

When telephoning, please ask for: Liz Reid-Jones
Direct dial 0115 9148214
Email lreid-jones@rushcliffe.gov.uk

Our reference: Liz Reid-Jones
Your reference:
Date: 11 May 2011

To all Members of the Council

Dear Councillor

The Annual Meeting of the RUSHCLIFFE BOROUGH COUNCIL will be held on Thursday 19 May 2011 at 7.00 pm in the Council Chamber, Civic Centre, Pavilion Road, West Bridgford to consider the following items of business.

Yours sincerely

Head of Corporate Services

AGENDA

Opening Prayer

1. Apologies for absence
2. Declarations of Interest
3. Minutes

To receive as a correct record the minutes of the Meeting of the Council held on Thursday 3 March 2011 (pages 1 - 16).

4. Address of the Outgoing Mayor
5. Vote of Thanks to Retiring Mayor
6. Election of Mayor 2011/12

To consider nominations for the election of Mayor of the Borough of Rushcliffe for the 2011/12 municipal year.

After the vote on the election of Mayor has been carried, the new Mayor, upon making the declaration of acceptance of office, will take the chair for the remainder of the Meeting.

7. Election of Deputy Mayor 2011/12

To consider nominations for the appointment of Deputy Mayor of the Borough of Rushcliffe for the 2011/12 municipal year.

8. Appointment of Leader of the Council 2011 - 2015

To consider nominations for the appointment of Leader of the Council and the Leader to report Cabinet appointments.

9. Appointment of Cabinet, Committees and Member Groups 2011/12

Report of the Head of Corporate Services and a schedule detailing the proposed appointments will be circulated.

10. Appointment of Representatives to Outside Bodies 2011/12

Report of the Head of Corporate Services and a schedule detailing the proposed appointments will be circulated.

Meeting Room Guidance

Fire Alarm - Evacuation - in the event of an alarm sounding you should evacuate the building using the nearest fire exit, normally through the Council Chamber. You should assemble in the Nottingham Forest car park adjacent to the main gates.

Toilets - Facilities, including those for the disabled, are located opposite Committee Room 2.

Mobile Phones – For the benefit of other users please ensure that your mobile phone is switched off whilst you are in the meeting.

Microphones - When you are invited to speak please press the button on your microphone, a red light will appear on the stem. Please ensure that you switch this off after you have spoken.



**MINUTES
OF THE MEETING OF THE
COUNCIL
THURSDAY 3 MARCH 2011**

Held at 7.00 pm in the Council Chamber, Civic Centre, Pavilion Road, West Bridgford

PRESENT:

Councillor Mrs M M Males – Mayor

Councillors R A Adair, Mrs S P Bailey, D G Bell, S Bennett, Mrs D M Boote, S J Boote, B Buschman, R L Butler, J N Clarke, T Combellack, L B Cooper, J E Cottee, J A Cranswick, B G Dale, G Davidson, C J Evans, J E Fearon, Mrs R E J Godkin, M G Hemsley, R Hetherington, T W Holt, Mrs C E M Jeffreys, R M Jones, K A Khan, I I Korn, N C Lawrence, A MacInnes, G R Mallender, S E Mallender, Mrs J M Marshall, Mrs D J Mason, F J Mason, G S Moore, B A Nicholls, E A Plant, F A Purdue-Horan, Mrs J A Smith, P Smith, J A Stockwood, Mrs M Stockwood, B Tansley, H Tipton, B Venes

OFFICERS PRESENT:

C Bullett	Deputy Chief Executive (CB)
A Graham	Chief Executive
S Griffiths	Deputy Chief Executive (SG)
N Morton	Head of Financial Services
V Nightingale	Senior Member Services Officer
P Randle	Deputy Chief Executive (PR)
L Reid-Jones	Democratic Services Manager
D Swaine	Head of Corporate Services

APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE:

Councillors M M Champion, T L Kerry, P F McGowan, P W Smith, T Vennett-Smith, D G Wheeler

OPENING PRAYER

The Meeting was led in prayer by the Mayor's Chaplain.

39. Declarations of Interest

There were none declared.

40. Minutes

The minutes of the meeting held on Thursday 16 December 2010 were received as a correct record and signed by the Mayor.

41. **Mayor's Announcements**

The Mayor thanked the Deputy Mayor for chairing the last Council meeting at short notice.

The Mayor asked Councillor Mrs Smith to pass on the good wishes of Council to Councillor P W Smith.

The Mayor then drew attention to a number of events which she had attended and hosted, in particular the tea for Mary Keyworth and Pam Barlow, widows of Councillors Keyworth and Barlow.

42. **Financial Plans and Strategy 2011/12 to 2015/16**

Councillor Clarke presented the report regarding the Council's financial plans and by way of introduction made the following statement:

"Madam Mayor, over the next two years, Rushcliffe Borough Council faces unprecedented challenges. This affects our finances and the way in which we provide our services. However, in traditional Rushcliffe style, we have been rising to these challenges and will continue to do so in a calm, considered and planned manner. Our approach contains three strands: business cost reduction, maximising income and redesigning services. I am confident that our robust finances, excellent staff and prudent approach will provide us with the time and resources to implement our plans.

In financial terms, we are faced with finding savings of £1.02m in next year's budget, after using £0.7m from general balances (as previously planned), further savings of £1.3m in the following year and even more after that. These targets arise from the continuing difficult economic climate in respect of interest rates and the formula grant settlement recently announced by the Coalition Government. The settlement represents a 25% reduction from the money we would otherwise have received for the next two years. This emphasises the challenges I mentioned earlier.

Rushcliffe has a proud track record of finding savings and efficiencies to keep the Council Tax low and to reinvest in services. Over the last 2 years savings of £1.8m have been found. Indeed, £2.8m savings have been realised over the last 4 years. This past success makes the tasks before us even more difficult as the Council is already very efficient and has provided over many years, and will continue to provide excellent value for money to our residents.

Although increasingly difficult, the proposed budget for next year demonstrates the success of our approach, as managers have worked hard to be able to reduce business costs by £560,000 and this figure increases to nearly £1.2m in later years. In previous years successful public consultation workshops have taken place in respect of budgets. This has been repeated this year and has proved valuable in complementing the workshops attended by most members of the Council over several months.

Through this comprehensive series of workshops with Members and with residents, a number of initiatives are proposed to maximise income and

change services. These initiatives will generate a further £445,000 of savings, thereby providing a robust budget for Council's approval.

I must once again pay tribute to all those who contributed to this process. I would like to thank Members who took part in the workshops in a way that clearly demonstrated their desire to do the best for our residents and their openness in considering new ways of providing services. I was also especially impressed with the contributions made by members of the public who are, of course, our taxpayers, and the insight gained by all age groups into the Council's expenditure, for which I am very grateful.

In relation to the proposed initiatives considered by Members and residents, I must comment on the proposal to charge for green waste in particular as it is such a significant item, both in relation to the estimated income it would raise and the potential impact on residents who have no doubt become used to a free service. I would emphasise that the service will be optional for residents – they will be invited to join the scheme. Our proposed budget assumes that around 30% will do so as I sincerely believe that at £25 for the first bin – that's £1 per collection, or equivalent to less than 50p per week - the service will continue to represent very good value for the residents' money.

