

**When telephoning, please ask for:** Member Services  
**Direct dial** 0115 914 8481  
**Email** memberservices@rushliffe.gov.uk

**Our reference:**  
**Your reference:**  
**Date:** 4 July 2014

To all Members of the Standards Committee

Dear Councillor

A meeting of the **Standards Committee** will be held on Wednesday 9 July 2014 at **5.30** pm in Committee Room 1, Civic Centre, Pavilion Road, West Bridgford to consider the following items of business.

Yours sincerely

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to be 'J. Baggaley', is written over a light blue rectangular background.

Executive Manager Operations and Corporate Governance

## **AGENDA**

1. Apologies for Absence.
2. Declarations of Interest.
3. Notes of the Meeting held on Wednesday 15 January 2014 (pages 1 - 5).
4. Annual Review - Cases

The report of the Monitoring Officer is attached (pages 6 - 10).

### Membership

Chairman: Councillor R A Adair  
Councillors G Davidson, J E Fearon, J E Greenwood, A MacInnes, B A Nicholls

Co-opted Members: G Norbury, K White and W A Wood  
Independent Person: J Baggaley

## Meeting Room Guidance

**Fire Alarm Evacuation:** in the event of an alarm sounding please evacuate the building using the nearest fire exit, normally through the Council Chamber. You should assemble in the Nottingham Forest car park adjacent to the main gates.

**Toilets** are located opposite Committee Room 2.

**Mobile Phones:** For the benefit of others please ensure that your mobile phone is switched off whilst you are in the meeting.

**Microphones:** When you are invited to speak please press the button on your microphone, a red light will appear on the stem. Please ensure that you switch this off after you have spoken.

## Report of the Monitoring Officer

### 1. Summary

- 1.1. This report provides information on the number of complaints received since the implementation of the new standards regime from July 2012. It also aims to help in identifying areas where training or education may assist Councillors' understanding of the regimes requirements.

### 2. Recommendation

- 2.1. It is RECOMMENDED that:
- a. the report be noted; and
  - b. the Committee identify if any further training for Councillors is required.

### 3. Details

#### Complaints received since 1 July 2012

- 3.1. As previously reported to the Committee in June 2012 Council agreed a Councillor Code of Conduct in line with provisions within the Localism Act 2011. It also agreed to retain a Standards Committee. Council requested that the Code and the Committee's role and terms of reference be reviewed after a year. At its meeting on 25 July 2013 the Standards Committee undertook this review and its report and recommendations were presented to Council in September 2013. The Committee's report also highlighted that the Independent Person role was vacant following the recent resignation of the previous appointed person.
- 3.2. At its meeting in September 2013 Council upheld the Standards Committee's recommendations with no changes being made to the Committee's composition or terms of reference. Council did agree a minor change to the Code of Conduct to reflect recent guidance issued by Government in relation to Trade Union membership.
- 3.3. At its meeting in December 2013 Council agreed the appointment of John Baggaley as the Council's Independent Person for standards as required by the Localism Act. At the Standards Committee's request Mr Baggaley is invited to attend its meetings in order that he is aware of its role and work.
- 3.4. In relation to complaints received since the implementation of the existing standards regime (from the 1 July 2012). there have been a total of 12 cases. Each of these have been dealt with by the Monitoring Officer giving due regard

to the published Councillor Complaints Procedure. Where appropriate the Independent Person has been consulted, however in 4 cases the matter was not classed as a complaint about a Councillor due to the particular circumstances, for example it related to dissatisfaction with Council services rather than the behaviour or conduct of a Councillor.

