Constitutional Services 0115 914 8481 constitutionalservices@rushcliffe.gov.uk

Our reference: Your reference: Date: 21 November 2016

To all Members of the Performance Management Board

**Dear Councillor** 

A meeting of the PERFORMANCE MANAGEMENT BOARD will be held on Tuesday 29 November 2016 at 7.00 pm in the Council Chamber, Civic Centre, Pavilion Road, West Bridgford to consider the following items of business.

Yours sincerely

**Deputy Monitoring Officer** 

# AGENDA

- 1. Apologies for absence
- 2. Declarations of Interest
- 3. Notes of the Meeting held on Thursday 29 September 2016 (pages 3 8)
- 4. Parkwood Leisure Contract Annual Review

The report of the Executive Manager - Finance and Corporate Services is attached (pages 9 - 17).

5. Edwalton Golf Courses Contract - Interim Update

The report of the Executive Manager - Finance and Corporate Services is attached (pages 18 - 20).

6. Equality Annual Report 2015/16

The report of the Executive Manager - Operations and Transformation is attached (pages 21 - 3€).

7. Performance Monitoring - Quarter 2 2016/17

The report of the Executive Manager - Operations and Transformation is attached (pages 3F - 4 $\dot{l}$  ).



#### Rushcliffe Community Contact Centre

Rectory Road West Bridgford Nottingham NG2 6BU

In person Monday to Friday 8am - 6pm Saturday

9am - 1pm By telephone Monday to Friday 8am - 6pm

Telephone:

0115 981 9911 Fax: 0115 945 5882 Email: customerservices @rushcliffe.gov.uk

www.rushcliffe.gov.uk

Postal address Civic Centre Pavilion Road West Bridgford Nottingham NG2 5FE



8. Work Programme

The report of the Executive Manager - Finance and Corporate Services is attached (pages 49 - 50).

Membership

Chairman: Councillor D G Wheeler Vice-Chairman: Councillor H A Chewings Councillors A J Edyvean, Mrs C E M Jeffreys, N C Lawrence, Mrs M M Males, S C Matthews, A Phillips, E A Plant

# Meeting Room Guidance

**Fire Alarm Evacuation:** in the event of an alarm sounding please evacuate the building using the nearest fire exit, normally through the Council Chamber. You should assemble in the Nottingham Forest car park adjacent to the main gates.

**Toilets:** are located opposite Committee Room 2.

**Mobile Phones:** For the benefit of others please ensure that your mobile phone is switched off whilst you are in the meeting.

**Microphones:** When you are invited to speak please press the button on your microphone, a red light will appear on the stem. Please ensure that you switch this off after you have spoken.



# NOTES OF THE MEETING OF THE PERFORMANCE MANAGEMENT BOARD THURSDAY 29 SEPTEMBER 2016

Held at 7.00 pm in the Council Chamber, Civic Centre, Pavilion Road, West Bridgford

# PRESENT:

Councillors D G Wheeler (Chairman), H A Chewings, A J Edyvean, Mrs C E M Jeffreys, Mrs M M Males, S C Matthews, A Phillips, E A Plant, J E Thurman (substitute for N C Lawrence)

#### ALSO IN ATTENDANCE:

D Musto Broxtowe Borough Council

#### **OFFICERS PRESENT:**

D Burch	Service Manager - Neighbourhoods					
C Caven-Atack	Performance, Reputation and Constitutional Services					
	Manager					
K Marriott	Executive Manager – Transformation and Operations					
I Meader	Performance Officer					
V Nightingale	Constitutional Services Officer					

# **APOLOGY FOR ABSENCE:**

Councillor N C Lawrence

# 9. **Declarations of Interest**

There were none declared.

#### 10. Notes of the Previous Meeting

The notes of the meeting held on Tuesday 7 June 2016 were accepted as a true record.

Following consideration of the actions from the last meeting, Councillor Mrs Males stated that the Ladies Committee of the Edwalton Golf Course now met on an informal basis and did not have scheduled meetings and therefore she was unable to fulfil her role as the Borough's representative. The Executive Manager – Transformation and Operations agreed to investigate this further.

Councillor Mrs Males informed Members that the 'Disability Sports Day' that had been held at Cotgrave Leisure Centre had been very successful and well organised. She stated that the 2017 Games would be held at the Arena. Officers agreed to keep Members informed. It was also noted that further publicity could be circulated on notice boards in the close vicinity of the building.

# 11. Civil Parking Enforcement Contract Update

The Service Manager – Neighbourhoods presented a report which updated Members on the work of the Civil Parking Enforcement Contract during 2015/16. He stated that through partnership working with Broxtowe Borough Council, there was greater resilience and that Mr Musto had a vast knowledge of the subject. Following a question the Service Manager – Neighbourhoods explained that he oversaw the Council's car parks in the Borough and where charges had been introduced, he worked with the Estates team on the condition of the car parks including the lighting, and that he liaised with Mr Musto on changes to policies and charging regimes as well as the implementation of the Contract.

Members were informed that both the on-street and off-street accounts had made a profit and that the Council received the surplus of £27,067 from the off-street account. The Service Manager – Neighbourhoods stated that 8,310 fixed penalty notices had been issued during the year which was an increase of 540; he said there had been 1,180 appeals of which 312 had been successful. It was noted that the majority of activity was in the West Bridgford area. F ollowing a question, Members were informed that any decision to introduce charging at other Council car parks could be p art of the Budget Workshop discussions and that any decisions would need to be agreed by Members. The Service Manager – Neighbourhoods informed the Board that there were plans to upgrade the pay and display machines in West Bridgford, to replace the lighting in the car parks and to apply for a parking order for the car park at Rushcliffe Country Park.

Members queried the new technology that was being introduced in West Bridgford and were informed that the new machines, which were anticipated to be in place by April 2017, would be able to accept all types of payments including debit/credit cards and pay by phone. It was noted that there had been an issue with previous machines that had number plate recognition however this had be en resolved and would be incorporated into the new machines. C ouncillor Matthews gave an example of how a fine had be en issued due to the fact that the parking ticket had been placed upside down in the car's windscreen; it was noted that the pay by phone ability would prevent this from being an issue.

With regard to the number of appeals it was noted that over 10% of the fixed penalty notices were contested. Mr Musto explained that the number of appeals was increasing and that he expected this to rise over the next five years. He stated that there were three stages to the appeals process, with recourse to an independent adjudicator being the final stage. He also explained how the charge in relation to the discount period was frozen during the time of the appeal.

Following a question regarding the number of wardens allocated to the Rushcliffe area, Members were informed that there were four, two based in West Bridgford. In respect of the other towns and villages, Mr Musto stated that enforcement was based on need and demand; officers undertook an observation period and measured the number of incidents; this enabled them to identify hot spots, which was mainly outside schools at peak times.

Members asked about the Council owned car park at East Leake and how the parish council had placed parking notices restricting people to a maximum of four hours. Mr Musto stated that these notices were unenforceable as there was no parking order in place. The Executive Manager – Transformation and Operations stated that if Members or the parish council felt there was an issue an exercise could be undertaken to identify if a car parking order was necessary. East Leake members were asked to let officers know if this should be explored. She also reminded Members that the Council owned car parks in other areas where there weren't parking orders in place, for example Cotgrave shopping centre.

In respect of the car park at Bunny Lane, Keyworth, officers stated that there had always been a twelve hour restriction in place. Members stated that long stay parking was affecting shoppers' ability to park. Officers agreed to take Members' comments on board.

The Chairman thanked Mr Musto and the Service Manager – Neighbourhoods for a detailed report and for answering Members' questions.

AGREED that the Board had considered and commented on the performance of the Civil Parking Enforcement contract.

# 12. Review of Customer Feedback 2015/16

The Performance, Reputation and Constitutional Services Manager presented the annual customer feedback report. She stated that there had been a slight increase in the number of complaints received and that 22% had been escalated to Stage 2. In respect of complaints to the Local Government Ombudsman, six had been finalised, of which only one h ad been upheld. Members noted that the number of complaints was very low at 41 and that there had been 174 compliments received. The Chairman stated that it was important that Members fed back any compliments from residents.

With regard to a specific complaint, Members were informed that all calls received through the Customer Contact Centre were recorded. These calls were often used for training sessions.

The Board queried how complaints were judged to be justified. The Executive Manager – Transformation and Operations stated that this was an officer judgement at management level. Officers would admit when something had gone wrong and would make redress. Sometimes however, residents simply did not like the answer they were given, and officers were unable to change this. She said that officers were not complacent and were aware that mistakes could happen.

AGREED that the report was accepted as a true record of customer feedback in 2015/16.

# 13. **Performance Monitoring – Quarter 1 2016/17**

The Performance, Reputation and Constitutional Services Manager presented the data for Quarter 1 which included information on the 12 tasks contained in the new Corporate Strategy, which had all commenced. She stated that five of the tasks had been rolled forward from the previous Strategy.

One highlight, percentage of clean streets, and one exception, number of calls answered in 30 seconds, had been identified this guarter. It was noted that Quarter 1 was the busiest guarter for the Contact Centre with gueries on annual billing, green bin renewals and elections. Officers explained that some members of staff had left and it had taken time to train new staff. Officers recognised that this was a short term issue and that performance would improve over the next two guarters. Members noted that it was a difficult balance staffing the Call Centre. The Executive Manager – Transformation and Operations stated that the Management Team were monitoring the situation and that they did not anticipate any problems. Members gueried the target of 30 seconds and were informed that this had been a national indicator and 30 seconds was judged as best practice. Officers stated that the system could be interrogated to identify a wide variety of data including the number of abandoned calls, call back rate and length of time taken. Members felt that as there had been no complaints received, and that officers were monitoring this on a weekly basis there was no need for them to be concerned.