In the meantime, I believe it is prudent to make a conservative estimate of the take-up. I hope that even more than the estimated number of residents will actually take the service as this will generate even more towards the savings targets in later years. I acknowledge that the Council's recycling rate may well reduce as a consequence, but that does not necessarily mean that recycling overall will reduce as residents will continue to have other ways of recycling the waste such as composting or taking to the amenity sites. This in no way diminishes the Council's continued desire to encourage recycling and waste minimisation and to protect the environment. Therefore I do not propose this initiative lightly. The Cabinet believes it is an important part of responding to the challenges we face.

As I have already stated, these challenges extend beyond next year and it is important that the Council has robust plans to respond to these longer term issues and to this Government's view that authorities should review how services are provided. To address this, the workshops also considered other models of service provision including shared services, co-operative, community and voluntary sector involvement as well as the traditional contracting out option. Following this, a 4 year plan of reviews has been drawn up and is included with the budget papers for Council's approval. The plan does not pre-empt the solution or model that may result from the review, but it does indicate the level of savings that might reasonably be expected to be found. I therefore commend this 4 year plan to the Council.

The proposed revenue and capital budgets and the medium term financial strategy all reflect these considerations and continue to reflect the Council's priorities. Nevertheless, there are still risks, particularly in relation to the forecasts for interest rates and the potential for Formula grant after 2012. We still have strong balances but the forecasts show these will be considerably reduced over the next five years so we must ensure we achieve the savings indicated in the 4 year plan and continue to use reserves responsibly.

A Borough Council tax of £113.22 at band D is proposed, which is the same as that for 2010/11 – i.e. no increase – Zero! In this way, the Council will benefit from the special Government grant equivalent to a 2.5% increase, to be paid to Councils that do not increase their Council Tax. Not to take this course of action would mean our residents would have to face an increase of at least that amount before any benefit is received and I believe that would be irresponsible. The Council Tax freeze also recognises the extra pressure that taxpayers are under at this time.

I believe the proposed budget and Council Tax continues to represent excellent value for money for our residents as it remains one of the lowest in the country and pays for excellent, high performing services. Together with the other plans and our approach to responding to the challenges in later years this is an important step in ensuring the Council can continue to provide value for money into the future.

Madam Mayor, I have pleasure in moving the recommendations set out on pages 18, 19 and 20 of the Council agenda”.

Councillor Evans stated that the Council was facing massive cuts and acknowledged that this was not an easy position to be in. He agreed with Cabinet’s planned approach, but was of the view that reviewing 20 services would be challenging. He stated that the 4 year plan was not without risk in reaching the anticipated savings and he believed that responsibility for delivering the plan would fall on the Cabinet and the Senior Management Team. He stated that he agreed with many of Cabinet’s choices but felt that Cabinet had clearly got it wrong in one area. He therefore moved an amendment, seconded by Councillor Davidson. The amendment sought the replacement of recommendation (iv) with the following text:-

‘The options detailed in TABLE 1 are approved for implementation in 2011/12 substituting 2c option for 2a (£10 take up for the first green bin and £25 for a second bin) and assuming a 75% take up’

At 7.30 pm the Meeting was adjourned in order to clarify with the Group Leaders, Council Procedure Rule 14.4 (content and length of speeches).

The Meeting reconvened at 7.45 pm whereupon in line with Council Procedure Rules 14.4 and with the agreement of Council, Councillor Evans speech on his amendment was extended by five minutes.

In support of the amendment to introduce a charge of £10 for the first green bin and £25 for the second green bin, Councillor Evans stated that he believed the take up at this level would be 75% or more. Therefore the proposed amendment assumed greater take up, generating £300,000 per annum. He continued by stating that whilst the income generation was not significantly different from the Cabinet’s proposal to charge £25 for the first bin and £10 for the second bin, only three out of the seven groups in the Members budget consultation workshops had supported Cabinet’s proposal.

Councillor Evans stated that he was of the opinion that the amendment would lead to higher levels of recycling in the Borough, and would also enable the Council to continue to provide an efficient service as there would not be so

many gaps in the collection rounds. He expressed concern that the original recommendation could potentially increase fly-tipping and lead to inappropriate use of the grey bins in cases where residents did not want to pay £25 for the green bin.

Councillor MacInnes supported the amendment, stating that he disliked charges, especially for services of this type which people expected to be provided. He continued by stating that it was more beneficial to include the costs for such services within the council tax. He went on to express the view that the original proposal carried risks of reduced recycling rates and an increase in fly-tipping.

Councillor S Mallender stated that she supported the amendment and her discussions with residents had indicated support for the points raised by Councillor Evans.

Councillor S Boote stated that he disagreed with Cabinet's proposal and the premise it was based on, therefore he supported the amendment.

Councillor D Boote stated that she had also consulted residents in her ward, and the majority felt that they paid enough council tax without being charged and additional fee for their green bin. Consequently she believed that a large number of people would put their green waste in the grey bin.

In speaking against the amendment Councillor Mrs D Mason said that it depended on who you spoke as to whether they were in support of the charge. Her experience had been that the majority of people were in favour of the Cabinet's proposed charge. Furthermore she felt that 75% predicted take up rate, upon which the proposed amendment was based, was unlikely, unsubstantiated and without any evidence to support it.

Councillor Jones said that he believed that the £25 charge proposed by Cabinet was disproportionate, particularly in terms of the overall cost of the collection. He believed that it penalised those in poorer areas within Rushcliffe and, as such, was unfair. He added that the amendment was more equitable and fair, and therefore he fully supported it.

Councillor Davidson drew attention to the fact that only three out of seven of the Member Groups at the budget consultation workshops supported Cabinet's proposed £25 charge. Furthermore he felt there would be an increase in use of the grey bins for green waste, leading to significantly more landfill tax for the Council to pay. He stated that the 75% take up was not over-optimistic, and the £10 charge was a very reasonable approach to financial strategy.

Councillor Cranswick stated that Members had a choice between a high risk strategy, based on the unsubstantiated premise that 75% of residents would take up the bin charge at £10, or alternatively a more sensible approach based on a £25 charge from which revenue could be more accurately predicted. He added that he believed that it was the Council's job was to make sure there was enough money to provide a service and it was important to ensure costs could be recovered. He said that a charge was not an extra tax as there was a choice for residents to have the green bin or to not. Furthermore the cost per collection of £1 was a cheap and convenient means of disposing of the waste.

Councillor Cranswick continued by saying that the 30% estimate of take up for the charge proposed by Cabinet was based on surveys from across the country, and that no other local authority had achieved 75% at a lesser charge. He added that if the expected 30% was exceeded then this would assist in not making any further charges or in reducing service provision in other areas.

Councillor Holt said that he felt that a comparison with other local authorities was dangerous and that the suggestion that people would pay for the service rather than take their rubbish to a tip was wrong. He felt strongly that residents would put the rubbish in their grey bin instead.

In summing up on the amendment Councillor Evans said that no-one knew how the charge would be received however he felt that the proposed £25 would be unpopular as it basically equated to an indirect increase in council tax.

Councillor Clarke, speaking as mover of the original motion, questioned the evidence used to substantiate the case for the amendment. He pointed out that the Council was not proposing to collect the green bins from residents who did not sign up for the scheme, as they may opt to sign up in future. Therefore it was not a tax, it was an option that provided residents with the freedom to choose to continue to use an excellent and cost effective Council service.

