the provision of any non-audit services, we will undertake appropriate procedures to consider and fully assess the impact that providing the service may have on our independence as auditor.

Principal threats to our independence and the associated safeguards we have identified and/ or put in place are set out in Terms of Appointment issued by PSAA available from the PSAA website: [Terms of appointment and further guidance from 1 October 2025/](#) Any emerging independence threats and associated identified safeguards will be communicated in our Audit Completion Report.

# Appendices

Appendix A – Key communication points

Appendix B – National publications and technical updates

# Appendix A: Key communication points

We value communication with Governance Scrutiny Group, as a two-way feedback process is at the heart of our client service commitment. The Code of Audit Practice as well as ISA (UK) 260 *Communication with Those Charged with Governance* and ISA (UK) 265 *Communicating Deficiencies In Internal Control To Those Charged With Governance And Management* specifically require us to communicate a number of matters with you. We meet these requirements, principally, through presenting the following documents to you:

- Our Audit Strategy Memorandum;
- Our Audit Completion Report; and
- Our Auditor's Annual Report.

These documents will be discussed with management prior to being presented to you and their comments will be incorporated as appropriate.

Relevant points that need to be communicated with you at each stage of the audit are outlined below.

## Key communication points at the planning stage as included in this Audit Strategy Memorandum

- Our responsibilities in relation to the audit of the financial statements;
- The planned scope and timing of the audit;
- Significant audit risks and areas of management judgement;
- Our commitment to independence;
- Responsibilities for preventing and detecting errors;
- Materiality and misstatements; and
- Fees for audit and other services.

## Key communication points at the completion stage to be included in our Audit Completion Report

- Significant deficiencies in internal control;
- Significant findings from the audit;
- Significant matters discussed with management;
- Significant difficulties, if any, encountered during the audit;
- Qualitative aspects of the entity's accounting practices, including accounting policies, accounting estimates and financial statement disclosures;
- Our conclusions on the significant audit risks and areas of management judgement;
- Summary of misstatements;
- Management representation letter;
- Our proposed draft audit report; and
- Independence.

## Changes introduced by the 2024 Code of Audit Practice

The 2024 Code now requires the auditor to issue the draft Auditor's Annual Report by 30<sup>th</sup> November following each year end. For the 2025/26 audit, this means that we must issue our draft Auditor's Annual Report by 30 November 2026, whether our audit is complete or not.

In instances where our audit work is not complete by 30 November for any given year, the 2024 Code requires us to provide a summary of the status of the audit at the time of issuance and should reflect the work completed to date since we issued our previous Auditor's Annual Report. In such instances, we will issue an Interim Auditor's Annual Report to meet the 30 November deadline. On completion of any outstanding financial statement audit work or Value for Money arrangements work, we will re-issue the Auditor's Annual Report which will include an updated commentary on Value for Money arrangements.

# Appendix A: Key communication points

ISA (UK) 260 *Communication with Those Charged with Governance*, ISA (UK) 265 *Communicating Deficiencies In Internal Control To Those Charged With Governance And Management* and other ISAs (UK) specifically require us to communicate the following:

Required communication	Where addressed
Our responsibilities in relation to the financial statement audit and those of management and Those Charged with Governance.	Audit Strategy Memorandum
The planned scope and timing of the audit including any limitations, specifically including with respect to significant risks.	Audit Strategy Memorandum
With respect to misstatements: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Uncorrected misstatements and their effect on our audit opinion;</li> <li>• The effect of uncorrected misstatements related to prior periods;</li> <li>• A request that any uncorrected misstatement is corrected; and</li> <li>• In writing, corrected misstatements that are significant.</li> </ul>	Audit Completion Report
With respect to fraud communications: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Inquiries with Governance Scrutiny Group to determine whether you have knowledge of any actual, suspected, or alleged fraud affecting the entity;</li> <li>• Any fraud that we have identified or information we have obtained that indicates that fraud may exist; and</li> <li>• A discussion of any other matters related to fraud.</li> </ul>	Audit Completion Report and discussion at Governance Scrutiny Group meeting(s), audit planning meeting(s), and audit clearance meeting(s)

# Appendix A: Key communication points

Required communication	Where addressed
<p>Significant matters arising during the audit in connection with the entity’s related parties including, when applicable:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Non-disclosure by management;</li> <li>• Inappropriate authorisation and approval of transactions;</li> <li>• Disagreement over disclosures;</li> <li>• Non-compliance with laws and regulations; and</li> <li>• Difficulty in identifying the party that ultimately controls the entity.</li> </ul>	<p>Audit Completion Report</p>
<p>Significant findings from the audit including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Our view about the significant qualitative aspects of accounting practices including accounting policies, accounting estimates and financial statement disclosures;</li> <li>• Significant difficulties, if any, encountered during the audit;</li> <li>• Significant matters, if any, arising from the audit that were discussed with management or were the subject of correspondence with management;</li> <li>• Written representations that we are seeking;</li> <li>• Expected modifications to the audit report; and</li> <li>• Other matters, if any, significant to the oversight of the financial reporting process or otherwise identified in the course of the audit that we believe will be relevant to the Governance Scrutiny Group in the context of fulfilling your responsibilities.</li> </ul>	<p>Audit Completion Report</p>

