

When telephoning, please ask for: Viv Nightingale
Direct dial 0115 914 8481
Email vnightingale@rushcliffe.gov.uk

Our reference:
Your reference:
Date: 5 January 2011

To all Members of the Council

Dear Councillor

A meeting of the PARTNERSHIP DELIVERY GROUP will be held on Thursday 13 January 2011 at 7.00 pm in the Council Chamber, Civic Centre, Pavilion Road, West Bridgford to consider the following items of business.

Yours sincerely

Head of Corporate Services

AGENDA

1. Apologies for absence.
2. Declarations of Interest.
3. Notes of the Meeting held on Wednesday 3 November 2010.
4. Review of the South Notts Community Safety Partnership
The report of the Head of Community Shaping.
5. Update on the Partnership with Nottinghamshire County Cricket Club
The report of the Head of Community Shaping.
6. Rolling 2 Year Work Programme
The report of the Head of Partnerships and Performance.

Membership

Chairman: Councillor N C Lawrence
Vice-Chairman: Councillor B G Dale
Councillors R L Butler, L B Cooper, Mrs C E M Jeffreys, R M Jones,
B A Nicholls, Mrs M Stockwood and T Vennett-Smith

Meeting Room Guidance

Fire Alarm - Evacuation - in the event of an alarm sounding you should evacuate the building using the nearest fire exit, normally through the Council Chamber. You should assemble in the Nottingham Forest car park adjacent to the main gates.

Toilets - Facilities, including those for the disabled, are located opposite Committee Room 2.

Mobile Phones – For the benefit of other users please ensure that your mobile phone is switched off whilst you are in the meeting.

Microphones - When you are invited to speak please press the button on your microphone, a red light will appear on the stem. Please ensure that you switch this off after you have spoken.



NOTES
OF THE MEETING OF THE
PARTNERSHIP DELIVERY GROUP
WEDNESDAY 3 NOVEMBER 2010

Held at 7.00 pm in the Council Chamber, Civic Centre, Pavilion Road, West Bridgford

PRESENT:

Councillors N C Lawrence (Chairman), R L Butler, L B Cooper, B G Dale, Mrs C E M Jeffreys, R M Jones, B A Nicholls, Mrs M Stockwood, T Vennett-Smith

ALSO IN ATTENDANCE:

Councillor J A Stockwood
Ms T Danks Assistant Regional Director, Parkwood Leisure Ltd
Mr J Palfrey Contract Manager for Rushcliffe, Parkwood Leisure Ltd

OFFICERS PRESENT:

D Athwal	First Lets Project Leader
C Bullett	Deputy Chief Executive (CB)
N Carter	Partnerships and Projects Manager
D Dwyer	Strategic Housing Manager
B Knowles	Leisure Contracts Manager
D Mitchell	Head of Partnerships and Performance
V Nightingale	Senior Member Support Officer

APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE:

There were no apologies for absence

13. Declarations of Interest

There were none declared.

14. Notes of the Previous Meeting

The notes of the meeting held on Tuesday 14 September 2010 were accepted as a true record.

Members felt that it had been a very productive meeting and had given Members a good insight into Spirita's work.

In respect of the action points

- a) Members were informed that there would be a draft of the Greater Nottinghamshire housing needs in the next few months and a briefing note would follow.
- b) Following the information already sent Members felt that there needed to be a wider presentation of the information. The Deputy Chief Executive (CB) stated that the reports could be included in Members' Matters.

- c) Members were informed that Nottinghamshire County Council were not stopping the handyman service but would be delivering it via a different method.

15. **Leisure Centre Contract – Annual Report by Parkwood Leisure**

The Head of Partnerships and Performance stated that this was the third review. He stated that there was a high level of governance in place, including quarterly Board meetings involving the Cabinet portfolio holder, Councillor Fearon. He stated that the annual review took on board both the quarterly monthly inspection information. Compliance with the contract was only 0.25% below the standard of 70% which was a measure in line with the Quest standard.