The amendment was put to Council and a recorded vote was requested by Councillor Evans. Voting was as follows:

For:

Councillors S Bennett, Mrs D M Boote, S J Boote, G Davidson, C J Evans, T W Holt, R M Jones, K A Khan, A MacInnes, G R Mallender, S E Mallerder, E A Plant, B Venes (13)

Against:

Councillors R A Adair, Mrs S P Bailey G D Bell, B R Buschman, R L Butler, J N Clarke, T Combellack, L B Cooper, J E Cottee, J A Cranswick, B G Dale, J E Fearon, Mrs R E J Godkin, M G Hemsley, R Hetherington, Mrs C E M Jeffreys, K I I Korn, N C Lawrence, Mrs J M Marshall, Mrs D J Mason, F J Mason, B A Nicholls, F A Purdue-Horan, Mrs J A Smith, P Smith, J A Stockwood, Mrs M Stockwood, B Tansley, H Tipton (29)

Abstentions:

Councillors Mrs M M Males and G S Moore (2)

The amendment was declared lost.

Speaking on the original motion Councillor S Mallender stated that the Borough Council did not have to make the same level of cuts as the unitary authorities, but it still presented a major challenge. Furthermore the efficiencies and hard way of working embedded within the Council meant that the cuts were difficult to manage without affecting services. She stated that she believed that there had not been sufficient consultation with residents and

that there should have been on-line surveys to enable more residents to be involved and engaged.

Councillor S Boote moved an amendment to insert an additional recommendation (xv) with the following text:

'Council resolves to recommend to Cabinet that a member group be set up to consider the comments of David Cameron and Eric Pickles regarding the senior management costs, and to submit a report to Cabinet with recommendations for consideration'.

In support of the amendment Councillor S Boote pointed out that the 4 Year Plan included a target to review overall management costs. He felt that the setting up of a member group would avoid the embarrassment of staff reviewing their own salaries, and this could be carried out by Members in parallel with other management reviews.

Councillor Jones felt that it was simple, in that it would enable Members to do the review of management costs rather than officers reviewing their own costs.

Councillor Cranswick stated that it would be inappropriate for such a member group to be established and questioned the value of any such group in considering. He believed that such a suggestion showed a disregard for the significant challenges facing the Council and how it should focus its time and effort on things that mattered to residents. He added that it was important to consider that Council appointed the Chief Executive and it was his job to deal with staffing matters, not that of Councillors.

Councillor Clarke concurred with this view and stated that the 4 Year Plan included a review of management costs. Therefore there was absolutely no point in setting up such a group and he was surprised by such a suggestion in view of the challenges facing the Council.

The Mayor put the amendment to the vote and declared it lost.

A recorded vote was requested on the original motion. Voting was as follows:

For:

Councillors R A Adair, Mrs S P Bailey G D Bell, B R Buschman, R L Butler, J N Clarke, T Combellack, L B Cooper, J E Cottee, J A Cranswick, B G Dale, J E Fearon, Mrs R E J Godkin, M G Hemsley, R Hetherington, Mrs C E M Jeffreys, K I I Korn, N C Lawrence, Mrs J M Marshall, Mrs D J Mason, F J Mason, B A Nicholls, F A Purdue-Horan, Mrs J A Smith, P Smith, J A Stockwood, Mrs M Stockwood, B Tansley, H Tipton (29)

Against:

Councillors S Bennett, Mrs D M Boote, S J Boote, G Davidson, C J Evans, T W Holt, R M Jones, K A Khan, A MacInnes, G R Mallender, S E Mallender, E A Plant, B Venes (13)

Abstentions:

Councillors Mrs M M Males and G S Moore (2)

RESOLVED that:

- (i) the capital programme, as set out in Annex 1 of the Cabinet report, be approved and that officers be authorised to take the necessary steps to implement the first two years of that programme;
- (ii) the prudential indicators, as set out in Annex 2 of the Cabinet report be approved;
- (iii) authority to effect movements between the separately agreed authorised and operational limits for external debt in respect of external borrowing and other long term liabilities, in accordance with option appraisal and best value for money be delegated to the Section 151 Officer;
- (iv) the options detailed in TABLE 1 are approved for implementation in 2011/12;
- (v) the revised revenue estimates for 2011/12 including contingency provision of £80,000, as set out in Appendix 1 and supported by the detailed budget book, be approved;
- (vi) the medium term financial strategy as set out in paragraph 14 of this report, and the summarised projection based on the options proposed by Cabinet in the attached Appendix 5, be adopted and the Council Tax for Borough purposes be £113.22;
- (vii) the four year plan detailed in Appendix 6 is approved
- (viii) a contribution of £30,000 be made to the Information Technology Reserve;
- (ix) the Council Tax for the Borough be declared at £113.22 and a revised revenue balance of £670,248 be applied to reduce the budget requirement accordingly;
- (x) for the financial year 2011/12, the Council determine in accordance with Section 35(2) (d) of the Local Government Finance Act 1992 that in so far as the Council performs functions which elsewhere in the Council's area are performed by a Parish authority, the amount of £751,860 in the West Bridgford urban area and the amount of £6,650 in the Ruddington Parish area, being expenses incurred by the Council in performing such functions, shall be its special expenses.
- (xi) provision for Parish precepts of £1,772,910 be made, these being chargeable as special items on the respective part of the Borough in accordance with Section 35(1) of the Local Government Finance Act 1992;
- (xii) the following calculation of the Council's budget requirement for 2011/12 be made in accordance with Section 32 of the Local Government Finance Act 1992:

- (a) £40,043,270 Being the aggregate of the amounts which the Council estimates for the items set out in Section 32(2)(a) to (e) of the Act;
 - (b) £27,251,148 Being the aggregate of the amounts which the Council estimates for the items set out in Section 32(3)(a) to (c) of the Act;
 - (c) £12,792,122 Being the amount by which the aggregate at xii (a) exceeds the aggregate at xii (b) above, calculated by the Council in accordance with Section 32(4) of the Act as its budget requirement for the year.
- (xiii) it be noted that the following tax base for the year 2011/12 has been calculated in accordance with regulations made under Section 33(5) of the Local Government Finance Act 1992:-
- (a) The amount calculated as the tax base for the year 2011/12 for the Rushcliffe Borough area is 41,157
 - (b) The amount calculated as the tax base for the year 2011/12 for each part of the Borough is as listed in Appendix 3 to this report.
- (xiv) The following amounts now be calculated in accordance with Section 36 of the Local Government Finance Act 1992:-
- (a) £5,600,906 Being the aggregate of the sums which the Council estimates will be payable for the year into its General fund in respect of redistributed non-domestic rates, formula grant, and increased by the amount of sums which the Council estimates will be transferred in the year from its Collection Fund to its General Fund in accordance with Section 97(3) of the Local Government Finance Act 1988 (Council Tax surplus).
 - (b) £174.73 Being the amount at xii(c) above, less the amount at xiv(a) above, all divided by the amount at xiii(a) above, calculated in accordance with Section 33(1) of the Act as the basic amount of its Council Tax for the year.
 - (c) £2,531,420 Being the aggregate amount of all special items referred to in Section 34(1) of the Act.
 - (d) £113.22 Being the amount at xiii(b) above, less the result given by dividing the amount at xiv(c) above, by the amount at xiv(a) above, calculated by the Council in accordance with Section 34(2) of the Act, as the basic amount of its Council Tax for the year for dwellings in those parts of its area to which no special item relates.