The Board queried the length of time taken to rehouse applicants. The Executive Manager – Transformation and Operations stated that some residents were in temporary accommodation for longer than officers would have liked. She explained that there were some very complex cases that were difficult to resolve. She assured Members that the Housing Options Manager was closely monitoring the situation.

In respect of the proposed additions to the Corporate Basket of Indicators Members felt that the data for the Cotgrave colliery site and town centre improvements was being scrutinised by the Cotgrave Strategic Board. It was felt that this should not be referred to this Board at this time as it would be duplication. Councillor Mrs Jeffreys commended the Executive Manager – Transformation and Operations and her staff for the excellent work that had been undertaken at Cotgrave. S he said that staff had listened to the community and the Town Council, dealt with the awkward questions and reported back as and when appropriate. S he felt that it was good that the Borough Council had staff who were willing to do an excellent job.

Members felt that the following indicators should not be in the basket:

- Number of social media followers
- Information on s ports and l eisure centres, reported as part of the Parkwood and Carillion annual reports
- Streets passing clean streets inspections, reported as part of the scrutiny of Streetwise Environmental Ltd
- Land charges fees received
- Number of planning applications received
- Percentage usage of community facilities
- Cash income from investments
- Percentage of Members attending training events, considered by the Member Development Group

- Percentage of complaints responded to within target times, reported as part of the annual customer feedback report
- Percentage of eligible households taking up the green waste collection service
- Residual waste collected per household, in kilos
- Total days lost following staff accidents, scrutinised by the Corporate Governance Group
- Number of Neighbourhood Plans adopted

With regard to the collection of recyclable waste, officers explained that the percentage figure was lower than some members expected as there were items that could not be collected due to the waste disposal contract. A loo Members had previously decided that the kerbside collection of glass would put too much onto the Council precept. T he Executive Manager – Transformation and Operations explained that next year there could be changes introduced nationally that could increase the cost of kerbside collection for local authorities. The data did include waste collected from bring sites. Members also noted that the target was profiled throughout the year and that more waste was collected during the first two quarters due to the collection of green waste. Officers stated that more detailed information would be included on the Extranet.

Members discussed the need to continue to monitor the number of fly tipping incidents. It was also noted that although the hygiene rating for food establishments was contained on the Council's website it was felt that it was an important issue and should be monitored.

The Performance, Reputation and Constitutional Services Manager explained that all the data would be available on the Members' Extranet and would only be presented to the Board when it was available.

AGREED that the Board had considered the progress of the Corporate Strategy, any exceptions identified and had reviewed the indicators they wished to oversee.

# 14. Work Programme

The Board considered and agreed its work programme.

The Chairman stated that work had been undertaken with Glendale Golf on developing a programme to improve the asset. It was noted that the company would be investing £50,000 of capital works and members expressed the desire to see further council money invested in the facility. Following a question, Members were informed that the task and finish group set up to consider the Edwalton Golf Course had just had its first meeting and that it would be reporting back to Cabinet in September 2017.

The meeting closed at 8.30 pm.

# Action Sheet PERFORMANCE MANAGEMENT BOARD – THURSDAY 29 SEPTEMBER 2016

Minute Number		Actions	Officer Responsible
10.	Notes of the Previous meeting	Investigate the Council's representation on the Ladies Committee of the Edwalton Golf Course	Executive Manager - Operations and Transformation
11.	Civil Parking Enforcement Contract Update	East Leake Members to advise if they and the East Leake parish council believe an exercise should be undertaken to identify if a car parking order should be introduced in East Leake	East Leake PMB members

Minute Number		Actions	Officer Responsible	Response	
10.	Notes of the Previous meeting	Investigate the Council's representation on the Ladies Committee of the Edwalton Golf Course	Executive Manager - Operations and Transformation	This is being investigated with the Club.	
11.	Civil Parking Enforcement Contract Update	East Leake Members to advise if they and the East Leake parish council believe an exercise should be undertaken to identify if a car parking order should be introduced in East Leake	East Leake PMB members	There has been no further information received.	



# **Report of the Executive Manager - Finance and Corporate Services**

# 1. Summary

- 1.1. The contract for the management of five of the Council's leisure centres was awarded to Parkwood Leisure Ltd in August 2007. This report provides Members with a broad range of information and performance data to allow them to consider the effectiveness of the delivery of this contract by Parkwood Leisure.
- 1.2. This report contains figures for the period 1 August 2015 to the 31 July 2016, which is the contractual year.
- 1.3. It should be noted that following the decision to consolidate West Bridgford leisure provision the Arena site closed during the period and work is near completion for the opening of the new Arena in January 2017. It is anticipated that Rushcliffe Leisure Centre will close to the public on 24 December 2016 to allow a smooth transition to the new centre.

# 2. Recommendation

It is RECOMMENDED that the Performance Management Board finds the performance of Parkwood Leisure over the past contractual year to be within acceptable parameters.

# 3. Reasons for Recommendation

3.1. To comply with good practice in reporting on the annual performance of this contract.

# 4. Supporting Evidence

4.1. A detailed performance analysis is provided at **Appendix 1** and this information will be supplemented at the meeting by a pr esentation by representatives of the Company.

# 5. Risk and Uncertainties

5.1. This contract is provided in a competitive market with a number of major providers (for example, David Lloyd and Roko) operating in close proximity to the Council's facilities.

# 6. Implications

# 6.1. Finance

None arising from this report.

# 6.2. **Lega**l

None arising from this report.

# 6.3. Corporate Priorities

High quality leisure provision contributes towards maintaining and enhancing our residents' quality of life.

# 6.4. **Other Implications**

None arising from this report.

For more information contact:	Nigel Carter Service Manager – Finance and Commercial 0115 914 8340	
	ncarter@rushcliffe.gov.uk	
Background papers Available for	None	
Inspection:		
List of appendices (if any):	1: Progress Against Strategic Objectives	
	2: Health and Safety Accidents and Incidents	

# **PROGRESS AGAINST STRATEGIC OBJECTIVES**

# **Develop Facilities (Strategic Objective 1)**

Success Criteria – Achieve Usage levels of Success Criteria – Achieve Usage levels of 1,012,000 by the end of 2015/16

As detailed below usage in 2015/16 was slightly below the target figure at 1,008,930.

Overall Usage						
Total Site Usage						
2015/16 2014/15 Trend						
Keyworth Leisure Centre	121,587	129,802	-8,215			
Rushcliffe Leisure Centre	405,872	364,298	+41,574			
Rushcliffe Arena	0	154,149	-154,149			
Bingham Leisure Centre	260,281	252,532	+7,749			
Cotgrave Leisure Centre	221,190	214,273	+6,917			
Total	1,008,930	1,115,094	-106,164			

During the year the closure of Rushcliffe Arena has had a significant effect on the overall contract usage, a number of clubs and club users did transfer successfully to Rushcliffe Leisure Centre and other centres during the development and some users have left Rushcliffe Arena due to no facility provision being available at any of the sites i.e Bowls club. Parkwood Community Leisure and Rushcliffe Borough Council worked in partnership to enable the successful closure of the Rushcliffe Arena facilities and transfer the majority of user groups to Rushcliffe Leisure Centre.

#### Swimming

Total Site Swimming Usage						
	2015/16 2014/15 Trend					
Keyworth Leisure Centre	69,480	70,737	-1257			
Rushcliffe Leisure Centre	162,445	163,261	-816			
Bingham Leisure Centre	109,807	107,454	2,353			
Cotgrave Leisure Centre	94,104	4,460				
Total	440,296	435,556	4,740			

Swimming continues to be the single highest participation activity. Learn to swim lessons have seen growth and at tract around 2,400 regular course participants across the four pools. There has been a steady growth in the numbers of people learning to swim and swimming for health and all of the sites operate well attended length swimming sessions; competitive swimming clubs (mainly for children between 9-18 years), make regular programmed use of the pools and s wimming parties continue to be a popular choice for children's birthday parties. Direct debit payments continue to prove a popular method of payment for swimming lessons.

Health and Fitness

Health and Fitness Site Usage						
2015/16 2014/15 Trend						
Keyworth Leisure Centre	17,208	17,088	120			
Rushcliffe Leisure Centre	81,348	57,734	23,614			
Rushcliffe Arena		52,798	-52,798			
Bingham Leisure Centre	79,168	77,354	1,814			
Cotgrave Leisure Centre	41,428	40,065	1,363			
Total	219,152	245,039	-25,887			

The health and fitness market continues to be buoyant and remains very competitive. Excluding the impact of the Arena closure the numbers attending the gyms and classes has grown over the last year. There are now over 3,300 users who have purchased a membership package.

The consolidation of the two fitness facilities at Rushcliffe Arena and Rushcliffe Leisure Centre last summer went well and the majority of Rushcliffe Arena customers transferred over and the fitness studio extension at Bingham Leisure Centre and Rushcliffe Leisure Centre. A new spinning studio at Rushcliffe Leisure Centre has been well received by all customers. Rushcliffe Leisure Centre has also put in place a pre-founder member offer which is very attractive for new users to the new Rushcliffe Arena. To add value to memberships Parkwood Leisure has also introduced Bounts - which is a loyalty reward points system resulting in members receiving vouchers for attendance to redeem at retailers.