Mr Palfrey gave a presentation outlining the company's operation of the leisure contract, which had commenced in August 2007. He explained some of the highlights of the year, including successful visits from Quest, increase in visitor and expression member numbers, refurbishment of gyms, successful Investors in People accreditation. He informed Members of the successful introduction of free swimming for the over 60's, free swimming lessons and the implementation of the Aiming High project, which was aimed at bringing leisure to people with disabilities. Other highlights included partnership working with the Crime and Disorder Reduction Partnership and the Primary Care Trust, completion of year one of the modern apprenticeship scheme and a successfully delivered children's holiday programme.

With regard to customer satisfaction, Mr Palfrey explained the many methods customers can give feedback, including comment forms, annual user and non user surveys, manager question times and user group forums. He pointed out that cleaning was an issue at the older sites that was being constantly reviewed, also additional cleaning staff would be recruited where necessary.

In respect of improvements, Parkwood would be concentrating on further partnership work, the development of the Modern Apprenticeship and the Advanced Apprenticeship, introduce a Graduate Training scheme, develop the on line booking system and develop the customer/club forums and the Managers' question time. One key point was the company's commitment to reduce carbon usage by installing new technology, completing energy surveys and audits, raising staff awareness of energy management and obtaining ISO 14001 through external auditing.

Following a question, Mr Palfrey explained that the funding had now been withdrawn for the free over 60's swimming, however more swimming lessons had been introduced for that age range and Parkwood were considering how these could be subsidised.

Members queried the GP referral scheme and were informed that Parkwood worked with the City Hospital, activities were offered for a variety of conditions and the trainers were working to identify rehabilitation training. More classes were being held following user feedback. Councillor Lawrence stated that it was very beneficial to have a member of staff who could conduct a thorough assessment.

In respect of private centres, Mr Palfrey explained that usage was growing at the council owned centres as, nationally, people were leaving private centres. He stated that the membership fees were very competitive, however a range of budget gyms would soon be opening in Nottinghamshire and Parkwood would be considering their affect on the market. They were keen to build on any opportunities.

Following a question, Members were informed that outdoor usage was steady, however, there were issues with the joint use sites as school use did not always complement the normal use. In respect of the joint use sites there were issues surrounding litter, vandalism and damage. Cleanliness was also a challenge especially as these sites were particularly old. In particular high level cleaning equipment had been purchased and a good relationship had been developed with the schools to address the issues such as mud on the stairs.

Councillor Jones congratulated Parkwood on the cleanliness of the poolside at Rushcliffe Leisure Centre, however, he felt that there should be better signage and staff should enforce the overshoe policy. He asked how the usage figures were calculated as there were many entrances to the centre. He was informed that all users were monitored by a variety of means and that the company were trying to address the issue of the various entrances.

In respect of the Aiming High project Members were informed that this had been launched in March 2010 it was aimed at giving the person a leisure opportunity whilst giving the support helper a rest. There had been 17 participants on the first programme and 12 were signed up to the second. Staff were working closely with the Nottinghamshire County Council's Sports Development Officer and Ash Lea School.

With regard to areas that required improvement, Mr Palfrey explained that the phone system at Rushcliffe Leisure Centre needed upgrading and a procurement process had been implemented.

Members queried why attendance at the Family Night at Rushcliffe Leisure Centre had declined. Members felt that it was vital to encourage family use. Mr Palfrey explained that the figures were disappointing and the session was being repackaged and marketed. The Leisure Contracts Manager explained that the national trend for group activities was declining. Keeping fit and sport was becoming an individual activity. Parkwood had introduced junior pump sessions which allowed teenagers access to the gym and also triathlon was becoming more popular. Members supported Parkwood's initiative to revamp the session.

Members asked if Parkwood was satisfied with the capital improvements made by the Borough Council. Mr Palfrey stated that there was a very good relationship between Parkwood and the Borough Council. In respect of Bingham and Rushcliffe Leisure Centres these buildings were over 40 years old and also Nottinghamshire County Council was involved in the partnership. He stated that there was an agreed programme of repairs and maintenance and work was carried out with the minimal disruption to users.