## Appendix A: Key communication points

Required communication	Where addressed
Significant deficiencies in internal controls identified during the audit.	Audit Completion Report
Where relevant, any issues identified with respect to the Council to obtain external confirmations or inability to obtain relevant and reliable audit evidence from other procedures.	Audit Completion Report
Audit findings regarding non-compliance with laws and regulations where the non-compliance is material and believed to be intentional (subject to compliance with legislation on tipping off) and inquiry of Governance Scrutiny Group into possible instances of non-compliance with laws and regulations that may have a material effect on the financial statements that Governance Scrutiny Group may be aware of.	Audit Completion Report and Governance Scrutiny Group meeting(s)
<p>With respect to going concern, events or conditions identified that may cast significant doubt on the entity's ability to continue as a going concern, including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Whether the events or conditions constitute a material uncertainty;</li> <li>• Whether the use of the going concern assumption is appropriate in the preparation and presentation of the financial statements; and</li> <li>• The adequacy of related disclosures in the financial statements.</li> </ul>	Audit Completion Report
<p>Communication regarding our system of quality management, compliant with ISQM (UK) 1, developed to support the consistent performance of quality audit engagements. To address the requirements of ISQM (UK) 1, our firm's System of Quality Management team completes, as part of an ongoing and iterative process, a number of key steps to assess and conclude on our firm's System of Quality Management:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Ensure there is an appropriate assignment of responsibilities under ISQM (UK) 1 and across Leadership</li> <li>• Establish and review quality objectives each year, ensuring ISQM (UK) 1 objectives align with the firm's strategies and priorities</li> <li>• Identify, review, and update quality risks each quarter, taking into consideration the number of input sources (such as FRC / ICAEW review findings, internal monitoring findings, findings from our firm's root cause analysis and remediation functions, etc.)</li> <li>• Identify, design, and implement responses as part of the process to strengthen our firm's internal control environment and overall quality</li> <li>• Evaluate responses and remediate control gaps or deficiencies</li> </ul> <p>We perform an evaluation of our system of quality management on an annual basis. Our first evaluation was performed as of 31 August 2023. Details of that assessment and our conclusion are set out in our 2022/2023 Transparency Report, which is available on our website <a href="#">here</a>.</p> <p>The details of our evaluation of our system of quality management as of 31 August 2024, and our conclusion, set out in our 2023/24 Transparency Report, which is available on our website <a href="#">here</a>.</p>	Audit Strategy Memorandum

## Appendix B: National publications and technical updates

	Publication/update	Key points
<b>Chartered Institute of Public Finance and Accountancy ('CIPFA')</b>		
1	Audit Committee Update issue 41 – the local audit backstop	A guide for audit committee members at English local authorities to explain the local audit backstop arrangements. It covers key terms, dates, roles and responsibilities and how audit committee members can help. Link: <a href="#">Audit Committee Update issue 41 – the local audit backstop</a>
<b>National Audit Office ('NAO')</b>		
2	NAO insight: Financial management in government: monitoring and forecasting	This guide is for senior finance leaders in government departments and other public bodies. It sets out insights and good practice on monitoring and forecasting for better financial management in government. Link: <a href="#">Financial management in government: monitoring and forecasting</a>
3	NAO insight: Government's approach to technology suppliers: addressing the challenges	This report examines government's overall approach to digital and technology suppliers. It sets out lessons for the centre of government and departments to learn from government's approach to digital procurement. Link: <a href="#">Government's approach to technology suppliers: addressing the challenges</a>
4	NAO insight: Financial management in government: allocating resources	This guide is for senior finance leaders in government departments and other public bodies. It sets out insights and good practice on how to allocate resources for better financial management in government. Link: <a href="#">Financial management in government: allocating resources</a>
5	NAO report: Managing conflicts of interest: value for money	This report looks at the governance, systems and processes that public bodies have used to manage conflicts of interest. <a href="#">Managing conflicts of interest: value for money</a>
6	NAO report: Local Government Financial Sustainability	This report focuses on MHCLG as the department responsible for the framework in which within local government operate and provides transparency over the current position of local government finances. <a href="#">Local government financial sustainability - NAO report</a>
<b>Other</b>		
7	Forvis Mazars - Annual Local Government Risk Report 2025/26	The report deep dives into the known and emerging risks for Local Authorities in 2025/26, as well as what they should include in their internal audit plans. <a href="#">Annual Local Government Risk Report for 2025/26</a>
8	Forvis Mazars – Public Sector in Focus Report	This report outlines the top priorities and risks shaping the future of the sector. <a href="#">Public sector in focus: Charting the road ahead - Forvis Mazars - United Kingdom</a>

# Contact

## Forvis Mazars

**Mark Surridge**

Partner

Mark.Surridge@mazars.co.uk

Forvis Mazars LLP is the UK firm of Forvis Mazars Global, a leading global professional services network. Forvis Mazars LLP is a limited liability partnership registered in England and Wales with registered number OC308299 and with its registered office at 30 Old Bailey, London, EC4M 7AU. Registered to carry on audit work in the UK by the Institute of Chartered Accountants in England and Wales. Details about our audit registration can be viewed at [www.auditregister.org.uk](http://www.auditregister.org.uk) under reference number C001139861. VAT number: GB 839 8356 73

© Forvis Mazars 2026. All rights reserved.