# **Develop Services for Young People (Strategic Objective 2)**

Success Criteria – Develop a range of services for young people to improve active lifestyles.

Details of the holiday activity programmes are provided below along with descriptions of other initiatives available to young people. It should be noted that due to the successful partnership with Thera, who are a disabled user group, Cotgrave Leisure Centre does not have sufficient facilities available to offer a holiday activity programme and Parkwood were not able to run a scheme out of Rushcliffe Arena.

Site	Oct Half term	Xmas	Feb Half term	Easter	May/Jun Half term	Summer Holiday	Total
Keyworth Leisure Centre	48	12 (1 day)	59	96	33	542	790
Rushcliffe Leisure Centre	248	214	263	398	149	1365	2,637
Bingham Leisure Centre	101	Did not run	98	Did not run	46	581	826
Total	397	226	420	494	228	2,488	4,253

In addition to the holiday activities, other programmes operated include:

- Junior pump session are run after school at all sites and are very popular with both boys and girls; Sway Dance classes operate at Keyworth Leisure Centre, Rushcliffe Leisure Centre and the Arena sites and are gradually increasing attendances, along with several Sway dance shows held at sites.
- Parkwood also offered Junior and Family Swim Passes at a heavily discounted rate during the school summer holidays.
- Reduced rate gym membership scheme supported by the Sportivate programme, over 150+ young people aged between 16-24 were helped to join a gym and take part in regular exercise.
- This Girl Can# sessions were also offered at sites encouraging over 104 girls and ladies to try new activities at the centres.
- The leisure centres also host many clubs that are largely junior based.

After the closure of Rushcliffe Arena, a number of user groups at Rushcliffe Arena and the majority of club bookings have been accommodated at Rushcliffe Leisure Centre, Cotgrave and Edwalton Golf club. Expressions members at both Rushcliffe Leisure Centre and Arena have been offered a 'Price for Life' and all expressions members on 'headliner' membership prices have been reduced to offset any inconvenience caused due to the closure at Rushcliffe Arena. The Centre has worked hard over the year to start consulting and prepare the new programme which includes club provision for the new leisure centre.

# Use of Information Technology (Strategic Objective 4)

Success Criteria – use of information technology is available to assist customers to make, and pay for, bookings, view timetables, make comments and be kept informed of changes.

	2015/16	2014/15	Trend
Website views	483,875	494,579	-10,704
Electronic bookings	88,681	72,192	+16,489
Facebook followers	2,014	1,742	+272

The number of website views and Facebook followers are detailed below:

Customers are also able to join the centres and book activities online.

# Partnership Working (Strategic Objectives 5 and 9)

Success Criteria – Participation and volunteering rates are maintained above those identified nationally through Active England surveys. Quarterly meetings held at each site with total participation achieving 500 attendances.

As demonstrated below Rushcliffe has high levels of participation in physical activity (as measured by the Sport England Active People Survey) that are above levels seen at a national, regional and county level.

	Participation Rate – at least 30mins at moderate intensity at least once a week				
	Oct 2015 – Sept 2016	Oct 2014 - Oct 2015			
England	Data set not available until December 2016	35.8%			
East Midlands	Data set not available until December 2016	34.5%			
Nottinghamshire	Data set not available until December 2016	36.0%			
Rushcliffe	Data set not available until December 2016	44.8%			

Parkwood has also continued to undertake User Forums across the year engaging 518 users.

Keyworth	Rushcliffe	Rushcliffe	Cotgrave	Bingham	Total
Leisure	Arena	Leisure	Leisure	Leisure	Attendance
Centre		Centre	Centre	Centre	
31	closed	272	113	102	518

Rushcliffe Indoor Bowls Club (RIBC), Parkwood Leisure and Rushcliffe Borough Council have formulated a working group to work with RIBC to develop an action plan of activities to re-establish Bowls back into the new leisure centre.

# Marketing (Strategic Objective 6)

Success Criteria – Create an innovative approach to engaging all parts of the community in sport and active recreation.

Marketing campaigns operated throughout the past 12 months include:

- Couples membership; which has proven very popular and exceptional good value for money
- New Family membership offering value for money for families.
- Sept 15 Back to School
- Oct 15 Halloween offer
- Dec 15 Jan For your new year's resolution, join our fitness revolution'
- Jan 16 Go all inclusive
- Feb 16 Valentines couples offer,
- March 16 Spring into Shape and Referral campaign
- April 16 Swim I'm In offer with 50% off swim memberships
- May 16 Fitness Sale
- June 16 July Set your summer goals, Swimming 23 membership promotion, delivered the RLSS Water Safety campaign into local schools
- 'Referral' promotion through existing members referring new members they are rewarded for their loyalty with a number of exclusive prizes
- Rushcliffe's Biggest Loser campaign (second edition)
- Refer a Friend' campaigns ran throughout the year offering a range of benefits and rewards to members
- In addition to the above, the central marketing department of Parkwood ran offers and promotions via SMS, Facebook, Twitter and the website
- All of the sites held open days

- Parkwood staff took part in Lark in the Park, Sunday Fun Day, attended village fetes at Keyworth and Bingham, and attendance to local businesses to offer free health MOT sessions
- The first ever Rushcliffe Games held at Cotgrave Leisure Centre for disabled users
- Bingham Leisure Centre holding its first Aquathon pilot event
- Bowls taster sessions offered free at Rushcliffe Leisure Centre

# Customer Satisfaction (Strategic Objectives 7 and 10)

Success Criteria – Customer satisfaction levels achieve 75%, Quest accreditation is achieved and retained, the results of inspections carried out by the Leisure Contracts Manager achieve a level of 70%.

Customer Satisfaction – this is calculated by using the comment forms received in a month and recording the satisfaction marks given by customers for the four areas (value for money, cleanliness, staff, overall experience) described on the form.

- Cotgrave 90.34%
- Bingham 89.18%
- Rushcliffe Leisure Centre 89.76%
- Keyworth 87.16%

Quest Accreditation – Quest is the Sport England quality assurance benchmark for leisure centres. The scheme has been revised and now will concentrate more on the category of registration rather than the actual score which will not be published. The categories of registration are: Quest Entry, Quest Plus and Quest Stretch. Each of the leisure centres are registered in the Quest Plus category and have been banded in the good level.

Internal Inspections – these are carried out by RBC using the Quest criteria as a basis. 107 inspections were conducted in the period. The inspections look at 43 different aspects of the leisure centres operation. The cumulative score for all sites over the 12 month period was 70%. This is in line with the target.

# Financial Viability (Strategic Objective 8)

Success Criteria – reduce revenue costs and improve value for money to Council Tax payers. The table below details the management fee paid to Parkwood Leisure over the past three years of the contract.

Site	2015/16 £	2014/15 £	2013/14 £
Keyworth Leisure Centre	39,623	48,063	53,452
Rushcliffe Arena	3,901	5,457	29,723
Rushcliffe Leisure Centre	43,045	32,945	42,613
Bingham Leisure Centre	120,962	146,599	153,601
Cotgrave Leisure Centre	30,070	72,845	103,648
Total	237,601	305,909	383,037

The operational budgets are of a commercial nature and it is not appropriate to reveal the full details in a report of this nature.

# Supplementary Information

The details below are not elements of the Strategic Objectives but have been included in this report, to provide additional contextual information about the operation of the contract.

# Climate Change

Leisure centres are big users of utilities and over the term of the contract a number of initiatives have been put in place that aim to reduce consumption and carbon emissions.

- All pumps have been fitted with inverters which reduce power usage when demand is low. Along with invertors fitted on some Air Handling Unit pumps
- In boiler houses and plant rooms pipes, valves and plate heat exchangers are lagged to reduce heat loss. Savastat controls have been installed to optimise boiler operations.
- Lighting many large spaces and changing rooms are fitted with motion detectors which ensure that, when unoccupied, lights are not left on. Where lighting is replaced the LED option is considered and, if appropriate, installed Parkwood Community Leisure continue with this rollout programme.
- Staff training staff are trained on the importance of 'good housekeeping' and the role they can play in reducing the use of utilities.
- EMAS The leisure centres must conform and attain the ISO 14001 certification. This is externally audited by the NSA (National Quality Audit)
- The leisure centres also make use of UPL, a utility software package that provides utility usage data on a weekly and monthly basis.
- At Cotgrave Leisure Centre Parkwood Community Leisure have installed a Combined Heat & Power unit at significant capital cost £150,000 to help reduce consumption at the site.

# Health & Safety

The annual report of accidents and incidents is attached as **Appendix 2**. The rate of accidents to users is low at 0.04%.

Legionella management is thoroughly delivered through weekly actions by staff, checking temperatures, flushing low use taps and by independent external monthly analysis of swimming pool and s hower water. S hould there be any out of specification readings action is taken immediately to rectify the issue and ensure the safety of users.