Members asked about the apprenticeship programme. The Group was informed that the company had started working with South Nottinghamshire College and had recruited three apprentices from last year's programme. This year four had been taken on with an extra three through the partnership with the Amateur Swimming Association. It was felt that this programme benefited people as gaining experience was always difficult. After the first programme Parkwood had made an additional vacancy and now there was an advanced apprenticeship programme to further assist people. Staff were also widening their training courses to involve more people than just staff. The Leisure Contracts Manager explained that one of the topics discussed at district meetings was how to get national governing bodies to run courses that were affordable.

Following a question Mr Palfrey explained that each centre had individual activity programmes and the joint use sites were restricted on what could be offered. Staff did consider how the successes from one centre could be transferred to other centres. Over the wider Parkwood division, staff could identify the new national trends and introduce new activities into the centres, these included sway dance, yoga and pilates.

In respect of combined heat and power Mr Palfrey explained that the payback was too long as any capital investment needed to have a 1-2 year payback. However, the company did consider all devices that would have a positive impact on CO² reductions. Each site had a unique plant operation.

With regard to complaints about Rushcliffe Leisure Centre Mr Palfrey stated that staff were trying to encourage people to use the Manager's question time. Councillor Jones stated that he also encouraged people to use the various methods of feedback, however he was often told that people's comments were not recorded.

Following a statement, the Leisure Contracts Manager explained that Sport England had conducted two national surveys over the last five years and Rushcliffe was the third highest nationally for participation with 27% of people doing the daily recommended activity.

The Head of Partnerships and Performance summarised that the Council had entered into a partnership with Parkwood Leisure as they had the commercial knowledge and a strong relationship had then developed.

The Group AGREED that the performance of Parkwood Leisure over the past year be acknowledged, in particular their work to improve activities and access issues for people with a disability.

16. **Annual Review of First Lets and Options for the Future**

The Strategic Housing Manager informed Members that the First Lets scheme had been in operation since 2007 and had been run in partnership with Broxtowe and Gedling Borough Councils. The aim of the scheme was to prevent homelessness, reduce the use of temporary accommodation and increase the use and quality of the private rented sector. The scheme assisted people on low incomes or in receipt of benefits to overcome obstacles to renting a home from the private sector. It was a website based scheme and

vetted both landlords and tenants. In Rushcliffe the scheme faced several challenges especially the high rent prices and high demand for properties in the area. There had been 39 tenancy lets in Rushcliffe and although the outcomes were not as high as had been envisaged, performance had increased over the last few months. There were two key strands to the project, the good tenant referencing service and the inspection of properties to bring them up to the Decent Homes Standard. The Council was committed to the scheme until March 2011 but the other two partners had stated they wanted to merge the First Lets project with the Choice Based Lettings scheme, which included social rented housing. Members were asked if they would support this action, to carry on with the project or to cease the scheme altogether.

Members agreed that this scheme was important when people, through no fault of their own, found themselves without a home. It was also acknowledged that resources were being challenged at the moment.

Following a question, officers explained that the rent deposit scheme was a cashless bond which guaranteed the landlord £500 towards damage or rent arrears in the first six months. This was used to build trust between the landlord and tenant and to overcome landlords' perceptions of tenants on benefits. At present all eligible applicants for the rent deposit scheme had to undertake a credit referencing check as part of the Good Tenants Initiative, however, if the range of initiatives currently offered ceased, including the Good Tenants Scheme, this might increase the risk of the bond being called upon.

The Group were informed that ten cases of homelessness had been prevented by this scheme, which according to government figures ought to have saved £34,460. However, this was one of a number of other initiatives the Council operated which had successfully prevented 193 homelessness cases.