- (e) The amount indicated in column 9 of Appendix 3 attached in respect of each area indicated in column 1 being the amounts given by adding to the amount at xiv(d) above the amounts of the special item or items relating to dwellings in those parts of the Council's area shown in columns 5 and 6 of Appendix 3, divided in each case by the amount indicated at xiii(b) above and shown in column 2 of Appendix 3, calculated by the Council in accordance with Section 34(3) of the Act, as the basic amounts of its Council Tax for the year for dwellings in those parts of its area to which one or more special items relate.
- (f) The amounts shown in Appendix 4 for each valuation band in each part of the Council's area listed, being the amounts given by multiplying the amounts at xiv(e) above by the number which, in the proportion set out in Section 5(1) of the Act, is applicable to dwellings listed in a particular valuation band divided by the number which in that proportion is applicable to dwellings listed in the valuation band D, calculated by the Council, in accordance with Section 36(1) of the Act, as the amounts to be taken into account for the year in respect of categories of dwellings listed in different valuation bands.

43. Council Tax Setting 2011-12

Councillor Clarke presented the report of the Head of Financial Services outlining the rates precepted by the County Council, Police Authority and Fire Authority. The report provided the amounts payable by all residents.

RESOLVED that:

- a) It be noted that the Nottinghamshire County Council, the Nottinghamshire Fire Authority and the Nottinghamshire Police Authority have stated the following amounts in precepts for the year 2011/12 to the Council, issued in accordance with Section 40 of the Local Government Finance Act 1992 for each of the categories shown below:

	Nottinghamshire County Council £	Nottinghamshire Fire Authority £	Nottinghamshire Police Authority £
Band A	795.45	46.46	106.74
Band B	928.03	54.20	124.53
Band C	1,060.60	61.95	142.32
Band D	1,193.18	69.69	160.11
Band E	1,458.33	85.18	195.69
Band F	1,723.48	100.66	231.27
Band G	1,988.63	116.15	266.85
Band H	2,386.36	139.38	320.22

- b) Having calculated the aggregate in each case of the amounts calculated by the Borough Council as its tax rate applicable in each area of the Borough and the amounts shown in (a) above, the Council in accordance with Section 30(2) of the Local Government Finance Act 1992 hereby sets the amounts shown in columns 2 to 9 of Appendix 1 as the amounts of Council Tax for the year 2011/12 for each of the categories of dwelling and areas indicated.

44. **Electoral Review Opportunity – Cabinet Recommendation**

Councillor Clarke presented a report of Cabinet recommending to Council the submission of a formal request to the Local Government Boundary Commission for England (LGBCE) for a future electoral review for the Borough. The report noted that Cabinet had considered a report in February stating that in December 2010 the Commission had written to local authorities regarding a review of its procedures.

The Commission's internal review intended to reduce the timescale for electoral reviews so that it could deliver more. Furthermore the Commission indicated that because of the financial environment it would welcome requests from Councils for electoral reviews, in order to help them with making necessary savings.

Councillor MacInnes stated that he hadn't heard any desire to reduce the number of Councillors. Furthermore he was not aware of any dissatisfaction with the mix of single and dual Member wards that there was at present. Therefore he expressed concern at the reasons for requesting a review, particular the presumption that the desired outcome was a substantial reduction in the number of Members. He pointed out that the Commission could choose to increase, decrease or keep the number of Councillors the same, and that it was not obliged to implement single member wards. He questioned why the proposal had not been included in the recent budget workshops and whether the timing was right given that the current arrangement was working well.

Councillor R Mallender stated that he agreed with Councillor MacInnes' comments. He felt that a reduction in the number of Councillors in Rushcliffe would not have any great advantage, and would not adequately reflect the political or local views of residents, or the rural and suburban mix.

Councillor Davidson felt that the review was not timely and concurred with the views of Councillors MacInnes and R Mallender. He stated that there was significant housing development planned for the Borough and the pattern was likely to change. Therefore he believed that any such review would be of short term benefit, and would increase the workload for Councillors leading to difficulties in maintaining contact with residents.

Councillor Davidson proposed an amendment to the recommendation, deleting the original recommendation and inserting the following text:

'Council is recommended to ask the Chief Executive to write to the Local Government Boundary Commission for England asking them to delay a review in Rushcliffe until the affects of new housing developments become clear, and

in the meantime, Council requests a reduction of 10% in members' allowances for next year'.

Commenting on the amendment Councillor Lawrence stated that an electoral review would equalise the size of the Boroughs wards, resulting in the same workload for Councillors across the Borough. Furthermore if it was to be delayed then Commission may also decide not to include Rushcliffe, thus missing the opportunity.

In support of the amendment Councillor S Boote stated that an electoral review would not necessarily provide financial benefits. Instead Members should avoid the expense and hassle of a review and the burden on staff, and ask the Independent Remuneration Panel to recommend a reduction in members' allowances. Furthermore if the Council was scrutinising management costs it should also look at allowances.

Councillor Jones stated that he believed the report was poorly written and provided no evidence to support a request for a review. He felt strongly that a reduction in the number of members did not automatically reduce costs and he believed a review was not necessary at this time.

Councillor MacInnes pointed out that using the latest official figures the ratio of members to electorate fell well within a tolerance which he believed as fair. Furthermore it was his understanding that the Council did not have to request a review and should therefore not submit such a request.

Councillor Evans stated that the amendment proposed was clear and simple and it was asking Councillors to make a sacrifice. He continued by stating that the report made reference to making savings and that Council needed to action that, therefore he would be supporting the amendment.

In conclusion Councillor Davidson was of the view that communities were not equally sized and therefore boundaries would have to be in strange places to make equal sized wards. Furthermore any electoral review would be overtaken by housing developments and money could be saved more rapidly by asking the Independent Remuneration Panel to look at the level of members' allowances.

Speaking against the amendment, Councillor Clarke stated that the letter from the Commission had asked if the Borough Council wanted to request a review. This did not mean that the Commission would immediately undertake the review and it may be sometime before it was added to the Commissions work programme. With regards to the points made about members allowances Councillor Clarke pointed out that it was not the place of Council to be making recommendations to the Independent Remuneration Panel about member's allowances. This was unfair and unjust and would wholly compromise its independence. Furthermore members allowances had been frozen for the last two years which highlighted the sacrifice made by Councillors because of the present financial climate.

The Mayor put the amendment to the vote and declared it lost.

Speaking on the original motion Councillor Evans said that he was disappointed by what the report proposed. He added that Newark and Sherwood District Council had recently been subject to such a review and this had led to no change. He felt that if a review was requested then resources could be wasted undertaking something which could lead to no changes. Furthermore, in his view, fewer Councillors often resulted in higher allowances as it could be argued that individual Councillors had more responsibility.

RESOLVED that:

the Chief Executive be asked to write to the Local Government Boundary Commission for England (LGBCE) asking them to undertake a future electoral review for the Borough of Rushcliffe.

45. **Review of the Terms of Reference for the Employment Appeals Committee and the Officer Employment Procedure Rules – Cabinet Recommendations**

Councillor Clarke introduced a report of the Head of Corporate Services setting out revised terms of reference for the Council's Employment Appeals Committee and revised Officer Employment Procedure Rules. These had been considered by Cabinet at its meeting on 8 February who had recommended them to Council. Prior to this the revisions had been considered by the Corporate Governance Group.