# **Accidents and Incidents**

	Users		Accidents						I					
				2015	_	_				2016	_		_	Total
		Aug	Sept	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	April	May	June	July	
Rushcliffe Leisure Centre	405,872	8	8	16	14	3	9	12	12	8	15	5	12	133
Bingham Leisure Centre	260,281	7	5	8	6	4	11	3	8	8	7	6	5	78
Cotgrave Leisure Centre	221,190	6	13	12	16	11	20	11	9	4	11	9	11	32
Keyworth Leisure Centre	121,587	3	1	4	1	2	2	4	2	5	4	1	3	122
Total	1,008,930	24	27	40	37	20	42	30	31	25	37	21	31	365



# **Report of the Executive Manager - Finance and Corporate Services**

# 1. Summary

- 1.1. Over the last 19 m onths the Performance Management Board (PMB) has been closely monitoring the performance of the Edwalton Golf Courses contract with Glendale Golf. At its last meeting on 22 June 2016 Members considered the annual report of Glendale Golf and an update on progress against the revised performance framework and o bjectives. The Service Manager – Finance and Commercial will present an interim update since that meeting with a particular focus on the capital investment in the facilities.
- 1.2. Members are requested to comment on the progress and performance update. Overall, the direction of travel is positive since the appointment of the new golf manager and the start of the phased investment in the facilities, however, it is acknowledged that there are still outstanding issues with regard to the condition of the toilets and changing rooms and the overall usage level this year.

# 2. Recommendation

It is RECOMMENDED that the Performance Management Board consider the progress of Glendale's capital investment and general update.

# 3. Reasons for Recommendation

3.1. To comply with the Performance Management Board's request for periodic updates on the performance of the contract with Glendale Golf.

# 4. Supporting Evidence

- 4.1. At its meeting on 3 February 2015 PMB raised concerns over performance monitoring at the course. Since that meeting PMB has received regular updates on performance and have agreed a revised performance management framework and targets for the golf course contract. At its last meeting in June PMB considered Glendale's annual report and performance progress.
- 4.2. Members will recall that as part of the revised contract Glendale will be investing £50,000 in the club house and facilities as set out in the table below.

Date	Area	Expenditure	Cost net of VAT
June 2016	Practice Range	Mobile range bay cover New range ball dispenser Range targets	£10,000
October 2016	Winter Tees	Winter tee mats for main course	£3,000
October 2016	Bar and Function Rooms	New furniture and carpet	£10,000
February 2017	Toilets and changing rooms	Refurbishment	£15,000
May 2017	Meeting room	New furniture and refurbishment	£2,000
October 2017	Bungalow	Refurbishment	£10,000

- 4.3. The presentation will provide Members with an update focusing on progress with the capital investment schemes along with an interim update on general performance, including:
  - Usage
  - Inspection feedback
  - Customer satisfaction
  - Marketing

# 5. Risk and Uncertainties

As fewer people are now playing golf there is an increased risk to income for Glendale Golf. This is mitigated against by the new contract (see financial implications below).

#### 6. Implications

#### 6.1. Finance

The annual fee paid by Glendale to the Council has been reduced from c£103k to c£21k by 2017/18. Over the Medium Term Financial Strategy from 2016/17 this is a reduction in income due to the Council of £106k. Glendale has committed to invest £50k in capital works over the next two years. The Council has a provision of £75k within 2017/18 capital programme for Edwalton Golf Course which could be b rought forward into 2016/17 if required.

# 6.2. Legal

None arising from this report.

#### 6.3. Corporate Priorities

High quality leisure provision contributes towards maintaining and enhancing our residents' quality of life.

# 6.4.

Other Implications None arising from this report.

For more information contact:	Nigel Carter
	Service Manager - Finance and Commercial
	0115 914 8340
	ncarter@rushcliffe.gov.uk
Background papers Available for Inspection:	None
List of appendices (if any):	None



# **Report of the Executive Manager - Operations and Transformation**

# 1. Summary

- 1.1. This annual report sets out the Council's performance against the objectives set out in the Single Equality Scheme during 2015/16. These are to:
  - a) Monitor the demographic make-up of our residents and our workforce
  - b) Consult customers and staff where appropriate
  - c) Carry out equality impact assessments as required for major projects or change as part of our transformation plan
- 1.2. The information in the report compares the demographic information for the Borough, using the latest census information, with that of our workforce.
- 1.3. This report does not include any equality data for Streetwise Environmental Ltd.

# 2. Recommendation

It is recommended that Members consider and endorse the report.

# 3. Reasons for Recommendation

# **Equality Information**

3.1. The Public Sector Equality Duty is made up of a general equality duty which is supported by specific duties required of Public Sector bodies. Although there is no explicit legal duty to collect and use equality data, in order to have due regard to the aims of the general equality duty, authorities must understand the impact of their policies and practices on people with protected characteristics. Therefore collecting, analysing and monitoring information is an important way to develop this understanding. We are no longer required to collect and provide this information in the form of KPI's to Central Government, but collect the information in order to monitor the effect of policies and procedures.

# Ethnicity

- 3.2. The Council obtains information regarding the demographics of the area from a number of sources including the Census. The latest Census information for the year 2011 provided the authority with a breakdown of the ethnicity of the Borough in comparison with the East Midlands and nationally (**Appendix A.1**).
- 3.3. Our workforce information shows that we do not currently reflect the demographic of the Borough, however the Council continues to strive through

its equality training and policies to encourage applications from under-represented groups (**Appendix B.1**).

3.4. Specific service areas such as Strategic Housing are required to monitor the take-up of services to ensure that it reflects the demographic make-up of the residents and the satisfaction of the customer. Equalities monitoring of homelessness applications for Central Government also takes place and this reports that although there are low numbers of people from other backgrounds, Rushcliffe reflects the national picture in terms of ethnic minorities being over-represented in terms of presenting as homelessness Strategy Action Plan to review the needs of homeless people from BME backgrounds.

# Age Profile

- 3.5. The age profile of the Borough shows a peak between the ages of 40-50, there are fewer young people in the 25-29 age bracket which may reflect suitable work opportunities following University. The age profile for the Borough shows that we have an older profile than the national norm. (Appendix A.2).
- 3.6. The age profile of the organisation shows that there continues to be a peak at 45-54 but this has decreased from last year's figures showing a fall from 105 in 45-54 bracket to 85. The authority has been working towards ensuring the profile of the employees reflects the need to ensure effective succession planning by improving the age diversity of the workforce. The number of under 25's remains stable but, as the authority develops its YouNG programme and use of apprenticeships, it is anticipated that this number will increase. The number of employees aged over 64 remains steady from last year (**Appendix B.2**).

# Disability

- 3.7. The older profile of population of the Borough is not demonstrated in the numbers declaring a long term illness or disability which might be expected. The information shows that as a Borough we are below the numbers declared for Nottinghamshire and nationally (**Appendix A.3**).
- 3.8. The number of employees who declare they have a disability has remained the same in the previous year. As an authority we continue to support employees who are either disabled when they join the authority or become disabled during their time with us. This is through our policies and occupational and welfare services (**Appendix B.3**).

# Gender

- 3.9. The gender profile for the Borough area shows there are slightly more females than males currently residing in the Borough (**Appendix A.4**).
- 3.10. The gender split at Rushcliffe shows fewer female employees than males which is, in some part, due to the Council's manual workforce who make up nearly half of the Council's current workforce. The Council has worked to encourage female employees into this environment and will continue to

promote fair recruitment practices and positive action to encourage a diverse workforce (**Appendix B.4**).

# Consultation

- 3.11. As an Authority we continue to ensure that we consult with our residents and employees on policies and service delivery. This allows us to take into account the views of those who will be utilising the policies and they can give their perspective on how the policies may influence them. We also utilise relevant organisations such as the Community Cohesion Network in consulting on equality policies.
- 3.12. Examples of recent consultation include:

Public

- Local Plan Part 2 Issues and Options consultation over 3,000 individuals and organisations were consulted and seven consultation events were held in locations across Rushcliffe between January and March 2016.
- Proposed Public Space Protection Order 2016, consultation from July-August 2016.
- Undertaken a significant number of consultations on planning applications and related submissions with local residents, interest groups such as Friends of Sharphill Wood, Town/Parish Councils/Meetings and statutory consultees.

#### Employees

- Alcohol and Substance Misuse Policy February 2016
- Changes to Allowances October 2016

# Equality Impact Assessments

3.13. The Council is aware of the need to consider the impact of decisions it makes on the citizens it represents and also the workforce it employs. To this end, Equality Impact Assessments are undertaken on key policies and strategies. A list of Equality Impact Assessments that have been completed /reviewed over the last 12 months is shown below:

Policy/Strategy/Scheme	Reviewed/ Updated	Year
Housing Delivery Plan	Reviewed	2016
Maternity Policy	Updated	2016
Tenancy Strategy	Reviewed	2016
Draft Drug and Alcohol Policy	Reviewed	2016
Asbestos Policy	Reviewed	2016
Driving Policy	Reviewed	2016
Young Persons Policy	Updated	2016
COSHH policy	Reviewed	2016
HAVS policy	Reviewed	2016
Legionella Policy	Reviewed	2016
Freedom of Information	New	2016

Equality Scheme and policy	Updated	2016
Maternity Policy	Updated	2016
Risk Assessment Policy	Reviewed	2016
Working at Height Policy	Reviewed	2016
Phase 1 Cotgrave Precinct	New	2016
Acquisition and Disposal Policy	Reviewed	2016
Bridgford Hall	New	2016

# **Continuous Improvement through Training and Development**

- 3.14. The Council's employees have regular opportunities for training and development via various methods of delivery. These have been attended or completed via our on line training in the last 12 months.
  - Domestic Abuse Awareness Training
  - Safeguarding vulnerable adults and children
  - EIA training
  - Recruitment Training
  - Mental Health awareness training
- 3.15. We are extending our Training and Development courses in this area via our partnership with Nottingham City Council.
- 3.16. These all help to establish an understanding of issues that affect certain disadvantaged groups that live within the borough, and reinforce the awareness of equality issues.