Members queried if other local authorities operated a Choice Based Lettings scheme with additional facilities for private tenancies. Officers advised that some benchmarking of Choice Based Lettings had already been undertaken, however, Officers agreed to undertake further investigations in relation to advertising private rented properties.

In relation to the number of successful lets which had been achieved in all three Boroughs it was noted that Rushcliffe had the lowest and Members wondered why this might be. Officers informed the Group that the project leader worked across all three boroughs to implement an overarching action plan for the project which ensured actions such as training in the Housing Options Teams and Customer Service Centres were carried out consistently. However, the First Lets Project Leader stated that the housing markets were different in each area and that a degree of flexibility was required in implementing actions to enable partners to provide a more bespoke service to take account of the different factors

Following a question, officers stated that at the beginning it was envisaged that larger numbers of people would be helped and officers had hoped for better outcomes, however, the scheme had had a positive impact on the people who had used the scheme. Financially it had cost £1,350 per person whereas other methods cost approximately £540, and it needed to be considered in

conjunction with the other methods used. Both Gedling and Broxtowe Borough Councils felt that the move to Choice Based Lettings offered authorities an opportunity to link the two systems.

Members felt that the only possible option was to merge the two systems but they felt that officers should attempt to retain some degree of vetting as part of First Lets, especially as the current economic climate could cause more cases. Also the change in the Government's restrictions on housing benefits could have an impact. It was hoped that the merged systems would become more resident focussed and offer a single path towards housing from either private or registered social landlords. The Group acknowledged that if the other two districts pulled out the cost would be too excessive for Rushcliffe to carry on.

It is AGREED that the Group

- a) Had considered the work undertaken by the First Lets scheme since 2007.
- b) Supported the merging of First Lets and Choice Based Lettings, but requested officers to retain as much vetting within the system as was practical.

17. Rolling 2 Year Work Programme

The Group considered its work programme and decided to remove the Police Partnership from its agenda for January as a Member Group was considering the issue. Also on that agenda was the review of the Nottinghamshire County Cricket Club and when compared to the scrutiny matrix this issue did not merit scrutiny. It had been acknowledged at a recent meeting of the Scrutiny Chairmen and Vice Chairmen that there would have to be flexibility in the work programmes to allow for items to be included following the budget workshops.

Members queried which group considered health issues, officers stated that public health would come under the remit of Nottinghamshire County Council. Also in the Community Shaping Team officers worked in partnership on many issues such as quitting smoking, obesity. With regard to the work of the Environmental Health section Members needed to consider if there was a concern or poor performance. If Members wanted further information this could be dealt with in a different method.

The meeting closed at 9.25 pm.

Action Sheet

PARTNERSHIP DELIVERY GROUP - WEDNESDAY 3 NOVEMBER 2010

Minute Number	Actions	Officer Responsible
14. Notes of the Previous Meeting	Officers to provide a briefing note following the production of a draft of the Greater Nottinghamshire housing needs.	Strategic Housing Manager
15. Leisure Centre Contract – Annual Report by Parkwood Leisure	<p>Parkwood Management agreed to encourage their staff to be extra vigilant and to challenge customers regarding the wearing of overshoes whilst poolside.</p> <p>Parkwood agreed to provide the attendance figures for the aiming high initiative by the next meeting of the Group</p> <p>Parkwood agreed to investigate the viability of repackaging family night at Rushcliffe LC by April 2011.</p>	Parkwood Leisure Ltd
16. Annual Review of First Lets and Options for the Future	<p>Officers agreed to undertake further investigations in relation to advertising private rented properties in conjunction with the Choice Based Lettings scheme.</p> <p>Officers to investigate the potential of including the Good Tenant's Scheme within Choice Based Lettings.</p>	<p>Strategic Housing Manager</p> <p>Strategic Housing Manager</p>
17. Rolling 2 Year Work Programme	To remove the Police Partnership and the review of the Nottinghamshire County Cricket Club from the January agenda a	Partnerships and Projects Manager