- 3.5. Brief details of these 12 complaints are set out in the table at **Appendix A** of the report which indicates the date of complaint, if it related to a Borough, Parish or Town Councillor, if the complaint was made by another Borough, Parish or Town Councillor and what action was taken to address the complaint.
- 3.6. It will be seen that all cases except one have resulted in a 'no action' decision. One case (05/13) was referred to the police, in line with the agreed protocol, as it related to an alleged failure to declare a pecuniary interest. This case resulted in no formal police action. As previously highlighted to the Committee the table indicates that a number of complaints in the previous year related to one particular Parish Council which reflected on-going disputes. It appears these issues have now been resolved with no further reoccurrence of serial complaints being made in quick succession.
- 3.7. The table highlights that none of the cases received have resulted in any formal investigations and over a two year period a total of 12 cases have been received, with five relating to one particular parish council. As **Appendix A** indicates one case did result in effective local resolution with an apology being provided.
- 3.8. Case 05/13 that related to an alleged failure to declare a pecuniary interest ran from May 2013 until February 2014. No formal police action was taken upon conclusion of the investigation but it did evidence the importance of Parish Councillors understanding the requirements of the Standards regime. However as this complaint formed part of a series of apparent 'tit for tat' parish level complaints, and it is the only one of its nature over a 2 year period it is not felt this is an area where further training or guidance is needed.

#### **4. Training**

- 4.1. Two training sessions to assist Borough Councillors' understanding of the new standards regime were held in September 2012. 21 Borough Councillors attended these sessions. A session was also delivered at the Parish Forum held in July 2012 outlining the requirements of the new regime in order to assist and inform Parish Councils. Additionally at its meeting in January 2014 the Standards Committee was provided with a presentation aimed at enhancing practical understanding of the Code and the Standards regime.
- 4.2. In line with the report's recommendation the Committee is asked to determine if any further training is needed for Borough, Parish or Town Councillors on the Code and the Standards regime as it has now been in place for two years. They are also asked to consider how training could be provided to Councillors after the 2015 elections giving regard to how this could form part of any induction arrangements for any new Councillors.

## 5. Implications

### 5.1. Finance

5.1.1. There are no direct financial implications.

### 5.2. Legal

5.2.1. There are no specific legal implications

### 5.3. Corporate Priorities

5.3.1. Delivery of an effective Standards regime supports the Council's priority of *'Maintaining and enhancing our residents' quality of life -Our residents'*

### 5.4. Other Implications

5.4.1. None

<b>For more information contact:</b>	Name Dan Swaine Job title Monitoring Officer 0115 914 8343 email <a href="mailto:dswaine@rushcliffe.gov.uk">dswaine@rushcliffe.gov.uk</a>
<b>Background papers Available for Inspection:</b>	
<b>List of appendices (if any):</b>	Summary of Cases

## Summary of Cases

Case Ref	Date received	RBC/Parish Council	Complainant	Independent Person consulted	Decision and date
01/13	5/02/2013	Holme Pierrepont and Gamston Parish Council (no subject member identified)	Two Parish Councillors*	5/02/13	No action 22/02/13
02/13	15/02/13	Gotham Parish Council	Spouse of Parish Councillor	22/02/13	Local resolution (apology) 25/03/2013
03/13	4/04/13	Holme Pierrepont and Gamston Parish Council	Parish and Borough Councillor	6/04/13	No action 9/05/13
04/13	4/04/13	Holme Pierrepont and Gamston Parish Council	Parish Councillor	6/04/13	No action 9/05/13
05/13	9/05/13	Holme Pierrepont and Gamston Parish Council	Parish Councillor	9/05/13	Police referral Closed 13/02/14
06/13	10/05/13	RBC and Holme Pierrepont and Gamston Parish Council	Two Parish Councillors*	15/05/13	No action 17/06/13
07/13**	20/05/13	Cropwell Bishop	Member of public	-	No action 20/05/13 Not classed as a complaint

Case Ref	Date received	RBC/Parish Council	Complainant	Independent Person consulted	Decision and date
08/13	6/06/13	RBC	Member of Public	12/06/13	No action
09/13	27/06/13	RBC	Member of public	-	No action 29/07/13  Not classed as a complaint
10/13	10/10/13	RBC and Keyworth Parish Council	Member of Public	-	No action 25/10/13  Not classed as a complaint
11/13	15/10/13	RBC and Keyworth Parish Council	Member of Public	-	No action 25/10/13  Not classed as a complaint
01/14	23/06/14	RBC	Member of public	27/06/14	On going

**As at 2 July 2014**

\* Note this comprised of two identical complaints

\*\* Note Complainant decided to raise issue directly with subject member