#### 4. Supporting Evidence See List of Appendices

# 5. Implications

# 5.1. Finance

No Financial Comments.

# 5.2. **Lega**l

No Legal Comments other than the Council's compliance under the Equality Act 2010.

For more information contact:	Juli Hicks Strategic Human Resources Manager 0115 914 8316 jhicks@rushcliffe.gov.uk
Background papers Available for Inspection:	Rushcliffe Borough Council's Equality Scheme 2016-20
List of appendices (if any):	Appendix A Demographic Information Appendix B Rushcliffe Borough Council Workforce Equality Information.

# Appendix A

# DEMOGRAPHIC INFORMATION RUSHCLIFFE BOROUGH

# 1. Ethnicity

Census 2011	Rushcliffe	%	East Midlands	%	England	%
All Usual Residents	111,129	100.0	4,533,222	100	.0 53,012,456	100.0
White; English/Welsh/Scottish/Northern Irish/British	100,363	90.3	3,871,146	85	.4 42,279,236	79.8
White; Irish	810	0.7	28,676	0	.6 517,001	1.0
White; Gypsy or Irish Traveller	23	0.0	3,418	0	.1 54,895	0.1
White; Other White	2,308	2.1	143,116	3	.2 2,430,010	4.6
Mixed/Multiple Ethnic Groups; White and Black Caribbean	736	0.7	40,404	0	.9 415,616	0.8
Mixed/Multiple Ethnic Groups; White and Black African	159	0.1	8,814	0	.2 161,550	0.3
Mixed/Multiple Ethnic Groups; White and Asian	723	0.7	21,688	0	.5 332,708	0.6
Mixed/Multiple Ethnic Groups; Other Mixed	331	0.3	15,318	0	.3 283,005	0.5
Asian/Asian British; Indian	2,361	2.1	168,928	3	.7 1,395,702	2.6
Asian/Asian British; Pakistani	1,072	1.0	48,940	1	.1 1,112,282	2.1
Asian/Asian British; Bangladeshi	40	0.0	13,258	0	.3 436,514	0.8
Asian/Asian British; Chinese	558	0.5	24,404	0	.5 379,503	0.7
Asian/Asian British; Other Asian	583	0.5	37,893	0	.8 819,402	1.5
Black/African/Caribbean/Black British; African	202	0.2	41,768	0	.9 977,741	1.8
Black/African/Caribbean/Black British; Caribbean	349	0.3	28,913	0	.6 591,016	1.1
Black/African/Caribbean/Black British; Other Black	76	0.1	10,803	0	.2 277,857	0.5
Other Ethnic Group; Arab	153	0.1	9,746	C	.2 220,985	0.4
Other Ethnic Group; Any Other Ethnic Group	282	0.3	15,989	0	.4 327,433	0.6



# 2. Age :- The chart below shows a breakdown by 5 year age band.

#### 3. Disability

#### Long-term activity-limiting illness or disability

All those reporting a long term health problem or disability (including those related to age) that limited their day-to-day activities and that had lasted, or was expected to last, at least 12 months, were asked to assess whether their daily activities were limited a lot, a little or not at all by such a health problem. Data shown as a percentage of total population and those aged 16 to 64. (Numbers are shown in brackets).

Table: Census 2011 Long-term activity-limiting illness or disability							
	England	Nottinghamshire					
Day-to-day activities limited a lot (% of total population)	6.8 (7,540)	8.3	9.7				
Day-to-day activities limited a lot (aged 16-64)	3.8 (2,655)	5.6	6.4				
Day-to-day activities limited a little (% of total population)	8.9 (9,939)	9.3	10.6				
Day-to-day activities limited a little (aged 16-64)	6.1 (4,298)	7.2	8.2				
Day-to-day activities not limited (% of total population)	84.3 (93,650)	82.4	79.7				
Day-to-day activities not limited (aged 16-64)	90.1 (63,175)	87.3	85.3				

#### 4. Gender

Sex	Rushcliffe	East Midlands	England
All Usual Residents	111,129	4,533,222	53,012,456
Males	54,703	2,234,493	26,069,148
Females	56,426	2,298,729	26,943,308

# Appendix B RUSHCLIFFE BOROUGH COUNCIL WORKFORCE EQUALITY INFORMATION PERMANENT ESTABLISHMENT

# AS AT 31 MARCH 2016

# Ethnicity by Service Area

Service Area	Total Headcount	Asian	Black	Chinese	White British	Other White	Mixed	Other Ethnic Group	Undeclared Ethnic Group
Executive Management	5	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0
Communities	59	0	1	0	47	1	0	0	10
Corporate Governance	30	0	0	0	24	0	0	0	6
Finance and Commercial	38	0	0	2	35	0	0	0	1
Neighbourhoods	83	0	1	0	78	0	0	0	4
Transformation	56	0	0	0	51	0	0	0	5
Total	271	0	2	2	240	1	0	0	26
% of workforce	100%								

1. Age

Age under 18	Age 18-24	Age 25-34	Age 35-44	Age 45-54	Age 55-64	Age Over 64
8	10	37	62	91	55	8

# 2. Disability



# 3. Gender

Contract type	Male	Female	totals
Full time	141	74	215
Part time	16	40	56
Totals	157	114	271



Performance Management Board

29 November 2016

Performance Monitoring – Quarter 2 2016/17

# **Report of the Executive Manager – Operations and Transformation**

# 1. Summary

In line with the Council's Performance Management Framework, this report provides a summary of the Council's performance for quarter 2 2016/17, containing tasks from the Corporate Strategy 2016-20, and the corporate basket of performance indicators.

#### 2. Recommendation

It is RECOMMENDED that the Performance Management Board consider the progress of the Corporate Strategy and consider the identified exceptions.

# 3. Reasons for Recommendation

Following the good practice established by the Performance Management Board, exceptions and highlights in the corporate scorecard have been considered for this report.

# 4. Supporting Information

- The corporate scorecard, **Appendix 1**, includes detailed progress reports for each Corporate Task, and the corporate basket of performance indicators as amended by this Group at the meeting on 29 September 2016.
- When reviewing performance, Members are reminded that the Council is operating within a backdrop of diminishing resource. Resources are carefully managed and allocated to achieve the Council's agreed priorities. Whilst in general terms performance is being maintained with less available resource, this may not always be the case.
- There is one performance highlight, two exceptions and three indicators selected as 'trend insights'.
- The highlight is: LICO42 Processing of planning applications: Major applications dealt with in 13 weeks or agreed period selected as it is 35% over target.
- The two exceptions are:

**LICO46 Planning appeals allowed against the authority's decision** – this indicator is 22% under target and over the quarter 2 value in previous years, and,

**LINS06 Cumulative number of fly tipping cases** – incidents of fly tipping have been increasing and this year there has been a greater number than previous years resulting in 58 more cases than the target.

# 5. Risk and Uncertainties

Risks linked to the Corporate Strategy and the Council's performance are managed by the Risk Management Group and monitored at Corporate Governance Group. Effective performance management by the Board helps to mitigate the risk should the Council fail to deliver the Corporate Priorities or maintain good performance.

# 6. Implications

# 6.1. Finance

There are no direct financial issues arising from this report.

# 6.2. Legal

There are no legal issues arising from this report.

#### 6.3. Corporate Priorities

The link between each Corporate Priority theme and Strategic Tasks is shown within **Appendix 1**.

#### 6.4. Other Implications

There are no other issues arising from this report.

# 7. Status guide for this report.

# Tasks

Task Status					
	Cancelled	Task has been cancelled before its completion			
۲	Overdue	The task has passed its due date			
۵	Warning	The task is approaching its due date. One or more milestones are approaching or has passed its due date			
	Progress OK	The task is expected to meet the due date			
0	Completed	The task has been completed			

#### **Performance Indicators**

PI Status			
Alert Performance is more than 5% below the target			
$\triangle$	Warning	Performance is between 5% and 1% below the target	
0	ОК	Performance has exceeded the target or is within 1% of the target	
?	Unknown	No data reported or data not due for this period (reported annually)	
	Data Only	A contextual indicator, no target is set	

Long	Term Trends	
1mproving		The calculation within Covalent for trend
No Chang	je	is made from a comparison of the data for the current quarter with the same quarter
Interest Getting W	orse	in the three previous years
New indic	ator, no historical data	

For more information contact:	Nigel Carter Service Manager – Finance & Corporate Services 0115 914 340 ncarter@rushcliffe.gov.uk
Background papers Available for Inspection:	Not relevant for this report
List of appendices (if any):	Appendix 1 – Corporate Scorecard
	Appendix 2 – Contextual performance indicator data

# Performance Progress

# Summary

There are 12 Strategic Tasks within the Corporate Strategy 2016-20 focussed on the Council's three themes and a set of performance indicators in the corporate scorecard. Members of Performance Management Board discussed the corporate scorecard at its meeting on 29 September and asked for the set of indicators within the scorecard to be changed to better represent the new strategy and to provide the opportunity to scrutinise those indicators that are more relevant.