PARTNERSHIP DELIVERY GROUP

13 JANUARY 2011

REVIEW OF THE SOUTH NOTTS COMMUNITY SAFETY PARTNERSHIP

4

REPORT OF THE HEAD OF COMMUNITY SHAPING

Summary

In 2008 the Rushcliffe Crime and Disorder Reduction Partnership (CDRP) merged with the Broxtowe and Gedling CDRPs to create the South Nottinghamshire Community Safety Partnership. The partnership includes Rushcliffe Borough Council, Nottinghamshire Police, Nottinghamshire Police Authority, Nottinghamshire County Council, Nottinghamshire Fire and Rescue, the Primary Care Trust and a number of other non-statutory and voluntary organisations.

Recommendation

It is **RECOMMENDED** that the improvements in performance made by the South Nottinghamshire Community Safety Partnership over the past year in reductions in household burglaries and vehicle crime are acknowledged.

Details

Priority areas

1. The partnership has focussed on five key areas for much of the year, these are:
 - Serious acquisitive crime (dwelling burglary, vehicle crime and robbery)
 - Youth issues
 - Drug and alcohol related anti-social behaviour
 - Safer neighbourhoods and community engagement
 - Violence
2. Following the success of area based work that has been taking place in Cotgrave, Edwalton and Trent Bridge, it has been determined that future priority areas will be geographic rather than thematic. In Rushcliffe the two areas that will be prioritised are Trent Bridge ward (which has around the 4th highest crime figures in South Nottinghamshire) and Cotgrave (14th in South Notts). This does not mean that other areas will be neglected, but to make the most impact, the focus must be on the areas with the highest crime figures at present.

Performance targets and Operation Arrow

3. In December 2009, Nottinghamshire Police Authority and the Chief Constable of Nottinghamshire Police invited a review team to assist them to identify ways to improve the capability of the Authority and the Force, working with key

4. Following this Capability Review, Operation Arrow, the Force's 90 Day plan for performance improvement was launched in April. It was acknowledged that improvements had been made in Nottinghamshire, but that Nottinghamshire continued to be significantly outperformed by forces within its Most Similar Group. The Police Authority set annual targets for crime reduction for Nottinghamshire in April 2010 and these, and targets from the Safer Nottinghamshire Board were used to put the targets in the Community Shaping service plan.
5. However, the Police Authority since issued the following, more ambitious targets (19 August): a 10.1% reduction in all crime which included a dwelling burglary reduction of 32.7%, an autocrime reduction of 42.6% and a robbery reduction of 53.1%. The figures from the last two years and up to the end of Quarter 2 are shown in the table below along with the revised Police Authority targets:

	2008/09 Out-turn	2009/10 Out-turn	2009/10 April – Nov (8 months)	2010/11 April – Nov (8 months)	Target 2010/11
Number of burglaries per 1,000 households	14.08	11.70	7.38	6.45	7.87
Number of robberies per 1,000 population	0.79	0.68	0.43	0.55	0.32
Number of vehicle crimes per 1,000 population	9.17	7.61	5.58	4.84	4.37

6. It will not be possible to meet the targets set by the Police Authority for robberies and vehicle crimes in 2010/11, and the target for domestic burglaries is unlikely to be met. However, the Police acknowledge the impact of changing the targets at a late stage and are working towards achieving these over a longer period.

Corporate strategy

7. Reducing levels of crime and anti-social behaviour to make people feel safe is a corporate priority for Rushcliffe Borough Council within the Corporate Strategy 2007-2011.
8. Superintendent Paul Anderson will be making a presentation to the Partnership Delivery Group on behalf of the Community Safety Partnership which will include:
 - An update on the work undertaken by the Community Safety Partnership in 2010, including the key achievements of the partnership
 - An update on the work programme and priorities for action for 2010/11

- An update on changes to police structures (eg Basic Command Units) in Nottinghamshire.

Financial Comments

Rushcliffe Borough Council has provided funding for three mainstreamed posts within the Community Engagement Team that have focussed on community safety. Due to a vacancy and budgetary constraints there are now two posts filled and no plans to recruit to the third.