Councillor Clarke stated that the revised terms of reference for the Employment Appeal Committee being recommended to Council were set out in the report. He believed that these revised terms of reference clarified the Committee's role and would prevent contradiction with internal appeal procedures or delegated authority. Furthermore they were consistent with the type of matters the Committee had historically considered as these had only related to appeals against dismissal for misconduct or capability.

The report explained that appeals regarding redundancy would not be within the remit of the Employment Appeals Committee as such appeals would be dealt with internally, in line with the Council's Managing Organisational Change Policy and its internal appeal procedures.

Councillor Davidson stated that as Chairman of the Corporate Governance Group he supported the reports recommendations. He believed the revisions were important to make sure the role of the Employment Appeals Committee and the Officer Employment Procedure Rules were up to date and accurate.

Commenting on the Officer Employment Procedures Rules Councillor Plant sought clarification of the wording as set out at paragraph two. She stated that she believed the wording in its current form limited the potential to recruit external candidates to senior posts and, as such, she believed it should be revised. Councillor Plant stated that she believed the Procedures Rules should be amended and as such indicated that she intended to propose an amendment.

In response Councillor Clarke proposed that any such amendment was not necessary and it would not be appropriate to debate the Officer Employment

Procedure Rules in view of the fact that the officers they affected were at the meeting. Therefore he suggested that Council request the amended Officer Employment Procedure Rules be referred back to Cabinet for further consideration.

RESOLVED that the:

- (i) revised terms of reference for the Council's Employment Appeals Committee as set out at paragraph 2 of the report be endorsed
- (ii) Head of Corporate Services be authorised to make the necessary revisions to the Council's Constitution to reflect the agreed changes
- (iii) amended Officer Employment Procedure Rules at Appendix A be referred back to Cabinet for further consideration.

46. Questions under Standing Order 11 (2)

a) Question from Councillor Mrs D M Boote to Councillor J N Clarke

What are the future prospects for advice centres in Rushcliffe in the current economic climate with its associated rises in debt and unemployment, and when can extra financial help be given to the centres?

In response Councillor Clarke stated that he was pleased to say that working in partnership with Rushcliffe Advice Network, the Council had assisted in securing £484,000 of lottery funding to provide additional advice services in rural areas such as Radcliffe on Trent, Cotgrave and Keyworth.

As a supplementary question Councillor Mrs Boote asked what help the Council was giving to ensure that advice centres were on a firm footing.

Councillor Clarke replied saying that RCVS was co-ordinating the work and the present number of advice centres had proved successful.

b) Question from Councillor Mrs D M Boote to Councillor D G Bell

What are the future prospects for Neighbourhood Planning Vanguard, following the first bid for one in Keyworth?

In response Councillor Bell stated the Neighbourhood Planning scheme was the Coalition Government's way of selecting a number of pilot areas to test out how the scheme might work. He thanked the residents of Keyworth for supporting the pilot scheme and noted that the Council was bidding to be one of 12 Vanguard schemes nationwide. He added that no decision had yet been made by the Government, but it was clear that neighbourhood planning was to be part of the Localism Bill due for implementation in 2012.

c) Question from Councillor S J Boote to Councillor R Hetherington

What plans are there for a full explanation to be given to members about the protocols for handling information at the new joint customer service centre,

having regard to the different sources of information used by the police and the Council?

In response Councillor Hetherington informed Members that a letter had been sent to the Customer Services Centre Working Group updating them on the situation. He explained further that the necessary protocols were being updated with the Police and this would be completed in due course.

As a supplementary question Councillor S Boote asked what action was being taken to ensure compliance with Data Protection Act in particular using data for its purpose.

Councillor Hetherington stated that this was an operational matter which was being dealt with by the relevant Council officers.

d) **Question from Councillor S J Boote to Councillor Mrs D J Mason**

What are the prospects for delegating street cleaning to parish councils?

In response Councillor Mrs Mason pointed out that within the four year plan the Council had identified that the current streetwise and recycling2go service would be subject to a comprehensive review exercise.

As a supplementary question Councillor S Boote asked what steps the Council would take to do the street cleaning should the parish council decide not to provide extra services.

Councillor Mrs Mason responded saying that there was no change therefore it was up to the parish council to decide what they wanted to do.

e) **Question from Councillor K A Khan to Councillor D G Bell**

What is the number of Rushcliffe residents seeking social housing in Rushcliffe and how many years, at the present rate of providing new housing association housing, would it take to meet that need?

In response Councillor Bell said that there were currently 1,244 households seeking affordable housing in Rushcliffe. He continued by saying that housing needs fluctuated over time and most people in need would be housed in existing accommodation rather than new build. He added that social mobility and housing supply were influenced by prevailing economic circumstances so it was impossible and inappropriate to formulate an answer in such a simplistic way.

As a supplementary question Councillor Khan stated that he felt that the figure was relatively high and therefore asked whether the Council should change its policy with a view to reducing the thresholds to give a higher proportion of affordable housing.

Councillor Bell said this was being considered by the Local Development Framework Group.

f) **Question from Councillor K A Khan to Councillor D G Bell**

Given the public concern that useful shops are being driven out of central West Bridgford by the increased rents partly inflated by the growth of bars and eateries, what action can and will the Council take?

Councillor Bell responded by saying that he did not accept the premise of the question on the grounds that what is useful to some might not be useful to others. Furthermore some premises might not be economically viable and this was determined by market forces and not the Council.

As a supplementary question Councillor Khan said that the he accepted that shops went out of business because of economic reasons, but questioned how the Council could demonstrate that its policies were fair and encouraged a vibrant local economy.

In response Councillor Bell stated that West Bridgford had a vibrant retail centre which people wanted to visit. It was reflective of market trends and was successful because it provided what people wanted, in line with market forces.

g) **Question from Councillor R M Jones to Councillor J A Cranswick**

What views and plans do you have about the impact of the County Council's decision to stop leasing the Hall and thereby removing the services based there?

Councillor Cranswick replied by saying that no formal notification had been received and when necessary a report would be considered by the Council's Asset Management Group.

As a supplementary question Councillor Jones said that given the Hall was a well loved local facility could he assure people they could still have their civil wedding.

Councillor Cranswick responded by stating that this was a matter for the County Council.

h) **Question from Councillor R M Jones to Councillor Mrs D J Mason**

What progress has the Council made on using its own roof and other facilities to produce green energy?

In response Councillor Mrs Mason stated that good progress had been made and suggested Councillors should read the Climate Change Strategy and Action Plan which was reported to Performance Management Board on 27 February.

The meeting closed at 9.55 pm.

MAYOR

REPORT OF THE HEAD OF CORPORATE SERVICES

Summary

1. The attached **appendix** sets out nominations for appointments to Committees and Member Groups for 2011/12 in accordance with the requests of the political groups.
2. The nominations for appointments take into account the principles in relation to political representation and the allocation of seats.

Recommendation

It is RECOMMENDED that the nominations as set out in the **appendix** to the report be approved.

Background Papers Available for Inspection:

Nil.