As a result the new corporate scorecard has increased from 34 indicators to 54, a combination of those monitored within the Corporate Strategy 2012-16, the new Corporate Strategy 2016-20 and operational measures. As reported in quarter 1 only those performance indicators where data is due or available are shown in this report.

# Corporate Tasks

All of the Strategic Tasks are underway and many are progressing well. The new Arena offices will be completed for staff in December 2016 and the leisure centre for customers in January 2017. Planning applications for the Multi Service Centre and town centre were submitted on 23 August 2016, another step to providing excellent facilities for residents in Cotgrave.

#### Performance Indicators

There are 38 of the 54 performance indicators within the new corporate scorecard where performance data is available for this report. Seventeen have an improving trend and thirteen are deteriorating.

In this quarter one highlight has been selected, two exceptions and three indicators as trend insights:

LICO42 Processing of planning applications: Major applications dealt with in 13 weeks or agreed period – selected as a highlight as it is 35% over target.

**LICO46 Planning appeals allowed against the authority's decision** – this indicator is 22% under target and above the quarter 2 value in previous years. **LINS06 Cumulative number of fly tipping cases** – incidents of fly tipping have been increasing and this year there has been a greater number than previous years resulting in 58 more cases than the target.

**LIFCS02 Number of leisure centre users – public** – this has missed target whilst the Arena has been closed for rebuild and should improve once the new centre is open.

**LINS31 Percentage of applicants rehoused within 26 weeks of their application** – reported as an exception last year this indicator is calculated on a rolling 12 month cycle and currently contains a number of cases that were well over the 26 week period. It should improve but is being closely monitored.

LITR09 Percentage of face to face enquiries to RCCC responded to within 10 minutes – the performance of this indicator has been impacted by a combination of reduced staffing resource and high call volumes and should improve now that recruitment and training are complete.

# **Strategic Tasks**

# Delivering economic growth to ensure a sustainable, prosperous and thriving local economy

Current Task Status	ST1620_01			Lead officer	Suc	Success measurement		
	Develop a programme of Growth Boards initially focusing on West Bridgford, Bingham and Radcliffe on Trent to support economic growth and infrastructure in these areas			Chief Executive	A long term vision for each area, which meets the needs of new and existing residents and businesses as well as contributing to the Borough as a whole exists and is used by all relevant stakeholders in decision making			
Target date	31-Mar- 2020		West Bridgford commissione presented proposals to the S			trategic Growth Board on 7		
Completed Date		Progress	November 2016. Retail studies have been conducted in West Bridgford, Radcliffe on Trent and Bingham and workshops were held during September 2016. The shop front improvement grant scheme is open and receiving applications.					
Performance Measures & Indicators					Risks			
Publish report of the West Bridgford Commissioner by December 2016					CRR_TR17 Inability to draw down Growth Deal 2 funding within specified timescales			
Complete assessment of need for future Growth Boards in the Borough by March 2017								
Identify funding and investment opportunities following the publication of the Tudor Square Masterplan and retail study by March 2018								
Create actions plans for the Growth Boards by March 2018								

Current Task Status	ST1620_02			Lead officer	Success measurement		
	activities to collaborative residents ar	maximise t e working fo nd business active role i	h partnership he benefits of or Rushcliffe ses, including: • n D2N2 • Combined on Partners	Chief Executive	An efficient Council that leverages the best advantage from partnership activities for the residents and businesses of Rushcliffe		
Target date	31-Mar- 2020		activities including p	es to be involved in various collaboration ayroll, green bins, tree advice, ICT			
Completed Date		Progress	provision and Building Control. The Council is involved in the devolution agenda via the Nottingham and Notts Economic Prosperity Committee which is attended by the Leader and Chief Executive. The Council continues to seek and consider new opportunities for collaboration.				
Performance	e Measures	& Indicato	Risks				
LIFCS60 Value partnership ac		avings gener	CRR_CO02 Failure of public sector				
LIFCS61 Num work with Colla Authority and I	aboration Par		partnerships/ withdrawal of financial support				

Current Task Status	ST1620_03			Lead officer	Success measurement	
	Activate the Asset Investment Strategy to maximise the Council's asset portfolio as the conditions prescribed in the Strategy arise			Executive Manager – Finance and Corporate Services	Income from the Council's investments is maximised to protect and secure the future provision of services to the community	
Target date	31-Mar- 2020	Prograss	The Strategy is dependent on options that arise for investment and whether the Council is successful in purchasing any assets that become available.			
Completed Date		Progress				
Performance Measures & Indicators				Risks		
LIFCS13 Percentage of Investment Strategy committed				CRR_FCS08 Inadequate capital resources		
LIFCS14 Value of income generated as a result of the Investment Strategy being activated				CRR_FCS12 Risk and return from Asset Investment Strategy		

Current Status	ST1620_04	Lead officer	Success measurement					
	Work with partners to progress infrastructure projects, including: • Improvements to the A52 • Improvements to the rail connections between Nottingham and Grantham • Feasibility of a fourth Trent crossing	Executive Manager – Communities	Residents and businesses benefit from improved road and rail infrastructure links in key areas of the Borough					
Target date	Rushcliffe Bor Council and H improvements	m of understanding has been signed by ough Council, Nottinghamshire County ighways England covering £36.5m of works to the A52.						
Completed Date	<ul> <li>Rushcliffe Borough Council is working with partners of the Nottingham to Grantham Stakeholder Group to finalise a business case for improved services at Bingham and Radcliffe on Trent railway stations (Poacherline). The objective is to generate economic growth in the Nottingham – Bingham A52 Growth corridor by providing a frequent, fast and a sustainabrail service.</li> <li>Rushcliffe Borough Council supported the commissioning of a further study in conjunction with partners to consider the benefits of constructing a neriver crossing to the east of the City.</li> </ul>							
Performanc	e Measures & Indicators	Risks						
Complete feas March 2017	sibility study for the fourth Trent crossing by	CRR_CO02 Failure of public sector partnerships/ withdrawal of financial support						
Complete feas by March 2018	sibility study for improvements to rail connections 8							
LICO60a Cont developer con	tributions received as a percentage of current tributions							
LICO60b Valu	e of future developer contributions to funding							
Current Task Status	ST1620_05			Lead officer	Success measurement			
----------------------------	---	--------------	--	--	---	--	--	--
	<ul> <li>Regenerate Cotgrave, including: New housing on the colliery site,</li> <li>Employment opportunities through jobs clubs, apprenticeships and training,</li> <li>Additional employment units on the colliery site</li> <li>A vibrant town centre with new public sector facilities and refurbished shops and public areas</li> </ul>				Residents and businesses benefit from improved road and rail n infrastructure links in key areas of the Borough			
Target date	31-Mar-2020		considered at Devel	bmitted on 23 A	August 2016 and were Committee on 17			
		Progress	designs and invitation the demolition of Sc	November 2016. Work is continuing on the detailed designs and invitations to tender have been sent out for the demolition of Scotland Bank. Land swap arrangements and heads of terms are still being finalised.				
Completed Date			the site. Construction be completed at the business case for the	a contractor has been appointed for n started in November 2016 and wil end of May 2017. The stage 2 e Local Enterprise Partnership red on 27 September 2016 and the ded.				
Performance	e Measures &	Indicator	'S	R	isks			
Planning appli	cation submitted	d for Cotgra	ave Town Centre by Septe		RR_CO02 Failure of			
LITR30 Numb development	er of apprentice	ships creat	ed as part of the of Cotgra	ave w	ublic sector partnerships/ ithdrawal of financial upport			
LITR31 Perce	ntage of new pri	ivate home	s on the colliery site comp	oleted	RR TR17 Inability to			
LITR32 Perce	ntage of new aff	ompleted di	aw down Growth Deal 2					
			e colliery site occupied	tir	nding within specified nescales			
LITR34 Perce	ntage of employ	ment units	on the Cotgrave colliery s	site occupied				
Current								

Current Task Status	ST1620_06	Lead o	fficer	Success measure	ement	
	Contribute towards economic growth in the Borough		-	The Borough is a more prosperous area with an improved offer to attract new investment creating new employment opportunities and ensuring thriving local businesses		
Target date	31-Mar-2020	lau Th Ru A	unched in ne project ushcliffe b	January 2017 as fu will deliver a series usinesses.	orogramme is due to be Inding has been approved. of workshops for Highways England for	
Completed Date	Progre	ss fui to un Ap	nding for t support th dertaken oplications	he installation of a the development at I to progress the ass were submitted for	footbridge across the A46 RAF Newton. Work is being	
Performance	e Measures & Indica	Risks				
Submit funding	g application for Sustain	able Urba	an Develop	oment (SUD) funding		

to Nottingham City Council by July 2016.	
LITR35 Percentage of Growth Deal money drawn down and allocated	
LITR36 Percentage of new homes at the Land North of Bingham completed	

