

Parish Council Responses To PSPO (In general)

Parish/Owner	Area	Approved/ Rejected
Tollerton	<p>Further to the current consultation on a PSPO on dog control please be advised that the parish council objects to the inclusion of land within Tollerton that is in the ownership or management of Tollerton Parish Council.</p> <p>This order is viewed as unnecessary and excessive interference in the operation of facilities that are already successfully managed by the parish council whilst the proposed role for officers of the borough council over these facilities could be viewed as unwarranted centralisation of functions at a time when district and county authorities should be considering the reverse and devolving functions.</p> <p>To avoid our residents and rate payers paying for same service twice councillors have agreed that we should continue to manage our own facilities and we would be grateful if you could please confirm that this land has been removed from the proposed order.</p>	Approved. Tollerton will be exempted from the Order as requested

Parish Council Responses To 'Dogs on Lead' Areas (Schedule 1)

Parish/Owner	Area	Approved/ Rejected
Car Colston	Dogs to be kept on leads on all public pathways and highways	Rejected, no evidence of harm and not proportionate (Refer to Statutory Guidance)
Colston Bassett	A range of areas were referred to individually for leash-use; these were areas of social-use, play areas or churchyard areas, but no Colston Bassett area(s) received any large volume of comment to suggest considering their classification as a leash or exclusion area at this time.	Already covered in schedules 1 & 2 (enclosed/fenced play areas only)
Cotgrave	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The shopping centre pedestrian area 2. Arthur Ridley Sports Pitches located on Colliers Way. <p>Please could I add some addition areas to be considered, please could I suggest that the play areas on Hollygate Park be placed in the Section Two category, this is different to the other play areas which all have higher fencing and the parks on Hollygate only have kneeling rail around the equipment.</p>	<p>Rejected, no evidence of harm provided (Refer to Statutory Guidance)</p> <p>Rejected, no evidence of harm provided (Refer to Statutory Guidance)</p>
Gotham	Our Recreation Ground is used for Cricket and Football plus a Children's play area. Our dog policy (well signed) is that dogs be kept on leads and to the perimeter of the grounds	local arrangements can continue

Radcliffe on Trent	Dogs on leads (Rockley Memorial Park, Churchyard & Grange Grounds)	Already covered schedule 1
Ruddington	Flawforth Churchyard	Already covered schedule 1
Saxondale	Farm paths being included within Schedule 2.	Rejected, No evidence of harm (Refer to statutory guidance)

Parish Council Responses To 'Dog Exclusion Areas' (Schedule 2)

Parish/Owner	Area	Approved/ Rejected
Aslockton	Committee would like to add Aslockton Playing Field management - all of the Playing Fields to schedule 3	Rejected – No evidence, but local arrangements in place
Cotgrave	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Ringleas/Eastmoor play area 2. Broadmeer play area 3. Grassmere play area 4. The play area located at the Cotgrave Shopping Area, inside the perimeter fence 5. The MUGA, located next to Cotgrave Futures on Candleby Lane 6. The football pitch located next to the dog walking field adjacent to Cotgrave Leisure Centre/Lingford. (This field is fenced and gated off from the dog walking field.) 	<p>Items 1-5 already covered in schedule 2 if play/sports areas are enclosed/fenced</p> <p>Item 6 - Rejected, no evidence of harm (refer to statutory guidance)</p>
Cropwell Bishop	<p>Cropwell Bishop Parish Council Playing Fields</p> <p>The Old School Gardens Area - fenced</p>	Rejected, no evidence of harm (Refer to statutory guidance)
East Bridgford	Fenced play area on Butt Close is schedule 3 I.e. no dogs permitted at all.	Already covered in schedule 2

Gotham		The children's play area	Already covered in schedule 2 if enclosed/ fenced
Granby Sutton	cum	The Cemetery, Sutton Lane, Granby, The Playground, Village Hall, Sutton Lane, Granby, The Churchyard, Sutton Lane, Granby, As a point of interest, for an area to be considered under the proposed scheduled 3, such as a village green, would it be necessary to fence that area so that there is a clear barrier?	Rejected, schedule 1, dogs on lead Already covered in schedule 2 Rejected, schedule 1, dog on lead Not necessarily but unlikely this would be approved on the basis of lack of evidence and this would be considered a disproportionate approach
Langar		We have no dogs permitted on the Play Park and the Football Fields/Muga	Local arrangements in place
Radcliffe Trent	on	No dogs (Radcliffe Cemetery) All Play Areas – Grange Grounds, Wharf Lane, Valley Road, Bingham Road, Upper Saxondale & also Wharf Lane football pitch	Covered for dog fouling but considered disproportionate to ban dogs without further evidence (Refer to Statutory Guidance) Already covered within schedule 2 if an enclosed or fenced play area

Ruddington	Fenced play equipment areas added to Schedule 3, they are located on Sellars Field, Wilford Road, St Marys Close and Vicarage Lane	Fenced play areas are already covered within schedule 2
------------	--	---