The Community Safety Partnership has received funding from Nottinghamshire County Council to fund partnership development, anti-social behaviour and domestic violence work in 2010/11. The Community Safety Partnership has also received area based grants to fund anti-social behaviour co-ordination. It is not clear what funding will be allocated in 2011/12 but it is expected that funding will be much reduced.

Section 17 Crime and Disorder Act

Section 17 is incorporated into all aspects of the work of the Community Safety Partnership.

Diversity

Equality and diversity is incorporated into all aspects of the work of the Community Safety Partnership.

Background Papers Available for Inspection: Nil



PARTNERSHIP DELIVERY GROUP

13 JANUARY 2011

UPDATE ON THE PARTNERSHIP WITH NOTTINGHAMSHIRE COUNTY CRICKET CLUB

5

REPORT OF THE HEAD OF COMMUNITY SHAPING

Summary

Tracey Francis the Community sports Trust Manager from Nottinghamshire County Cricket club will give a presentation to the Partnership Delivery Group on the delivery of the 'community benefits programme' during 2010, the key achievements and the priorities for 2011.

Recommendation

It is RECOMMENDED that the Partnership Delivery Group comment on the delivery of the programme of community benefits over the last year and the value for money of the partnership.

Background

1. In 2008 Rushcliffe Borough Council, in partnership with Nottinghamshire County Council and Nottingham City Council, provided Nottinghamshire County Cricket Club with a loan of £1.23M to enable the club to develop a new stand and safeguard the test match status of the ground. The other two Councils each contributed a similar sum. In return, the Cricket Club's contribution to the partnership was the provision of community benefits and the repayment of interest only until December 2012, after which the arrangements would be reviewed. The funding agreement outlined the main areas of a community benefits package that the Cricket Club would be required to deliver within the Borough during the period 2008-2023.
2. The nature and content of the community benefits package may be varied by agreement between the parties, but were initially identified as follows:-
 - Social awareness project - Positive Futures
 - Schools cricket coaching and competitions
 - Club Development support
 - Provision of match tickets and mascot opportunities for children
 - Free use of meeting and conference rooms at Trent Bridge
 - Support to local groups and charities with fundraising
 - One cricket road-show to be held per year
3. One of the major successes of the community project to date has been the establishment of the Positive Futures project in Cotgrave. The Community Sports Trust managed to secure £175,000 of funding through the Home Office and Football Foundation to deliver this social inclusion project from November 2008 to November 2012.

Financial and Community Safety Outcomes

4. The community benefits provided by Nottinghamshire County Cricket Club within Rushcliffe has been valued on the basis of cost and equates to an approximate annual value of £80,800 (excluding Positive Futures).
5. The Positive Futures project was initially estimated as an investment into the Borough of £33,000 per year, but has actually resulted in an investment of £40,000 in year 1 of the project and £80,000 in the current year.
6. The total value received to date is therefore £281,600
7. Nottinghamshire County Cricket Club's club development staff have assisted Rushcliffe clubs during 2010 to secure a total of £7,340 for coaching programmes, with a capital development project totalling £190,000 submitted for English Cricket Board Grant Aid funding (outcome still awaited).
8. In the 18 months since the Positive Futures project began in Cotgrave there has been a 55% reduction in offences committed by young people. This is more than double the reduction that has been seen across Rushcliffe as a whole (-27%). There has also been a 13% reduction in reported anti-social behaviour in Cotgrave over the same period, which is nearly triple the reduction that Rushcliffe has seen as a whole (-4.6%). (Source Nottinghamshire Police)
9. Amongst other initiatives, the Positive Futures project has also contributed towards the following reductions in crime and anti-social behaviour within Cotgrave during the period 27 September 2009 to 27 September 2010 (source Nottinghamshire constabulary all reported incidents):
 - o 80% reduction in burglary
 - o 76% reduction in criminal damage
 - o 63% reduction in theft
 - o 66% reduction in violence
10. The Partnership contract requires Nottinghamshire County Cricket Club to pay interest on the full amount of the loan at a rate of 4% per annum until 31 December 2012 after which time a new rate will be established. This provides a higher rate of return than many other investments given that the current Bank of England base rate is 0.5%