### Maintaining and enhancing our residents' quality of life

Current Task Status	ST1620_07		Lead officer	Success measurement
	to best provide facilities and a	ctivate the Leisure Strategy best provide leisure cilities and activities as the onditions prescribed in the trategy arise		Rushcliffe residents continue to benefit from superb leisure facilities across the Borough helping them to maintain healthy lifestyles with easy access to a range of leisure facilities
Target date	31-Mar-2020	pre	edicted to be 28 I	over date for the Arena building is now November 2016. The date for office ns 19 December 2016 and the date for
Completed Date		the Ja Progress Th off oc ori /kit	E Leisure Centre nuary 2017. e contractor dela icers and our leis cupation but it is ginally set. Furnit	to open to the public remains early y has shortened the time available for sure provider to prepare the building for still achievable to meet the timescales ture, gym equipment and the café s are all scheduled with suppliers to be
Performance	e Measures &	Indicators		Risks
Complete revi	ew of Bingham L	eisure Centre by	December 2017	CRR_FCS20 Failure to properly
Arena Leisure	Centre operation	manage and deliver significant		
Complete revi	ew of Edwalton C	projects - Leisure and Office move		
	entage increase ty at least twice i	nd		

LIFCS01 Percentage of users satisfied with sports and leisure centres

Current Task Status	ST1620_08	Lead officer	Success measurement			
	Facilitate activities for Children and Young People to enable them to reach their potential	Executive Manager – Communities	Young people in Rushcliffe are provided with a range of opportunities to develop their self- confidence, knowledge and skills to enable them to play an active role in their community and be ready for the world of work.			
Target date	31-Mar-2020	during 20		att Yorke was		
Completed Date	Progre	SS Wednesd Nottingha ss comment with £10k Euro proj Novembe Bridgford	during 2016/17 has been recruited. Matt Yorke retained as a second year apprentice and will Wednesday sessions with YouNG ambassado Nottingham Trent University (NTU) Business s commenced work as 'project interns' on 19 Se with £10k funding secured from the NTU. The Euro project moved into the next phase from 1 November with the top performing students fro Bridgford Summer market learning about inter trade by running stalls at a YouNG market in F			
Performance	e Measures & Indicat	ors		Risks		
Establish the f						
LICO70a Num	LICO70a Number of young people engaged with positive futures programme					
LICO70b Num	ber of work experience	places organised	b			

LICO70c Number of apprenticeships organised within the Council

Current Task Status	ST1620_09 L		Lea	nd officer	Success measurement	
	Deliver Part 2 Rushcliffe Loo	e Local Plan Man		cutive hager – nmunities Existing residents and potential residents wanting to relocate within move to the Borough have adequa access to appropriate housing		
Target date	31-Mar-2020	Progress	order to address the shortfall in housing delivery arising			
Completed Date			Plan Pa	art 1) strateg	ing forward the Core Strategy's (Local ic allocations. Consultation on the is expected in spring 2017.	
Performance	e Measures &	Indicators		Risks		
Complete seco December 201	ond stage of Gro 16	een Belt Revie	ew by	CRR_CO04 Inability to demonstrate a five year supply of deliverable housing sites against the		
Adopt part two	of the Local Pl	an by Decemb	per 2017	unallocated	get leading to further development on sites	
LICO74 Numb	er of Neighbour	hood Plans a	dopted			
LICO75 Percentage of homes built on allocated sites at key rural settlements						
LICO76 Perce target within the	ntage of new ho ne Local Plan	omes built aga	inst the			

# Transforming the Council to enable the delivery of efficient high quality services

Current Task Status	ST1620_10		Lead officer		Success measurement	
	Deliver the Medium Term Financial Strategy		Executive Manager – Finance and Corporate Services		Residents are confident that the Council is well run, financially sound and delivering the services they need	
Target date	31-Mar-2020	Drogrado			se budget and assumptions are being await the Chancellors Autumn Statement n the challenges and opportunities BREXIT decision.	
Completed Date		Progress				
Performance	e Measures &	Indicators		Risks		
	e of savings ach ist the programm			CRR FCS13 Failure to deliver the		
LIFCS16 Percentage of residents believing the council provides value for money				Transfor	rmation Strategy	
LIFCS49 Perc the Council pr	entage of reside ovides	ents satisfied v	vith the service			

Current Task Status	ST1620_11			Lead officer	Success measurement		
	Continue to re increase effic	educe cost and iencies	d	Executive Manager – Operations and Transformation	Residents are able to access Council services and information at a time and in a way that suits them		
Target date	31-Mar-2020	<ul> <li>Increased hours at Cotgrave Police Station, giving residents an alternative to travelling to the Rushcliffe Community Contac Centre in West Bridgford. This is preparation for when the multi service building at Cotgrave opens.</li> </ul>					
Completed Date		Progress	Bing hour Thei serv	ham Health Cent s of the practice. re is a continued o ices available on	vailability has increased at re in line with extended opening development of transactional the Councils' website – moving as are now available.		
Performanc	e Measures &	Indicators		Risks			
LIFCS40 Com	bined number o	of Social Media	follower		2 Failure of public sector		
LITR03a Perc	entage increase	e in self-serve tr	ansactio	ons partnership	s/ withdrawal of financial support		
LITR04 Percentage of residents satisfied with the variety of ways they can contact the Council					2 Long term loss/failure of main ICT		
LITR12b Perc delivered	entage of Custo	omer Access Str	ategy	systems			

Current Task Status	ST1620_12			Lead officer	Success measurement		
	Continue to develop the Council's Property Portfolio to enhance the Council's financial position and deliver community outcomes			Executive Manager – Operations and Transformation	Property owned by the Council is utilised to its full potential or used to generate income for the Council enabling it to keep Council Tax as low as possible		
Target date	31-Mar-2020	_	<ul> <li>A contractor has been appointed for the of 15 additional industrial units in Cotgrav commencing in November 2016, with con May 2017.</li> </ul>				
Completed Date		Progress	com A re enh	pletion at the en port has been p	f Bridgford Hall is on target for d of March 2017. repared to consider how to larket, feeding information into the		
Performanc	e Measures &	Indicators		1	Risks		
New Council c	offices at Rushc	liffe Arena ope	erational b	by spring 2017	CRR_FCS12 Risk and return from		
Complete Brid	lgford Hall build	ing works by S	Spring 20	17 /	Asset Investment Strategy		
Finalise busine December 207	ess case for the 17	· · · ·	CRR_TR04 Failure to properly manage our property assets				
Preferred site relocation by N	identified and b March 2018						
Depot relocate	ed by March 202	20					

### **Performance Highlights**

Neighb	Neighbourhoods										
Status				2014/ 15	2015/ 16	(	Q2 2016/	17	2016/ 17		
Status	Reference	Description	Value	Value	Value	Value	Target	Long Trend	Target		
0	LICO42	Processing of planning applications: Major applications dealt with in 13 weeks or agreed period	64.00 %	97.80 %	83.00 %	95.00 %	60.00%	?	60.00%		

### **Performance Exceptions**

	LICO46 Planning appeals allowed against authority's decision				Current Value	Current Target
2016/17	2015/16	2014/15	2013/14	Target	57.0%	35.0%
100.0% - 90.0% - 80.0% - 70.0% - 60.0% - 30.0% - 30.0% - 10.0% - 0.0% -	66.796	57.0%			A total of 14 appeals h during the period betw September, 7 of which was a split decision (pa dismissed). The number allowed to However, the outcome unpredictable and of th four related to applicat Development Control ( officer recommendatio	ave been determined een 1 April and 30 were allowed and one art allowed and part o date is disappointing. e at appeal can be nose that were allowed, ions refused by the Committee contrary to
d	120 <sup>16111</sup>	22 <sup>26611</sup>	3 <sup>34614</sup>	a <sup>abu</sup>	therefore, permission v	was refused. The is allowed including the

cases (a	Cumulati against c ison for l	umulativ	e month		Current Value	Current Target
2016/17	2015/16	2014/15	2013/14	Target	318	260
500 - 450 - 350 - 350 - 250 - 200 - 150 - 100 - 50 - 0 -	145	318 22 <sup>78,60</sup> <sup>10</sup>	0.3 ABU	C. A BIER	year (Apr-Sept) which the same period last year The recent increased i the Council launching campaign. This campa hotspots with the aim t sites but also to gather tipping continues and t justice. This campaign summer and so far over had new signage insta media launch and also Rushcliffe Reports and enforcement action on media outlets. We are neighbouring authority	evidence if further fly to bring the perpetrators to was launched in the er 70 regular sites have lled, we have conducted published an article in a promoted details of our website and social currently working with a to purchase appropriate t and also working more e Environmental Ltd to

## **Trend Insight**

LIFCS02 - public	IFCS02 Number of leisure centre users public			e users	Current Value	Current Target	
2016/17	2015/16	2014/15	2013/14	Target	608,807	642,200	
1,400,000 - 1,300,000 - 1,200,000 - 1,100,000 - 1,000,000 - 900,000 - 800,000 -					The number of leisure predictably dropped as closure of the Arena fo	s a result of the	
300,000 700,000 500,000 400,000 300,000 200,000 100,000 0	302	645.807 mmmm			A revised target was set, and although usage has currently slipped below it is anticipated that the new Arena will attract large numbers of visitors once opened in January which will push the year end figure		
2	2016/17	201611	2324117	C42016117	close to the target of 1		