Financial Comments

There are no direct financial implications

Section 17 Crime and Disorder Act

There are no direct section 17 implications

Diversity

There are no direct diversity implications

SCRUTINY GROUPS

COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT GROUP

9 Members – Chairman - Lead Group

	Conservative (6)	Lib Dem (1)	Labour (1)	Green (1)	Independent (0)	Unallocated (0)
1.	L B Cooper	S J Boote	N K Boughton - Smith	G R Mallender		
2.	T Combellack (VC)					
3.	J E Greenwood					
4.	M G Hemsley					
5.	N C Lawrence (C)					
6.	Mrs M M Males					

CORPORATE GOVERNANCE GROUP

9 Members – Chairman – Lead Group / Vice Chairman to be appointed at meeting

	Conservative (6)	Lib Dem (1)	Labour (1)	Green (0)	Independent (0)	Unallocated (1)
1.	A Brown	K A Khan	E A Plant			
2.	J E Cottee					
3.	B G Dale					
4.	R Hetherington					
5.	B A Nicholls (C)					
6.	H Tipton					

PARTNERSHIP DELIVERY GROUP
9 Members – Chairman - Lead Group

	Conservative (6)	Lib Dem (1)	Labour (1)	Green (0)	Independent (1)	Unallocated (0)
1.	R L Butler	Mrs D M Boote	H A Chewings		T Vennett-Smith	
2.	A M Dickinson					
3.	R Hetherington (C)					
4.	E J Lungley					
5.	F A Purdue-Horan (VC)					
6.	Mrs M Stockwood					

PERFORMANCE MANAGEMENT BOARD

9 Members – Chairman – Lead Group / Vice Chairman to be appointed at meeting

	Conservative (6)	Lib Dem (1)	Labour (1)	Green (0)	Independent (0)	Unallocated (1)
1.	S P Bailey	R M Jones	A MacInnes			-
2.	B Buschman					
3.	B G Dale					
4.	S J Robinson					
5.	J A Stockwood					
6.	D G Wheeler (C)					

COMMITTEES

ALCOHOL AND ENTERTAINMENT LICENSING COMMITTEE

15 Members

	Conservative (10)	Lib Dem (1)	Labour (1)	Green (1)	Independent (1)	Unallocated (1)
1.	R A Adair	G Davidson (VC)	E A Plant	G R Mallender	T Vennett-Smith	-
2.	B Buschman					
3.	R L Butler (C)					
4.	T Combellack					
5.	A M Dickinson					
6.	I I Korn					
7.	S J Robinson					
8.	P Smith					
9.	J A Stockwood					
10.	H Tipton					

DEVELOPMENT CONTROL COMMITTEE**15 Members – Chairman - Lead Group**

(Leader and Deputy Leader are ex officio non-voting Members)

	Conservative (10)	Lib Dem (2)	Labour (2)	Green (1)	Independent (0)	Unallocated (0)
1.	J E Greenwood	L J Abbey	J R Bannister	S E Mallender		
2.	Mrs M M Males	R M Jones	H A Chewings			
3.	D J Mason					
4.	F J Mason (VC)					
5.	S J Robinson					
6.	Mrs J A Smith					
7.	P Smith					
8.	Mrs M Stockwood (C)					
9.	B Tansley					
10.	D G Wheeler					

LICENSING COMMITTEE
5 Members

	Conservative (4)	Lib Dem (1)	Labour (0)	Green (0)	Independent (0)	Unallocated (0)
1.	B Buschman	L J Abbey				
2.	R L Butler (C)					
3.	E J Lungley					
4.	Mrs J M Marshall					
5.						

EMPLOYMENT APPEALS COMMITTEE
5 Members - Chairman - Leader

	Conservative (4)	Lib Dem (1)	Labour (0)	Green (0)	Independent (0)	Unallocated (0)
1.	J N Clarke (C)	S J Boote				
2.	J A Cranswick (VC)					
3.	F J Mason					
4.	Mrs M Stockwood					
5.						

INTERVIEWING COMMITTEE
5 Members - Chairman - Leader

	Conservative (3)	Lib Dem (1)	Labour (1)	Green (0)	Independent (0)	Unallocated (0)
1.	J N Clarke (C)	G Davidson	N K Boughton - Smith			
2.	J A Cranswick (VC)					
3.	D J Mason					
4.						
5.						

LOCAL DEVELOPMENT FRAMEWORK GROUP
15 Members – Chairman - Lead Group

	Conservative (10)	Lib Dem (2)	Labour (2)	Green (1)	Independent (0)	Unallocated (0)
1.	R A Adair	G Davidson	J R Bannister	S E Mallender		
2.	S P Bailey	Mrs D M Boote	A MacInnes			
3.	D G Bell (C)					
4.	L B Cooper					
5.	M G Hemsley					
6.	F J Mason					
7.	F A Purdue-Horan					
8.	P Smith					
9.	Mrs M Stockwood (VC)					
10.	B Tansley					

MEMBER DEVELOPMENT GROUP

9 Members – Chairman - Cabinet Portfolio Holder for Environment

	Conservative (6)	Lib Dem (1)	Labour (1)	Green (1)	Independent (0)	Unallocated (0)
1.	A Brown	S J Boote	N K Boughton-Smith	G R Mallender		
2.	A M Dickinson					
3.	N C Lawrence					
4.	Mrs J M Marshall					
5.	D J Mason (C)					
6.	B Tansley					
7.						
8.						
9.						

CIVIC HOSPITALITY PANEL**6 Members – Chairman - Mayor**

Consisting of Mayor, Deputy Mayor, Leader, Deputy Leader, Liberal Democrat representative and Labour representative

	Conservative (4)	Lib Dem (1)	Labour (1)	Green (0)	Independent (0)	Unallocated (0)
1.	J N Clarke	Mrs D M Boote	H A Chewings			
2.	J A Cranswick					
3.	I I Korn					
4.	G S Moore (C)					
5.						
6.						

STANDARDS COMMITTEE**12 seats (6 Elected Members, 3 Parish Members and 3 Independent Members)**

	Conservative (3)	Lib Dem (2)	Labour (1)	Green (0)	Independent (0)	Unallocated (0)
1.	R A Adair	K A Khan	A MacInnes			
2.	J E Greenwood	L J Abbey				
3.	B A Nicholls					
4.						
5.						
6.						

R Brooks – Parish Member

G Norbury – Parish Member

W Wood – Parish Member

P Joyce QC – Chairman – Independent Member

N Waterston – Independent Member

K White – Independent Member

SCRUTINY GROUPS

COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT GROUP

9 Members – Chairman - Lead Group

	Conservative (6)	Lib Dem (1)	Labour (1)	Green (1)	Independent (0)	Unallocated (0)
1.	L B Cooper	S J Boote	N K Boughton - Smith	G R Mallender		
2.	T Combellack (VC)					
3.	J E Greenwood					
4.	M G Hemsley					
5.	N C Lawrence (C)					
6.	Mrs M M Males					

CORPORATE GOVERNANCE GROUP

9 Members – Chairman – Lead Group / Vice Chairman to be appointed at meeting

	Conservative (6)	Lib Dem (1)	Labour (1)	Green (0)	Independent (0)	Unallocated (1)
1.	A Brown	K A Khan	E A Plant			
2.	J E Cottee					
3.	B G Dale					
4.	R Hetherington					
5.	B A Nicholls (C)					
6.	H Tipton					