Impact of Current Economic Climate

11. Nottinghamshire County Cricket Club has identified a number of risks to their future funding as a result of budget reductions of both partner organisations and English Cricket Board core funding. The main budget reductions over coming years are expected to be:-
 - o Reduction of English Cricket Board core funding from 2013 (currently £120,000 per annum)
 - o Schools Sports Partnerships current annual budget across Nottinghamshire of £11,500 to deliver the extensive schools programme

- National Capital project budget at risk in 2011, with £4M expected to be clawed back from earmarked funds (equating to approximately 20% of total capital grant funding)
- Chance to Shine charity will end in 2013, with £4,500 of club link funding for Rushcliffe in 2010

Financial Comments

In 2008 Rushcliffe Borough Council provided Nottinghamshire County Cricket Club with a loan of £1.23M to enable the club to develop a new stand and safeguard the test match status of the ground.

Section 17 Crime and Disorder Act

The positive futures project directly contributes to the reduction of anti-social behaviour and criminal activity.

Diversity

The programme of cricket development delivered by Nottinghamshire County Cricket Club is available to all ages and specifically focuses on women and girls and disability cricket.

Background Papers Available for Inspection: Nil

REPORT OF THE HEAD OF PARTNERSHIPS AND PERFORMANCE

Summary

This report sets out a rolling work programme for the Partnership Delivery Group for 2010/11 based on the areas proposed and supported by the Group during the previous municipal year.

Recommendation

It is RECOMMENDED that the Partnership Delivery Group agrees the proposed work programme for 2010/11.

1. The work programme for the Partnership Delivery Group is developed around the corporate priorities that fall within its remit and takes into account the timing of the Group's business in the previous municipal year and any emerging issues and key policy developments that may arise.
2. At its meeting on 3 November the Group agreed that the Police Partnership item on the January 2011 agenda could be removed as a Member Group was considering the issue. The programme below has been amended to reflect this decision.
3. The following table sets out the proposed rolling 2 year work programme.

Date of Meeting	Item
13 January 2011	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Nottinghamshire Cricket Club – Progress on community benefits • Crime and Disorder Reduction Partnership – Update • 2 year rolling work programme
24 March 2011	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Annual Review of the Group's work • Progress report on the Rushcliffe Sustainable Community Strategy action plans • 2 year rolling work programme
20 June 2011	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Approve Work Programme • Review of the List of Partnerships • 2 year rolling work programme

Date of Meeting	Item
26 September 2011	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Annual review of partnership with Spirita Ltd • 2 year rolling work programme
28 November 2011	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Leisure Centre Contract – Annual report by Parkwood Leisure Ltd • 2 year rolling work programme
23 January 2012	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Crime and Disorder Reduction Partnership - update • Nottinghamshire County Cricket Club – Progress on community benefits • 2 year rolling work programme
19 March 2012	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Annual Review of the Group's work • Progress report on the Rushcliffe Sustainable Community Strategy action plans • 2 year rolling work programme
June 2012	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Approve Work Programme • 2 year rolling work programme
September 2012	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Annual review of partnership with Spirita Ltd • 2 year rolling work programme
November 2012	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Leisure Centre Contract – Annual report by Parkwood Leisure Ltd • 2 year rolling work programme

Financial Comments

No direct financial implications arise from the proposed work programme

Section 17 Crime and Disorder Act

In the delivery of its work programme the Group supports delivery of the Council's Section 17 responsibilities particularly in relation to the performance of the Council.

Diversity

The policy development role of the Group ensures that its proposed work programme supports delivery of Council's Corporate priority 6 'Meeting the Diverse needs of the Community'.

Background Papers Available for Inspection: Nil