LINS31 Percentage of applicants rehoused within 26 weeks of their application				Current Value	Current Target	
2016/17	2015/16	2014/15	2013/14	Target	61%	65%
72.5% - 70% - 67.5% - 62.5% - 60% - 57.5% - 55.5% - 52.5% - 50% -	6296	6196	02 <sup>20410</sup>	C.ªABIN	last year in quarter 2 t usual number of appli who had been waiting time. It is anticipated that o longer used within the (from quarter 3) the p indicator will improve.	for longer periods of nce this data is no 212 month calculation

face end	ITR09 Percentage of customer face to ace enquiries to RCCC responded to vithin 10 minutes				Current Value	Current Target
2016/17	2015/16	2014/15	2013/14	Target	76%	85%
92% - 90% - 88% - 85% - 82% - 80% - 78% - 72% - 70% -	8196 BI	76%	0.278 BH	Ca <sup>patri</sup>	The performance of th same origin as LITR02 an exception in quarte Following a drop in sta customer service advis needed training to be a impacted the team's a and respond to face to the new starters were In addition, staff resou cover sickness to prov	2 that was reported a r 1. Iff resources, new sors started work and able to take calls. Thi bility to answer calls face enquiries whils being trained. rces were allocated t

### **Corporate Scorecard (2012-16 PIs)**

Comm	Communities							
				Q2 2016/17		2016/17		
Status	Reference	Description	Value	Target	Long Trend	Target		
0	LICO41	Percentage of householder planning applications processed within target times	90.50%	88.00%		88.00%		
	LICO42	Processing of planning applications: Major applications dealt with in 13 weeks or agreed period	95.00%	60.00%		60.00%		
	LICO46	Planning appeals allowed against authority's decision	57.0%	35.0%	-	35.0%		
	LICO59	Income received for fee earning pre planning application advices	£8,923	-	Ţ	-		
?	LICO70b	Number of work experience places organised	33	-	New	-		
?	LICO70c	Number of apprenticeships organised within the Council	6		New			

#### Finance & Corporate Services Q2 2016/17 2016/17 Status **Reference** Description Long Value Target Target Trend Number of leisure centre users -LIFCS02 608,807 642,200 1,250,000 public Number of users of paid council car LIFCS04 271,349 280,000 550,000 parks Percentage of invoices for commercial goods and services Л LIFCS10 99.36% 99.00% 99.00% which were paid by the authority in payment terms Percentage of Investment Strategy ? LIFCS13 49.5% New committed Value of income generated as a 2 LIFCS14 result of the Investment Strategy £0 New being activated Value of savings achieved by the ? Transformation Strategy against LIFCS15 £359.000 New £932.000 \_ the programme in April 2016 Percentage of Council Tax ┛ LIFCS20 58.70% 58.70% 99.10% collected in year Percentage of Non-domestic Rates LIFCS21 58.98% 58.80% 98.80% collected in year Average time taken to process LIFCS22 5.87 days 9.0 days 9.0 days Housing Benefit/Council Tax

		Benefit new claims and change events			
0	LIFCS43	Percentage of Community Support Grant allocation spent to date	27.55%	25%	90%
	LIFCS50	Number of complaints received by the council at initial stage	21		

Neighbourhoods							
				2016/17			
Status	Reference	Description	Value	Target	Long Trend	Target	
•	LINS06	Cumulative number of fly tipping cases (against cumulative monthly comparison for last year)	318	260	•	521	
	LINS15	Percentage of Food Establishments achieving a hygiene rating of 4 or 5	89.4	92.0		92.0	
0	LINS18	Percentage of household waste sent for reuse, recycling and composting	54.00%	53.47%		50.00%	
0	LINS24	Number of affordable homes delivered	10	10	-	32	
0	LINS25	Number of households living in temporary accommodation	7	12	-	12	
0	LINS27a	Average length of stay of all households in temporary accommodation	12 wks	15 wks	New	15 wks	
0	LINS29	Number of successful homelessness preventions undertaken	117	115		230	
	LINS31	Percentage of applicants rehoused within 26 weeks of their application	61%	65%	-	65%	
0	LINS32	Average waiting time of applicants rehoused by Choice Based Lettings	31 wks	33 wks	•	33 wks	
0	LINS37	Domestic burglaries per 1,000 households	2.24	3.10		6.40	
<b>I</b>	LINS38	Robberies per 1,000 Population	0.10	0.12		0.24	
0	LINS39	Vehicle crimes per 1,000 population	1.72	1.90	-	3.80	

Transf	Transformation							
n I				2016/17				
Status	Reference	Description	Value	Target	Long Trend	Target		
0	LITR01	Percentage of users satisfied with the service received from the Rushcliffe Community Contact Centre	100.0%	95.0%		95.0%		
	LITR02	Percentage of calls answered in 30 seconds at Rushcliffe Community Contact Centre (cumulative)	45.6%	63.0%	•	63.0%		
?	LITR03a	Percentage increase in self-serve transactions	0.18%	-	New	-		
	LITR09	Percentage of customer face to face enquiries to RCCC responded to within 10 minutes	76%	85%	•	85%		
	LITR12	Percentage of RBC owned industrial units occupied	99.58%	98%		98%		
?	LITR12b	Percentage of Customer Access Strategy delivered	54%	-	New	-		
0	LITR13	Level of income generated through letting property owned by the Council but not occupied by the Council	£650k	£500k	1	£1m		
	LITR15	Percentage of privately owned industrial units occupied	95.81%	92%		92%		
	LITR51	Corporate Sickness - number of days lost to sickness absence	3.07	4.00		8.00		

### **Revenue Monitoring**

	Q2 Position - excl recharges			Total Costs				
	Budget YTD £'000	Actual YTD £'000	Total Variation £'000	Budget £'000	Projected Outturn £'000	Total Variation £'000	Variation %	Main Variation Explanation (see also Appendix B)
Communities	305	379	74	2,738	2,894	156	6	Reduction in large scale planning applications
Finance and Corporate Services	10,817	10,772	(45)	3,682	3,426	(255)	(7)	Contingencies not called upon
Neighbourhoods	1,154	1,009	(145)	4,709	4,510	(200)	(4)	Green waste income above target
Transformation	1,204	1,044	(160)	306	182	(124)	(41)	Additional rental income from investment properties
Net Service Expenditure	13,480	13,204	(276)	11,435	11,012	(423)	(4)	
Capital Accounting Adjustments				(1,591)	(1,588)	3	0	
Revenue contribution to capital				158	158	0	0	
Transfer to/(from) Reserves				1,033	1,475	442	0	Revenue surplus £423k, S31 grants £82k, SBRR (£60k)
Total Net Service Expenditure				11,035	11,057	22	0	
Central Government Grant				(1,064)	(1,064)	0		
Localised Business Rates (incl SBRR)				(2,072)	(2,012)	60		SBRR income less than budgeted for
Collection Fund Surplus				(79)	(79)	0		
Council Tax Income				(5,753)	(5,753)	0		
Specific Grants (including NHB)				(2,067)	(2,149)	(82)		S31 grants (NHB New Burdens £14k, Transition Grant £34k, IER £20k)
Council Tax Freeze Grant				0	0	0		· · · ·
Total Funding				(11,035)	(11,057)	(22)	0	
Gross Budget Deficit				(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	

### **Capital Monitoring**

#### CAPITAL PROGRAMME MONITORING - SEPTEMBER 2016

EXPENDITURE SUMMARY	Current	Projected	Projected
	Budget	Actual	Variance
	£000	£000	£000
Transformation	11,034	8,184	(2,850)
Neighbourhoods	1,919	931	(988)
Communities	327	257	(70)
Finance & Commercial	14,485	12,685	(1,800)
Contingency	240	240	0
	28,005	22,297	(5,708)
FINANCING ANALYSIS			
Capital Receipts	(4,828)	(3,092)	1,736
Government Grants	(4,776)	(3,526)	1,250
Other Grants/Contributions	(2,293)	(2,171)	122
Use of Reserves	(2,527)	(1,227)	1,300
Internal Borrowing	(13,581)	(12,281)	1,300
	(28,005)	(22,297)	5,708
NET EXPENDITURE	-	-	-



#### **Report of the Executive Manager – Finance and Corporate Services**

#### 1. Summary

1.1. The work programme is a standing item for discussion at each meeting of the Performance Management Board. This report presents the draft programme for 2015/16 and 2016/17.

#### 2. Recommendation

2.1. It is RECOMMENDED that the Performance Management Board agrees the proposed rolling work programme.

Date of Meeting	Item
29 November 2016	Annual Report – Parkwood
	Diversity Annual Report 2015/16
	Performance Monitoring – Quarter 2 2016/17
	Edwalton Golf Course Update
	Work Programme
7 March 2017	<ul> <li>Annual Report – Glendale Golf</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Streetwise Environmental Ltd</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Performance Monitoring – Quarter 3 2016/17</li> </ul>
	Work Programme
June 2017	Annual Report – Carillon Leisure
	Performance Monitoring – Quarter 4 2016/17
	Annual Report 2016/17
	Work Programme
Soptombor 2017	Civil Darking Enforcement Contract Undets
September 2017	Civil Parking Enforcement Contract Update
	Review of Complaints and Ombudsman Letter 2016/17
	Performance Monitoring – Quarter 1 2017/18
	Work Programme

#### 3. Implications

#### 3.1. Finance

No direct financial implications arise from the proposed work programme.

#### 3.2. Lega

There are no di rect legal implications arising from the proposed work programme.

#### 3.3. Corporate Priorities

Items included in the work programme assist the Council to meet its Corporate Priorities.

#### 3.4. Other Implications

There are no other implications.

For more information contact:	Name: Constitutional Services
	0115 914 8481
	email constitutionalservices@rushcliffe.gov.uk
Background papers Available for	None
Inspection:	
List of appendices (if any):	None