PARTNERSHIP DELIVERY GROUP
9 Members – Chairman - Lead Group

	Conservative (6)	Lib Dem (1)	Labour (1)	Green (0)	Independent (1)	Unallocated (0)
1.	R L Butler	Mrs D M Boote	H A Chewings		T Vennett-Smith	
2.	A M Dickinson					
3.	R Hetherington (C)					
4.	E J Lungley					
5.	F A Purdue-Horan (VC)					
6.	Mrs M Stockwood					

PERFORMANCE MANAGEMENT BOARD

9 Members – Chairman – Lead Group / Vice Chairman to be appointed at meeting

	Conservative (6)	Lib Dem (1)	Labour (1)	Green (0)	Independent (0)	Unallocated (1)
1.	S P Bailey	R M Jones	A MacInnes			-
2.	B Buschman					
3.	B G Dale					
4.	S J Robinson					
5.	J A Stockwood					
6.	D G Wheeler (C)					

COMMITTEES

ALCOHOL AND ENTERTAINMENT LICENSING COMMITTEE 15 Members

	Conservative (10)	Lib Dem (1)	Labour (1)	Green (1)	Independent (1)	Unallocated (1)
1.	R A Adair	G Davidson (VC)	E A Plant	G R Mallender	T Vennett-Smith	-
2.	B Buschman					
3.	R L Butler (C)					
4.	T Combellack					
5.	A M Dickinson					
6.	I I Korn					
7.	S J Robinson					
8.	P Smith					
9.	J A Stockwood					
10.	H Tipton					

DEVELOPMENT CONTROL COMMITTEE

15 Members – Chairman - Lead Group

(Leader and Deputy Leader are ex officio non-voting Members)

	Conservative (10)	Lib Dem (2)	Labour (2)	Green (1)	Independent (0)	Unallocated (0)
1.	J E Greenwood	L J Abbey	J R Bannister	S E Mallender		
2.	Mrs M M Males	R M Jones	H A Chewings			
3.	D J Mason					
4.	F J Mason (VC)					
5.	S J Robinson					
6.	Mrs J A Smith					
7.	P Smith					
8.	Mrs M Stockwood (C)					
9.	B Tansley					
10.	D G Wheeler					

LICENSING COMMITTEE
5 Members

	Conservative (4)	Lib Dem (1)	Labour (0)	Green (0)	Independent (0)	Unallocated (0)
1.	B Buschman	L J Abbey				
2.	R L Butler (C)					
3.	E J Lungley					
4.	Mrs J M Marshall					
5.						

EMPLOYMENT APPEALS COMMITTEE
5 Members - Chairman - Leader

	Conservative (4)	Lib Dem (1)	Labour (0)	Green (0)	Independent (0)	Unallocated (0)
1.	J N Clarke (C)	S J Boote				
2.	J A Cranswick (VC)					
3.	F J Mason					
4.	Mrs M Stockwood					
5.						

INTERVIEWING COMMITTEE
5 Members - Chairman - Leader

	Conservative (3)	Lib Dem (1)	Labour (1)	Green (0)	Independent (0)	Unallocated (0)
1.	J N Clarke (C)	G Davidson	N K Boughton - Smith			
2.	J A Cranswick (VC)					
3.	D J Mason					
4.						
5.						

LOCAL DEVELOPMENT FRAMEWORK GROUP
15 Members – Chairman - Lead Group

	Conservative (10)	Lib Dem (2)	Labour (2)	Green (1)	Independent (0)	Unallocated (0)
1.	R A Adair	G Davidson	J R Bannister	S E Mallender		
2.	S P Bailey	Mrs D M Boote	A MacInnes			
3.	D G Bell (C)					
4.	L B Cooper					
5.	M G Hemsley					
6.	F J Mason					
7.	F A Purdue-Horan					
8.	P Smith					
9.	Mrs M Stockwood (VC)					
10.	B Tansley					

MEMBER DEVELOPMENT GROUP

9 Members – Chairman - Cabinet Portfolio Holder for Environment

	Conservative (6)	Lib Dem (1)	Labour (1)	Green (1)	Independent (0)	Unallocated (0)
1.	A Brown	S J Boote	N K Boughton-Smith	G R Mallender		
2.	A M Dickinson					
3.	N C Lawrence					
4.	Mrs J M Marshall					
5.	D J Mason (C)					
6.	B Tansley					
7.						
8.						
9.						

CIVIC HOSPITALITY PANEL**6 Members – Chairman - Mayor**

Consisting of Mayor, Deputy Mayor, Leader, Deputy Leader, Liberal Democrat representative and Labour representative

	Conservative (4)	Lib Dem (1)	Labour (1)	Green (0)	Independent (0)	Unallocated (0)
1.	J N Clarke	Mrs D M Boote	H A Chewings			
2.	J A Cranswick					
3.	I I Korn					
4.	G S Moore (C)					
5.						
6.						

STANDARDS COMMITTEE**12 seats (6 Elected Members, 3 Parish Members and 3 Independent Members)**

	Conservative (3)	Lib Dem (2)	Labour (1)	Green (0)	Independent (0)	Unallocated (0)
1.	R A Adair	K A Khan	A MacInnes			
2.	J E Greenwood	L J Abbey				
3.	B A Nicholls					
4.						
5.						
6.						

R Brooks – Parish Member

G Norbury – Parish Member

W Wood – Parish Member

P Joyce QC – Chairman – Independent Member

N Waterston – Independent Member

K White – Independent Member



COUNCIL

19 MAY 2011

APPOINTMENT OF REPRESENTATIVES TO
OUTSIDE BODIES 2011-12

10

REPORT OF THE HEAD OF CORPORATE SERVICES

Summary

1. The attached **appendix** sets out a table of nominations for appointments to outside bodies for 2010/11.
2. There are four contested appointments marked bold in the table. These are:

Appointment 3

East Midlands Area Museum Service PLC

2 Nominations (1 Representative)

Councillor D G Bell

Councillor N K Boughton-Smith

Appointment 12

Leicestershire and Northamptonshire Rail Action Committee

3 Nominations (2 Representatives)

Councillor J Bannister

Councillor R Hetherington

Councillor N C Lawrence

Appointment 24

Nottinghamshire Relate

2 Nominations (1 Representative)

Councillor E A Plant

Councillor S E Mallender

Appointment 29

Rushcliffe Barn Owl Project

3 Nominations (2 Representatives)

Councillor J E Greenwood

Councillor B Tanlsey

Councillor S Mallender

3. As such Council is requested to determine which Elected Member should be appointed as the representative on these outside bodies.

Recommendation

It is RECOMMENDED that Council **agree** the:

- (i) representatives to outside bodies as set out in the appended table excluding the contested appointments numbers 3, 12, 24 and 29;

- (ii) appointments to be made in the three contested appointments for the East Midlands Area Museum Service PLC (appointment 3), Leicestershire and Northamptonshire Rail Action Committee (appointment 12), Nottinghamshire Relate (appointment 24) and Rushcliffe Barn Owl Project (appointment 29).

Background Papers Available for Inspection: Nil

Financial Comments

There are no direct financial implications

Section 17 Crime and Disorder Act

There are no direct section 17 implications

Diversity

There are no direct diversity implications

REPRESENTATIVES ON OUTSIDE BODIES 2011/12

	Name of Organisation	Number of Representatives	Councillor
1.	Campaign to Protect Rural England	1	F J Mason
2.	Carters' Charity For the Poor of Wilford	3	I I Korn B A Nicholls H Tipton
3.	East Midlands Area Museum Service PLC	1	D G Bell N K Boughton-Smith
4.	Edwalton Municipal Golf and Social Club Ladies Committee	1	Mrs M M Males
5.	East Midlands Councils <i>(including other representative roles within this appointment)</i>	1	Leader Deputy Leader (Substitute)
6.	Friends of Rushcliffe Country Park	1	R A Adair
7.	Grantham Canal Partnership - Council of Management	1	D G Bell B Tansley (Substitute)
8.	Greater Nottingham Joint Planning Advisory Board	1	Cabinet Member for Sustainability
9.	Internal Drainage Boards - Fairham Brook	8	B G Dale M G Hemsley R Butler Mrs M M Males P Smith S J Boote Vacancy Vacancy
10.	Internal Drainage Boards – Kingston Brook	6	R Hetherington A Brown TBC* Mrs M M Males P Smith Vacancy Vacancy
11.	Internal Drainage Boards - Newark Area	2	J A Cranswick N C Lawrence

	Name of Organisation	Number of Representatives	Councillor
12.	Leicestershire and Northamptonshire Rail Action Committee	2	J Bannister R Hetherington N C Lawrence
13.	Local Area Forum - West Bridgford		
	Abbey Ward	1	B R Buschman
	Compton Acres	1	D G Wheeler
	Edwalton Village	1	S J Robinson
	Gamston	1	M G Hemsley
	Lady Bay	1	G R Mallender
	Lutterell	1	I Korn
	Melton	1	D G Bell
	Musters	1	K A Khan
	Trent Bridge	1	E A Plant
14.	Local Government Association - General Assembly <i>(including other representative roles within this appointment)</i>	1	Leader Deputy Leader (Substitute)
15.	Local Government Association – Rural Commision	2	Leader N C Lawrence
16.	Local Government Association – Urban Commision	1	Leader Deputy Leader (Substitute)
17.	Nottingham and District Citizens' Advice Bureau	1	D G Bell
18.	Nottingham East Midlands Airport Independent Consultative Forum	1	Mrs M M Males
19.	Nottingham Express Transit – Development Board	1	Cabinet Member for Sustainability
20.	Nottinghamshire Building Preservation Trust Ltd	1	Mrs M Stockwood
21.	Nottinghamshire Local Government Leaders Group	1	Leader Deputy Leader (Substitute)
22.	Nottinghamshire Joint Leaders Board	1	Leader Deputy Leader (Substitute)
23.	Nottinghamshire Core City Board	1	Leader Deputy Leader (Substitute)
24.	Nottinghamshire Relate	1	E A Plant S E Mallender
25.	Nottinghamshire Supporting People Partnership Board	1	Cabinet Member for Sustainability
26.	Nottinghamshire Waste Management Board	1	Cabinet Member for Environment

	Name of Organisation	Number of Representatives	Councillor
27.	REACT 21 Management Board	1	S E Mallender
28.	Rural Community Action for Nottinghamshire	1	T Combellack
29.	Rushcliffe Barn Owl Project	2	J E Greenwood B Tansley S E Mallender
30.	Rushcliffe Community Partnership (LSP)	2	Leader Cabinet Member for Environment
31.	Rushcliffe Community and Voluntary Service	1	B Cooper
32.	Rushcliffe Nature Conservation Strategy Implementaion Group	2	Mrs D J Mason J A Stockwood
33.	Spirita – South Area Scrutiny Committee	3	A Dickinson S J Boote B Tansley
34.	Spirita Main Board	1	Mrs D J Mason

REPRESENTATIVES ON OUTSIDE BODIES 2011/12

	Name of Organisation	Number of Representatives	Councillor
1.	Campaign to Protect Rural England	1	F J Mason
2.	Carters' Charity For the Poor of Wilford	3	I I Korn B A Nicholls H Tipton
3.	East Midlands Area Museum Service PLC	1	D G Bell N K Boughton-Smith
4.	Edwalton Municipal Golf and Social Club Ladies Committee	1	Mrs M M Males
5.	East Midlands Councils <i>(including other representative roles within this appointment)</i>	1	Leader Deputy Leader (Substitute)
6.	Friends of Rushcliffe Country Park	1	R A Adair
7.	Grantham Canal Partnership - Council of Management	1	D G Bell B Tansley (Substitute)
8.	Greater Nottingham Joint Planning Advisory Board	1	Cabinet Member for Sustainability
9.	Internal Drainage Boards - Fairham Brook	8	B G Dale M G Hemsley R Butler Mrs M M Males P Smith S J Boote Vacancy Vacancy
10.	Internal Drainage Boards – Kingston Brook	6	R Hetherington A Brown TBC* Mrs M M Males P Smith Vacancy Vacancy
11.	Internal Drainage Boards - Newark Area	2	J A Cranswick N C Lawrence

	Name of Organisation	Number of Representatives	Councillor
12.	Leicestershire and Northamptonshire Rail Action Committee	2	J Bannister R Hetherington N C Lawrence
13.	Local Area Forum - West Bridgford		
	Abbey Ward	1	B R Buschman
	Compton Acres	1	D G Wheeler
	Edwalton Village	1	S J Robinson
	Gamston	1	M G Hemsley
	Lady Bay	1	G R Mallender
	Lutterell	1	I Korn
	Melton	1	D G Bell
	Musters	1	K A Khan
	Trent Bridge	1	E A Plant
14.	Local Government Association - General Assembly <i>(including other representative roles within this appointment)</i>	1	Leader Deputy Leader (Substitute)
15.	Local Government Association – Rural Commision	2	Leader N C Lawrence
16.	Local Government Association – Urban Commision	1	Leader Deputy Leader (Substitute)
17.	Nottingham and District Citizens' Advice Bureau	1	D G Bell
18.	Nottingham East Midlands Airport Independent Consultative Forum	1	Mrs M M Males
19.	Nottingham Express Transit – Development Board	1	Cabinet Member for Sustainability
20.	Nottinghamshire Building Preservation Trust Ltd	1	Mrs M Stockwood
21.	Nottinghamshire Local Government Leaders Group	1	Leader Deputy Leader (Substitute)
22.	Nottinghamshire Joint Leaders Board	1	Leader Deputy Leader (Substitute)
23.	Nottinghamshire Core City Board	1	Leader Deputy Leader (Substitute)
24.	Nottinghamshire Relate	1	E A Plant S E Mallender
25.	Nottinghamshire Supporting People Partnership Board	1	Cabinet Member for Sustainability
26.	Nottinghamshire Waste Management Board	1	Cabinet Member for Environment

	Name of Organisation	Number of Representatives	Councillor
27.	REACT 21 Management Board	1	S E Mallender
28.	Rural Community Action for Nottinghamshire	1	T Combellack
29.	Rushcliffe Barn Owl Project	2	J E Greenwood B Tansley S E Mallender
30.	Rushcliffe Community Partnership (LSP)	2	Leader Cabinet Member for Environment
31.	Rushcliffe Community and Voluntary Service	1	B Cooper
32.	Rushcliffe Nature Conservation Strategy Implementaion Group	2	Mrs D J Mason J A Stockwood
33.	Spirita – South Area Scrutiny Committee	3	A Dickinson S J Boote B Tansley
34.	Spirita Main Board	1	Mrs D J Mason